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In-plane shear strength of paper measured by asymmetric four-point bending test

Abstract: The in-plane shear strengths (IPSS) of copy paper, filter paper, and sack paper were obtained from an asymmetric four-point bending (AFPB) test. Rectangular tabs of medium-density fibreboard (MDF) were bonded to the paper specimen. The length of the clearance between the tabs was varied, and the influence of the clearance on the IPSS value was investigated. The IPSS obtained from the AFPB test was compared with that obtained from a 35° off-axis tension (OAT) test, which was proposed in a previous study. The IPSS values obtained from the AFPB tests on the copy paper and sack paper were significantly lower than those obtained from the 35° OAT tests because of the localised buckling caused by the negative principal stress. In contrast, the IPSS values obtained from the AFPB and OAT tests on the filter paper were in agreement when the clearance length was >5 mm because the buckling effect was excluded.
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Introduction

The mechanical properties of papers as packaging material and in containers, including the in-plane shear strength (IPSS), must be determined reliably for effective utilisation. Several studies focused on the IPSS of paper through simple shear (SS) tests (Fellers 1977; Heckers and Göttsching 1980; Waterhouse 1984; Pan and Zhang 1997), but there are no established methods for measuring the IPSS of paper.

Off-axis tension (OAT) tests of several papers were conducted in a previous study, and an equation for predicting the IPSS of paper was proposed by means of the 35° OAT strength (Yoshihara and Yoshinobu 2014). However, the IPSS was not measured under a pure shear stress condition in the quoted study. The IPSS was roughly estimated based on the concept that the strength properties of paper obey certain Hill-type failure conditions proposed by Norris (1962), Azzi and Tsai (1965), and Wu and Stachurski (1984). Because the experimental conditions were not well examined, the suitability of the method for IPSS determination of paper is not yet validated. A method based on the off-axis loading (OAL) tests was proposed for determining the shear properties including the shear stress-strain relationship, shear modulus, and shear strength of composite materials (Koerber et al. 2010).

Here the OAL test data are regressed into a suitable failure condition in the combined normal and in-plane shear stress state, and the pure IPSS was determined by extrapolating the normal stress component to zero. This method is advantageous in that the shear modulus can be obtained as well as the shear strength. Nevertheless, it requires the angle between the fracture plane and specimen’s surface in the thickness direction. Because of the thinness of paper, this angle is difficult to measure. Considering the drawbacks of OAT test, it is more desirable to measure the IPSS by another method. Asymmetric four-point bending (AFPB) tests have been often conducted to measure the IPSS of solid wood and wood-based materials under near pure shear stress conditions (Yoshihara and Suzuki 2005; Yoshihara 2009, 2012; Yoshihara and Kondo 2013). The working hypothesis is that this method may also be applicable for measuring the IPSS of paper.

In this study, AFPB tests were performed on samples of copy paper, filter paper and sack paper. The validity of this method was examined by comparing the results with those obtained from finite element (FE) calculations and the 35° OAT test proposed in the aforementioned study.
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Materials and methods

Commercial copy paper, unbleached sack paper, and filter paper, all of which consisted of cellulose, were investigated, and off-axis (OA) specimens were cut from these papers. For each specimen, the basis weight, thickness, and density were measured, and are listed in Table 1. The thickness of each sample was measured according to JIS P8118-98 (1998) with a micrometer (measuring range = 0–25 mm, 0.01 mm divisions, PPM-25, Mitsutoyo, Kawasaki, Japan) with a constant pressure of 50 kPa applied by a pair of flat circular ground faces of 14.3 mm in diameter. The specimen was prepared in a climate room (20°C, 65% RH). Ten specimens were prepared for each test condition.

Table 1 Basis weight, thickness, and density of the test samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper type</th>
<th>Basis weight (g m⁻²)</th>
<th>Thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Density (kg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy p.</td>
<td>70.5±1.2</td>
<td>90±1</td>
<td>805±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter p.</td>
<td>282±6</td>
<td>908±10</td>
<td>310±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sack p.</td>
<td>99±2</td>
<td>151±2</td>
<td>700±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finite element analysis (FEA): A three-dimensional (3D) FEA was performed prior to the AFPB tests using the FEA program ANSYS 12.0 (2009). Figure 1 presents a diagram of the FE mesh of the model. The side and top views of the model are shown in Figure 1a and b, respectively, whereas the mesh detail is provided in Figure 1c. The model consisted of eight noded brick elements.

A model with a length direction that is coincident with the machine direction (MD) and a model that is coincident with the cross direction (CD) of the paper are defined as an MD and CD models, respectively. For a beam specimen cut from the paper sheet, the MD, CD, and thickness direction are defined as the M, C, and z directions, respectively, whereas the length and depth directions of the specimen were defined as the x and y directions, respectively. The model had a length of 260 mm. The depth W was 40 mm. The width B, corresponding to the thickness of the paper, were 0.09 mm (copy paper), 0.9 mm (filter paper), and 0.15 mm (sack paper).

