Mem. Fac. Sci. Eng. Shimane Univ. Series B: Mathematics 56 (2023), pp. 35–52

LIPSCHITZ TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR MONOTONIC INTEGRAL TRANSFORM OF POSITIVE OPERATORS WITH APPLICATIONS

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR

Communicated by Jitsuro Sugie

(Received: August 12, 2021)

ABSTRACT. For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and μ a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ we consider the following *monotonic integral transform*

$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) T(\lambda+T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)$$

where the integral is assumed to exist for T a positive operator on a complex Hilbert space H.

Assume that $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then we show that

$$\|\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(t)$ is the derivative of $\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)$ as a function of t. If the function $f:(0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0,\infty)$, then

$$\|f(B) - f(A)\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{f(m_2) - f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \\ f'(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

In particular we have the power inequalities

$$||B^{r} - A^{r}|| \le ||B - A|| \begin{cases} \frac{m_{2}^{r} - m_{1}^{r}}{m_{2} - m_{1}} & \text{if } m_{1} \neq m_{2}, \\ rm^{r-1} & \text{if } m_{1} = m_{2} = m_{1} \end{cases}$$

and the logarithmic inequalities

$$\|\ln B - \ln A\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{\ln m_2 - \ln m_1}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2 \\ \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

Some applications for operator convex functions and midpoint and trapezoid norm inequalities are also provided.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A63, 47A60.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Operator monotone functions, Operator inequalities, Lipschitz type inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H. The absolute value of an operator A is the positive operator |A|defined as $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

It is known that [3] in the infinite-dimensional case the map f(A) := |A| is not *Lipschitz continuous* on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ with the usual operator norm, i.e. there is no constant L > 0 such that

$$|||A| - |B||| \le L ||A - B||$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

However, as shown by Farforovskaya in [7], [8] and Kato in [14], the following inequality holds

(1.1)
$$||A| - |B||| \le \frac{2}{\pi} ||A - B|| \left(2 + \log\left(\frac{||A|| + ||B||}{||A - B||}\right)\right)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $A \neq B$.

If the operator norm is replaced with *Hilbert-Schmidt norm* $||C||_{HS} := (\operatorname{tr} C^* C)^{1/2}$ of an operator C, then the following inequality is true [1]

(1.2)
$$|||A| - |B|||_{HS} \le \sqrt{2} ||A - B||_{HS}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

The coefficient $\sqrt{2}$ is best possible for a general A and B. If A and B are restricted to be selfadjoint, then the best coefficient is 1.

It has been shown in [3] that, if A is an invertible operator, then for all operators B in a neighborhood of A we have

(1.3)
$$|||A| - |B||| \le a_1 ||A - B|| + a_2 ||A - B||^2 + O(||A - B||^3),$$

where

$$a_1 = ||A^{-1}|| ||A||$$
 and $a_2 = ||A^{-1}|| + ||A^{-1}||^3 ||A||^2$.

In [2] the author also obtained the following Lipschitz type inequality

(1.4)
$$||f(A) - f(B)|| \le f'(a) ||A - B||$$

where f is an operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$ and $A, B \ge a > 0$.

One of the problems in perturbation theory is to find bounds for ||f(A) - f(B)||in terms of ||A - B|| for different classes of measurable functions f for which the function of operator can be defined. For some results on this topic, see [4], [9] and the references therein.

We have the following representation of operator monotone functions [15], see for instance [5, p. 144-145]:

Theorem 1. A function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ if and only if it has the representation

(1.5)
$$f(t) = f(0) + bt + \int_0^\infty \frac{t\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $b \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $[0, \infty)$ such that

(1.6)
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} d\mu\left(\lambda\right) < \infty.$$

A real valued continuous function f on an interval I is said to be *operator convex* (operator concave) on I if

(OC)
$$f((1-\lambda)A + \lambda B) \le (\ge)(1-\lambda)f(A) + \lambda f(B)$$

in the operator order, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for every selfadjoint operator A and B on a Hilbert space H whose spectra are contained in I. Notice that a function f is operator concave if -f is operator convex.

We have the following representation of operator convex functions [5, p. 147]:

Theorem 2. A function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$ with $f'_+(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if it has the representation

(1.7)
$$f(t) = f(0) + f'_{+}(0)t + ct^{2} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2}\lambda}{t+\lambda} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where $c \geq 0$ and a positive measure μ on $[0, \infty)$ such that (1.6) holds.

We have the following integral representation for the power function when t > 0, $r \in (0, 1]$, see for instance [5, p. 145]

$$t^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{r-1}}{\lambda+t} d\lambda.$$

Motivated by these representations, we introduce, for a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$, the following *integral transform*

(1.8)
$$\mathcal{D}(w,\mu)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda+t} d\mu(\lambda), \ t > 0,$$

where μ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and the integral (1.8) exists for all t > 0.

For μ the Lebesgue usual measure, we put

(1.9)
$$\mathcal{D}(w)(t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{w(\lambda)}{\lambda + t} d\lambda, \ t > 0.$$

Now, assume that T > 0, then by the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, we can define the positive operator

(1.10)
$$\mathcal{D}(w,\mu)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda),$$

where w and μ are as above. Also, when μ is the usual Lebesgue measure, then

(1.11)
$$\mathcal{D}(w)(T) := \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (\lambda + T)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

for T > 0.

If we take μ to be the usual Lebesgue measure and the kernel $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$, then

(1.12)
$$t^{r-1} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \mathcal{D}(w_r)(t), \ t > 0.$$

For a continuous and positive function $w(\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and a positive measure μ on $(0, \infty)$, we can define the following mapping, which we call *monotonic integral* transform, by

(1.13)
$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(t) := t\mathcal{D}(w,\mu)(t), \ t > 0.$$

For t > 0 we have

(1.14)
$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(t) := t\mathcal{D}(w,\mu)(t) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) t(t+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) (t+\lambda-\lambda) (t+\lambda)^{-1} d\mu(\lambda)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1-\lambda (t+\lambda)^{-1}\right] d\mu(\lambda).$$

If $\int_{0}^{\infty} w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) < \infty$, then

(1.15)
$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(t) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \, d\mu(\lambda) - \mathcal{D}(\ell w,\mu)(t) \, ,$$

where $\ell(t) = t, t > 0$.

Consider the kernel $e_{-a}(\lambda) := \exp(-a\lambda)$, $\lambda \ge 0$ and a > 0. Then, after some calculations, we get

$$\mathcal{D}(e_{-a})(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-a\lambda)}{t+\lambda} d\lambda = E_1(at) \exp(at), \ t \ge 0$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} w(\lambda) \, d\lambda = \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-a\lambda\right) d\lambda = \frac{1}{a},$$

where the *exponential integral* is defined by

$$E_1(t) := \int_t^\infty \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du.$$

This gives that

$$\mathcal{M}(e_{-a})(t) = t\mathcal{D}(w,\mu)(t) = tE_1(at)\exp(at), \ t \ge 0.$$

By integration we also have

$$\mathcal{D}(\ell e_{-a}, \mu)(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda \exp(-a\lambda)}{t+\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{1}{a} - tE_1(at) \exp(at)$$

for t > 0.

One observes that

$$\mathcal{M}(e_{-a})(t) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \, d\lambda - \mathcal{D}(\ell e_{-a}, \mu)(t), \ t > 0$$

and the equality (1.15) is verified in this case.

39

If we take $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$, then $\int_0^\infty w_r(\lambda) d\lambda = \infty$ and the equality (1.15) does not hold in this case.