The elastic constants were measured through uniaxial tension tests of rectangular specimens prior to the FEAs. Each specimen had dimensions of 240 mm by 20 mm. To measure the strain in the length direction, two 20 mm straight lines were drawn at the mid-length point. The specimen was clamped with grips at the distance of 180 mm, and a load was applied to the specimen with the cross-head speed of 1.8 mm. The elongation between these lines and the shrinkage of the width were photographed with a CCD camera at...
an interval of 0.5 s and analysed by a high-speed digital image sensor (Keyence CV-5000SO, Keyence Corporation, Osaka, Japan); the length and transverse strains were obtained from these elongations. The Young’s modulus in the M direction (\(E_M\)) and Poisson’s ratio in the MC plane (\(\nu_{MC}\)) were obtained by using the specimen whose length direction coincided with the machine direction. The Young’s modulus in the C direction, \(E_C\), was obtained from the specimen the length direction of which coincided with the CD. Based on the specimen with the OA angle of 45°, the shear modulus in the MC plane, \(G_{MC}\), was obtained (Eq. 1):

\[
G_{MC} = \frac{E_M}{2(1+\nu_{MC})}
\]

where \(E_M\) and \(\nu_{MC}\) are the OA Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the specimen with the OA angle of 45°. The elastic constants of the papers obtained from the tension tests are listed in Table 2. In the 3D-FEA, the Young’s modulus in the z direction, \(E_z\), and the Poisson’s ratio and shear modulus in the Mz, and Cz plane, i.e., \(\nu_{MC},\nu_{Mz}, G_{MC},\) and \(G_{Mz}\) are required. Because it was difficult to measure these values, they were derived as \(E_z = E_M,\nu_{MC} = \nu_{Mz},\) and \(G_{MC} = G_{Mz}\) in these analyses. The elastic constants were obtained based on the concept that the stress and strain are distributed homogeneously in the gauge region (Yoshihara et al. 1998, 1999; Kubojima et al. 2000). It should also be noted that these elastic constants were also determined based on non-uniform state of stress/strain at the gauge section (Xavier et al. 2007, 2009, 2013).

It is difficult to conduct AFPB tests on paper without bonding any tabs to the paper specimen because of its thinness. Therefore, four rectangular tabs of medium-density fiberboard (MDF) with a thickness of 12 mm were bonded on both ends of the specimen in the actual AFPB test. Yoshihara (2011, 2013) assumed that MDF is isotropic, so the Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and shear modulus of the MDF applied in the present calculations were 3.2 GPa, 0.36, and 1.18 GPa, respectively.

The clearance between the tab edges was defined as \(c\). Similar to the actual AFPB test, the \(c\) value was varied from 0 to 20 mm in 5-mm increments. As illustrated in Figure 1a, the node corresponding to the locations at \(x = 10, 170\) mm of the bottom surface were constrained, and a vertical displacement (\(u_x\)) of 1 mm was applied downward to the nodes corresponding to the locations at \(x = 90\) and \(250\) mm of the top surface. Therefore, the span length \(L\) was 240 mm. The total load \(P\) was obtained from the nodal forces at the loading points, whereas the shear strain was determined from the node at the neutral axis of the mid-length of the surface element. The IPSS at the neutral axis in the mid-span \(\tau_{xy}^{\text{FEM}}\) was calculated by substituting \(P\) into Eq. 2, which is derived based on the elementary beam theory:

\[
\tau_{xy}^{\text{FEM}} = \frac{3P}{4BW}
\]
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Table 2 Elastic constants obtained by the uniaxial-tension tests of each paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper type</th>
<th>(E_y) (GPa)</th>
<th>(E_z) (GPa)</th>
<th>(\nu_{MC})</th>
<th>(G_{MC}) (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy p.</td>
<td>5.24±0.94</td>
<td>3.50±0.11</td>
<td>0.30±0.07</td>
<td>1.72±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter p.</td>
<td>0.47±0.05</td>
<td>0.29±0.01</td>
<td>0.29±0.03</td>
<td>0.15±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sack p.</td>
<td>4.15±0.80</td>
<td>1.80±0.17</td>
<td>0.10±0.02</td>
<td>0.95±0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(E_c = E_y, \nu_{MC} = \nu_{c},\) and \(G_{MC} = G_{MC}\).
The value along the neutral axis corresponding to the location of the principal stress angle, defined as $\alpha$, was calculated from the Eq. 3, which was proposed by Yoshihara and Yoshinobu (2014):

$$S_{xy} = 0.6 F_n$$

(3)

where $F_n$ is the tensile strength obtained from the tension test of the 35° OA specimen.