For all T > 0 we have, by the continuous functional calculus for selfadjoint operators, that

(1.16)
$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(T) = T\mathcal{D}(w,\mu)(T) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1 - \lambda \left(T + \lambda\right)^{-1}\right] d\mu(\lambda).$$

This gives the representation

$$T^{r} = \frac{\sin(r\pi)}{\pi} \mathcal{M}(w_{r},\mu)(T),$$

where $w_r(\lambda) = \lambda^{r-1}$, $r \in (0, 1]$ and μ is the usual Lebesgue measure. Assume that $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then we show that

$$\|\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(t)$ is the derivative of $\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)$ as a function of t. If the function $f:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0,\infty)$, then

$$\|f(B) - f(A)\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{f(m_2) - f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ f'(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

In particular we have the power inequalities

$$||B^{r} - A^{r}|| \le ||B - A|| \begin{cases} \frac{m_{2}^{r} - m_{1}^{r}}{m_{2} - m_{1}} \text{ if } m_{1} \neq m_{2}, \\ rm^{r-1} \text{ if } m_{1} = m_{2} = m, \end{cases}$$

and the logarithmic inequalities

$$\|\ln B - \ln A\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{\ln m_2 - \ln m_1}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

Some applications for operator convex functions and midpoint and trapezoid norm inequalities are also provided.

2. Main Results

We have the following equality that is of interest in itself:

Lemma 1. For all A, B > 0 we have the representation

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)$$

= $\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} (B-A) (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) \times \lambda w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda).$

Proof. From (1.16) we have for all $A, B \ge 0$ that

(2.2)
$$\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A) = \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1 - \lambda (B + \lambda)^{-1}\right] d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty w(\lambda) \left[1 - \lambda (A + \lambda)^{-1}\right] d\mu(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \lambda w(\lambda) \left[(A + \lambda)^{-1} - (B + \lambda)^{-1}\right] d\mu(\lambda).$$

Let T, S > 0. The function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$, operator Gâteaux differentiable and the Gâteaux derivative is given by

(2.3)
$$\nabla f_T(S) := \lim_{t \to 0} \left[\frac{f(T+tS) - f(T)}{t} \right] = T^{-1}ST^{-1}$$

for T, S > 0.

Consider the continuous function f defined on an interval I for which the corresponding operator function is Gâteaux differentiable on the segment [C, D]: $\{(1-t)C + tD, t \in [0,1]\}$ for C, D selfadjoint operators with spectra in I. We consider the auxiliary function defined on [0,1] by

$$f_{C,D}(t) := f((1-t)C + tD), \ t \in [0,1].$$

Then we have, by the properties of the Bochner integral, that

(2.4)
$$f(D) - f(C) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \left(f_{C,D}(t) \right) dt = \int_0^1 \nabla f_{(1-t)C+tD}(D-C) dt.$$

If we write this equality for the function $f(t) = -t^{-1}$ and C, D > 0, then we get the representation

(2.5)
$$C^{-1} - D^{-1} = \int_0^1 \left((1-t) C + tD \right)^{-1} \left(D - C \right) \left((1-t) C + tD \right)^{-1} dt.$$

Now, if we take in (2.5) $C = \lambda + A$, $D = \lambda + B$, then

(2.6)
$$(\lambda + A)^{-1} - (\lambda + B)^{-1}$$

= $\int_0^1 ((1 - t) (\lambda + A) + t (\lambda + B))^{-1} (B - A)$
× $((1 - t) (\lambda + A) + t (\lambda + B))^{-1} dt$
= $\int_0^1 (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} dt.$

By employing (2.2) and (2.6), we derive (2.1).

Corollary 1. Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and it has the representation (1.5), then for all A, B > 0 we have the

equality

(2.7)
$$f(B) - f(A) - b(B - A) = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t)A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) \times \lambda^2 d\mu(\lambda).$$

Proof. From (1.5) we have for T > 0 that

$$f(T) - f(0) - bT = \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu)(T),$$

for some positive measure μ , where $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda, \lambda \ge 0$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(A) = f(B) - f(A) - b(B - A)$$

and by (2.1) we get (2.7).

Corollary 2. Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$ and it has the representation (1.3), then for all A, B > 0 we have the identity

(2.8)
$$f(B) B^{-1} - f(A) A^{-1} - f(0) (B^{-1} - A^{-1}) - c(B - A)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^1 (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} (B - A) (\lambda + (1 - t) A + tB)^{-1} dt \right)$$
$$\times \lambda^2 d\mu(\lambda).$$

Proof. From (1.7) we have for T > 0 that

$$(f(T) - f(0)) T^{-1} - b - cT = \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu)(T),$$

for some positive measure μ . Therefore

 $\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(A) = (f(B) - f(0))B^{-1} - (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} - c(B - A)$ and by (2.1) we get (2.8).