**Results and discussion**

There are significant differences between the values of $S_{xy}$ in the FEA results; therefore, the value of $\alpha$, defined as $r_{xy}^{\text{FEM}} / r_{xy}^{\text{EBT}}$, was calculated along with the principal stress angle, defined as $\phi$. Figure 4 shows the $\alpha$ value along the neutral axis corresponding to the location from the mid-span of the model/clearance length $x/c$. If elementary beam theory is applicable, the $\alpha$ value should be equal to 1. Because of the large deformation of the tab-free region, however, beam theory is not effective because $v$ deviates from 1. When $c=0$, the $\alpha$ values obtained from the three paper models are different and $>1$. In contrast, they are $<1$ when the $c$ value is $>5$ mm, and they increase as $c$ increases. There was a concern that a significant stress concentration at the inner edge of the tab might induce failure at the inner edge. From the distribution of the $\alpha$ value, however, the stress concentration was effectively reduced when the $c$ value was appropriately determined. In addition, the $\phi$ value in the tab-free region was approximately equal to 45°, so a pure shear stress condition was confirmed. Therefore, the shear stress at the tab-free region can be derived from the applied load using the $\alpha$ value.

To obtain the shear strength of the paper specimen, the value of $\overline{\tau}$ was obtained by averaging the $\alpha$ values (Table 3). The table indicates that the $\overline{\alpha}$ values obtained from each paper are similar with each other in the same clearance length except for $c=0$. Using the $\overline{\alpha}$ value, the shear strength $S_{xy}$ obtained from the AFPB test was calculated from the following equation:

$$S_{xy} = \frac{3P_{\text{max}}}{4BW}$$

(4)

where $P_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum load. A comparison of the $S_{xy}$ values determined from the AFPB and 35° OAT tests are presented in Figure 3. There are no significant differences between the shear strengths obtained from the MD and CD specimens for the AFPB test results. When $c=0$, the $S_{xy}$ value obtained from the AFPB test is significantly greater than that obtained from the OAT test. When the $c$ value is $>5$ mm, the $S_{xy}$ values of the filter paper coincide well with those obtained from the off-axis tension test, whereas those of copy paper and sack paper are significantly lower than those obtained from the OAT test. This difference is due to the thinness of the material.

Figure 4 shows a photograph of the specimens after conducting the AFPB tests. When a pure shear stress occurs in a material, the principal stresses should be $\pm 45^\circ$. Because of the negative principal stress, a localised buckling is easily induced, as shown in Figure 4, when the specimen is very thin. The oblique wrinkles in the copy paper and sack paper are due to localised buckling, whereas the failures are induced perpendicular to the wrinkles. This buckling can be easily induced under a small load, and the $S_{xy}$ value obtained from the AFPB test is therefore lower than that obtained from the OAT test, where compressive stress does not occur. In contrast, the filter paper is thick enough to prevent the specimen from buckling, so the $S_{xy}$
value obtained by the AFPB test is close to that obtained from the OAT test. The shear strength is a material property that is essentially independent of the geometry of the material. Considering this principle, the conflict between the $S_{xy}$ values obtained by these different methods must be reduced. When a pure shear load is applied to a thin paper, however, the localised buckling is not inevitably reduced. Therefore, it may be necessary to estimate the shear strength of paper according to the test methods, including the OAT, SS, and AFPB tests. Additionally, it may be necessary to compare the shear strengths obtained from different methods with each other.

![Specimens after conducting the AFPB test.](image)

When $c=5–10$ mm, the dependence of the $S_{xy}$ value on the clearance length is restricted for the papers examined in this study. In this range of clearance length, the $\bar{\alpha}$ value is approximately 0.72. Further research should be conducted to determine the appropriate $\bar{\alpha}$ value for various papers. Additionally, full-field displacement measurement methods including digital image correlation (DIC) technique may provide more detailed information on the shear properties of paper (Choi et al. 1991; Enomae 2007; Koerber et al. 2010; Xavier et al. 2007, 2009, 2013). These results do, however, show promise in determining the shear strength of paper through AFPB tests under a rather pure shear stress condition.

### Conclusions

The IPSS of copy paper, filter paper, and sack paper was measured by an AFPB test, and the validity of the AFPB test was examined through a subsequent $35^\circ$ OAT test and numerical analysis. The IPSS values obtained from the AFPB test for the copy paper and sack paper were lower than those obtained from the $35^\circ$ OAT test because of localised buckling caused by negative principal stress. In contrast, the IPSS values of the filter paper obtained from
the AFPB and OAT tests coincided well with each other when the clearance length was >5 mm, as buckling did not occur.
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