Remark 1. From the representation (2.1) we observe that if $B \ge A > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) \ge \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)$ which means that $\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)$ is operator monotone on $(0,\infty)$, see also [6].

We have the following Lipschitz type inequality:

Theorem 3. Assume that $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then

(2.9)
$$\|\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(t)$ is the derivative of $\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)$ as a function of t.

Proof. From the identity (2.6) we get by taking the norm that

$$(2.10) \quad \|\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)\| \\ \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\| \int_{0}^{1} (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B-A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}dt \right\| \\ \times \lambda w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left\| (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1}(B-A)(\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\| dt \right) \\ \times \lambda w(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq \|B - A\| \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda w(\lambda) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left\| (\lambda + (1-t)A + tB)^{-1} \right\|^{2} dt \right) d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all A, B > 0.

Assume that $m_2 > m_1$. Then

$$(1-t) A + tB + \lambda \ge (1-t) m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda,$$

which implies that

$$((1-t)A + tB + \lambda)^{-1} \le ((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda)^{-1},$$

and

(2.11)
$$\left\| \left((1-t)A + tB + \lambda \right)^{-1} \right\|^2 \le \left((1-t)m_1 + tm_2 + \lambda \right)^{-2}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \ge 0$.

Therefore, by integrating (2.11) we derive

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda w \left(\lambda\right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left\| \left((1-t)A + tB + \lambda\right)^{-1} \right\|^{2} dt \right) dw \left(\lambda\right)$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda w \left(\lambda\right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left((1-t)m_{1} + tm_{2} + \lambda\right)^{-2} dt \right) dw \left(\lambda\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{m_{2} - m_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda w \left(\lambda\right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left((1-t)m_{1} + tm_{2} + \lambda\right)^{-1} dt \right) dw \left(\lambda\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{m_{2} - m_{1}} \left[\mathcal{M}\left(w, \mu\right)\left(m_{2}\right) - \mathcal{M}\left(w, \mu\right)\left(m_{1}\right)\right] \text{ (by (2.1))}$$

and by (2.10) we deduce

(2.12)
$$\|\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\|B - A\|}{m_2 - m_1} \left[\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m_2)\right].$$

The case $m_2 < m_1$ goes in a similar way and we also obtain (2.12).

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $B + \epsilon \ge m + \epsilon > m$. From (2.12) we get

$$\|\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B+\epsilon) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A)\| \le \frac{\|B+\epsilon - A\|}{m+\epsilon - m} \left[\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m+\epsilon) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(m)\right]$$

and by taking the limit over $\epsilon \to 0+$, using the continuity and differentiability of $\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)$ we deduce the second part of (2.9).

Corollary 3. Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and it has the representation (1.5). If $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then,

(2.13)
$$\|f(B) - f(A) - b(B - A)\|$$

$$\leq \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \left(\frac{f(m_2) - f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} - b\right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ (f'(m) - b) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (1.5) we have for T > 0 that

$$f(T) - f(0) - bT = \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu)(T),$$

for some positive measure μ , where $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda$, $\lambda \ge 0$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu) (B) - \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu) (A) = f (B) - f (A) - b (B - A),$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu) (m_2) - \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu) (m_1) = f (m_2) - f (m_1) - b (m_2 - m_1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}'(\ell,\mu)(m) = f'(m) - b.$$

By (2.9) we obtain

$$\|f(B) - f(A) - b(B - A)\|$$

$$\leq \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \left(\frac{f(m_2) - f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} - b\right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ (f'(m) - b) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to (2.13).

By the properties of the norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(B) - f(A)\| - b \|B - A\| \\ &\leq \|f(B) - f(A) - b (B - A)\| \\ &\leq \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \left(\frac{f(m_2) - f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} - b\right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \\ & (f'(m) - b) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

S. S. DRAGOMIR

which implies the following inequalities in which the nonnegative parameter \boldsymbol{b} is not involved

(2.14)
$$||f(B) - f(A)|| \le ||B - A|| \begin{cases} \frac{f(m_2) - f(m_1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ f'(m) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m_2 \end{cases}$$

where the function $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $(0,\infty)$.

By employing this inequality for power and logarithmic functions we can state the following results of interest:

Proposition 1. If $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then for $r \in (0, 1]$ we have the power inequalities

(2.15)
$$\|B^r - A^r\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{m_2^r - m_1^r}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ rm^{r-1} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m_2 \end{cases}$$

and the logarithmic inequalities

(2.16)
$$\|\ln B - \ln A\| \le \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{\ln m_2 - \ln m_1}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4. Assume that $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $[0, \infty)$ that has the representation (1.7). If $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then

$$(2.17) \qquad \left\| f\left(B\right)B^{-1} - f\left(A\right)A^{-1} - f\left(0\right)\left(B^{-1} - A^{-1}\right) - c\left(B - A\right) \right\| \\ \leq \left\| B - A \right\| \begin{cases} \left(\frac{f(m_2)m_2^{-1} - f(m_1)m_1^{-1} - f(0)\left(m_2^{-1} - m_1^{-1}\right)}{m_2 - m_1} - c\right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} - c\right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

If f(0) = 0, then we have the simpler inequalities

(2.18)
$$\|f(B)B^{-1} - f(A)A^{-1} - c(B - A)\|$$
$$\leq \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \left(\frac{f(m_2)m_2^{-1} - f(m_1)m_1^{-1}}{m_2 - m_1} - c\right) & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m)}{m^2} - c\right) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (1.7) we have for T > 0 that

$$(f(T) - f(0)) T^{-1} - f'_{+}(0) - cT = \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu) (T),$$

for some positive measure μ . Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu) (B) - \mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu) (A) = f (B) B^{-1} - f (A) A^{-1} - f (0) (B^{-1} - A^{-1}) - c (B - A),$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(m_2) - \mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(m_1) = f(m_2) m_2^{-1} - f(m_1) m_1^{-1} - f(0) (m_2^{-1} - m_1^{-1}) - c(m_2 - m_1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(m) = \frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} - c.$$

Then by (2.9) we get

$$\left\| f\left(B\right)B^{-1} - f\left(A\right)A^{-1} - f\left(0\right)\left(B^{-1} - A^{-1}\right) - c\left(B - A\right) \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\|B - A\right\| \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{f(m_2)m_2^{-1} - f(m_1)m_1^{-1} - f(0)\left(m_2^{-1} - m_1^{-1}\right)}{m_2 - m_1} - c \text{ if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} - c\right) \text{ if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{array} \right.$$

and the inequality (2.17) is obtained.

By the properties of the norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f\left(B\right)B^{-1} - f\left(A\right)A^{-1} - f\left(0\right)\left(B^{-1} - A^{-1}\right) \right\| &- c \left\|B - A\right\| \\ &\leq \left\|f\left(B\right)B^{-1} - f\left(A\right)A^{-1} - f\left(0\right)\left(B^{-1} - A^{-1}\right) - c\left(B - A\right)\right\| \\ &\leq \left\|B - A\right\| \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{f(m_2)m_2^{-1} - f(m_1)m_1^{-1} - f(0)\left(m_2^{-1} - m_1^{-1}\right)}{m_2 - m_1} - c\right) \text{ if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ &\left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} - c\right) \text{ if } m_1 = m_2 = m, \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

which implies the following inequalities in which the nonnegative parameter \boldsymbol{c} is not involved

(2.19)
$$\|f(B)B^{-1} - f(A)A^{-1} - f(0)(B^{-1} - A^{-1})\|$$

$$\leq \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{f(m_2)m_2^{-1} - f(m_1)m_1^{-1} - f(0)(m_2^{-1} - m_1^{-1})}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

By applying this inequality to the operator convex function $f(t) = -\ln(t+1)$, then we can state the following result:

Proposition 2. If $A \ge m_1 > 0$, $B \ge m_2 > 0$, then we have the logarithmic inequalities

(2.20)
$$\|B^{-1}\ln(B+1) - A^{-1}\ln(A+1)\|$$
$$\leq \|B - A\| \begin{cases} \frac{m_1^{-1}\ln(m_1+1) - m_2^{-1}\ln(m_2+1)}{m_2 - m_1} & \text{if } m_1 \neq m_2, \\ \frac{\ln(m+1) - m(m+1)^{-1}}{m^2} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m. \end{cases}$$

45

3. MIDPOINT AND TRAPEZOID INEQUALITIES

We have the following midpoint type inequalities:

Proposition 3. For all $A, B \ge m > 0$ we have the midpoint inequality

(3.1)
$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-t)A + tB \right) dt - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \|B-A\|.$$

Proof. Since $A, B \ge m$, hence $\frac{A+B}{2} \ge m > 0$ and $(1-t)A + tB \ge m > 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and by (2.9)

(3.2)
$$\left\| \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-t)A + tB \right) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right\|$$
$$\leq \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \left\| (1-t)A + tB - \frac{A+B}{2} \right\|$$
$$= \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| \|B - A\|$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Taking the integral in (3.2), we get

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-t) A + tB \right) dt - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right\|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-t) A + tB \right) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right\| dt$$

$$\leq \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \left\| B - A \right\| \int_{0}^{1} \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| dt = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \left\| B - A \right\|$$

and the inequality (3.1) is proved.

We have the following trapezoid type inequalities:

Proposition 4. For all $A, B \ge m > 0$ we have the trapezoid inequality

(3.3)
$$\left\|\frac{\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A) + \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B)}{2} - \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)((1-t)A + tB) dt\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \|B - A\|.$$

Proof. Since $A, B \ge m$, hence $(1 - s)A + s\frac{A+B}{2}$, $s\frac{A+B}{2} + (1 - s)B \ge m > 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and by Theorem 3 we get

(3.4)
$$\left\| \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)\left((1-s)A + s\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \|B-A\| s$$

and

(3.5)
$$\left\| \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B) - \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)\left(s\frac{A+B}{2} + (1-s)B\right) \right\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \|B - A\| s.$$

From (3.4) and (3.5) we derive by addition, division by 2 and triangle inequality that

$$\left\|\frac{\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A) + \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)\left((1-s)A + s\frac{A+B}{2}\right) + \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)\left(s\frac{A+B}{2} + (1-s)B\right)\right]\right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \|B - A\| s$$

for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

By taking the integral and using its properties, we derive

(3.6)
$$\left\| \frac{\mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(A) + \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)(B)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-s)A + s\frac{A+B}{2} \right) + \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(s\frac{A+B}{2} + (1-s)B \right) ds \right] \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \| B - A \| \int_{0}^{1} s ds = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{M}'(w,\mu)(m) \| B - A \|.$$

Now, using the change of variable t = 2s we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-t) A + t \frac{A+B}{2} \right) dt = \int_0^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-s) A + sB \right) ds$$

and by the change of variable t = 1 - v we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(t \frac{A+B}{2} + (1-t)A \right) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-v) \frac{A+B}{2} + vB \right) dv.$$

Moreover, if we make the change of variable v = 2s - 1 we also have

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)\left((1-v)\frac{A+B}{2}+vB\right)dv = \int_{1/2}^{1} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu)\left((1-s)A+sB\right)ds.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-s)A + s\frac{A+B}{2} \right) + \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left(s\frac{A+B}{2} + (1-s)B \right) \right] ds$$
$$= \int_0^{1/2} \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-s)A + sB \right) dt + \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-s)A + sB \right) ds$$
$$= \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}(w,\mu) \left((1-s)A + sB \right) ds$$

and by (3.6) we deduce the desired result (3.3).

The case of operator monotone functions is as follows:

Corollary 5. Assume that the function $f : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator monotone in $[0, \infty)$ and it has the representation (1.5). If $A, B \ge m > 0$, then we have the midpoint inequality

(3.7)
$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} f\left((1-t)A + tB \right) dt - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right) \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[f'(m) - b \right] \|B - A\| \leq \frac{1}{4} f'(m) \|B - A\|$$

and the trapezoid inequality

(3.8)
$$\left\|\frac{f(A) + f(B)}{2} - \int_{0}^{1} f((1-t)A + tB) dt\right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[f'(m) - b\right] \|B - A\| \leq \frac{1}{4} f'(m) \|B - A\|.$$

Proof. From (1.5) we have for T > 0 that

$$f(T) - f(0) - bT = \mathcal{M}(\ell, \mu)(T),$$

for some positive measure μ , where $\ell(\lambda) = \lambda$, $\lambda \ge 0$.

Therefore

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{M}\left(\ell,\mu\right) \left(\left(1-t\right)A+tB\right) dt = \int_0^1 f\left(\left(1-t\right)A+tB\right) dt - f\left(0\right) - b\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right),$$
$$\mathcal{M}\left(\ell,\mu\right) \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) = f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) - f\left(0\right) - b\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)$$
and

and

$$\mathcal{M}'(\ell,\mu)(m) = f'(m) - b.$$

From (3.1) we derive (3.7).

Since

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(A) = f(A) - f(0) - bA$$
, and $\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(B) = f(B) - f(0) - bB$,
then by (3.3) we derive (3.8).

Remark 2. If A, $B \ge m > 0$, then we have the midpoint inequality and the trapezoid inequality for power function with exponent $r \in (0, 1]$

(3.9)
$$\left\| \int_0^1 \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^r dt - \left(\frac{A+B}{2} \right)^r \right\| \le \frac{1}{4} rm^{r-1} \|B - A\|$$

and

(3.10)
$$\left\|\frac{A^{r}+B^{r}}{2}-\int_{0}^{1}\left((1-t)A+tB\right)^{r}dt\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}rm^{r-1}\left\|B-A\right\|.$$

The following inequalities for logarithm also hold

(3.11)
$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} \ln\left((1-t)A + tB \right) dt - \ln\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4m} \|B-A\|$$

and

(3.12)
$$\left\|\frac{\ln A + \ln B}{2} - \int_0^1 \ln\left((1-t)A + tB\right)dt\right\| \le \frac{1}{4m} \|B - A\|.$$

Corollary 6. Assume that $f:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is operator convex in $[0,\infty)$ that has the representation (1.7). If $A \ge m > 0$, $B \ge m > 0$, then

$$(3.13) \quad \left\| \int_{0}^{1} f\left((1-t)A + tB \right) \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^{-1} dt - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{-1} \right) \right\|$$
$$-f\left(0\right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^{-1} dt - \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{-1} \right) \right\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^{2}} - c \right) \|B - A\|$$
$$\leq \frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{4m^{2}} \|B - A\|$$

and

$$(3.14) \qquad \left\| \frac{f(A) A^{-1} + f(B) B^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t) A + tB) ((1-t) A + tB)^{-1} dt - f(0) \left(\frac{A^{-1} + B^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 ((1-t) A + tB)^{-1} dt \right) \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{f'(m) m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} - c \right) \|B - A\| \\ \leq \frac{f'(m) m - f(m) + f(0)}{4m^2} \|B - A\|.$$

Proof. From (1.7) we have for T > 0 that

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(T) = (f(T) - f(0))T^{-1} - f'_{+}(0) - cT,$$

49

for some positive measure μ . Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu) \left((1-t)A + tB \right) dt$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} f\left((1-t)A + tB \right) \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^{-1} dt - f(0) \int_{0}^{1} \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^{-1} dt$
- $f'_{+}(0) - c\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right),$

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) = f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{-1} - f\left(0\right)\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{-1} - f'_{+}\left(0\right) - c\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(m) = \frac{f'(m)m - f(m) + f(0)}{m^2} - c.$$

By utilizing (3.1) we get (3.13).

Since

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(A) = (f(A) - f(0))A^{-1} - f'_{+}(0) - cA$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\ell,\mu)(B) = (f(B) - f(0)) B^{-1} - f'_{+}(0) - cB,$$

hence by (3.3) we get (3.14).

Remark 3. In the case when f(0) = 0 in Corollary 6, we have the simpler inequalities

(3.15)
$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} f\left((1-t)A + tB \right) \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^{-1} dt - f\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{-1} \right\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m)}{m^{2}} - c \right) \|B - A\| \leq \frac{f'(m)m - f(m)}{4m^{2}} \|B - A\|$$

and

(3.16)
$$\left\| \frac{f(A)A^{-1} + f(B)B^{-1}}{2} - \int_0^1 f((1-t)A + tB)((1-t)A + tB)^{-1}dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{f'(m)m - f(m)}{m^2} - c \right) \|B - A\| \leq \frac{f'(m)m - f(m)}{4m^2} \|B - A\|.$$

If in these inequalities we take the operator convex function $f(t) = -\ln(t+1)$, then we get

(3.17)
$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} \ln\left((1-t)A + tB + 1 \right) \left((1-t)A + tB \right)^{-1} dt - \ln\left(\frac{A+B}{2} + 1\right) \left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{-1} \right\| \\ \leq \frac{\ln\left(m+1\right) - m\left(m+1\right)^{-1}}{m^{2}} \left\| B - A \right\|$$

and

(3.18)
$$\left\| \frac{A^{-1}\ln(A+1) + B^{-1}\ln(B+1)}{2} - \int_{0}^{1}\ln\left((1-t)A + tB + 1\right)\left((1-t)A + tB\right)^{-1}dt \right\| \\ \leq \frac{\ln(m+1) - m(m+1)^{-1}}{m^{2}} \left\| B - A \right\|.$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions that have been implemented in the final version of the manuscript.

References

- H. Araki and S. Yamagami, An inequality for Hilbert-Schmidt norm, Commun. Math. Phys. 81 (1981), 89-96.
- R. Bhatia, First and second order perturbation bounds for the operator absolute value, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 208/209 (1994), 367-376.
- [3] R. Bhatia, Perturbation bounds for the operator absolute value. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 226/228 (1995), 639–645.
- [4] R. Bhatia, D. Singh and K. B. Sinha, Differentiation of operator functions and perturbation bounds. Comm. Math. Phys. 191 (1998), no. 3, 603–611.
- [5] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 169. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xii+347 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94846-5.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Operator monotonicity of an integral transform of positive operators in Hilbert spaces with applications, Preprint *RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll.* **23** (2020), to appear.
- [7] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, Estimates of the closeness of spectral decompositions of self-adjoint operators in the Kantorovich-Rubinshtein metric (in Russian), Vesln. Leningrad. Gos. Univ. Ser. Mat. Mekh. Astronom. 4 (1967), 155-156.
- [8] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, An estimate of the norm ||f(B) f(A)|| for self-adjoint operators A and B (in Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. 56 (1976), 143-162.
- [9] Yu. B. Farforovskaya and L. Nikolskaya, Modulus of continuity of operator functions. Algebra i Analiz 20 (2008), no. 3, 224–242; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 20 (2009), no. 3, 493–506.
- [10] J. I. Fujii, Y. Seo, On parametrized operator means dominated by power ones, Sci. Math. 1 (1998) 301–306.
- [11] T. Furuta, Concrete examples of operator monotone functions obtained by an elementary method without appealing to Löwner integral representation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429 (2008) 972–980.

S. S. DRAGOMIR

- [12] T. Furuta, Precise lower bound of f(A) f(B) for A > B > 0 and non-constant operator monotone function f on $[0, \infty)$. J. Math. Inequal. 9 (2015), no. 1, 47–52.
- [13] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungsteorie der Spektralzerlegung, Math. Ann. 123 (1951) 415– 438.
- [14] T. Kato, Continuity of the map $S \rightarrow |S|$ for linear operators, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **49** (1973), 143-162.
- [15] K. Löwner, Über monotone MatrixFunktionen, Math. Z. 38 (1934) 177–216.

S. S. DRAGOMIR: MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, VICTORIA UNI-VERSITY, PO Box 14428, MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001, AUSTRALIA.

Email address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au *URL*: http://rgmia.org/dragomir

S. S. DRAGOMIR: DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STA-TISTICAL SCIENCES, SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA.