

ANR of σ -Metric Stratifiable Spaces

Bao-Lin GUO and Takuo MIWA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan

Department of Mathematics, Northeast Normal University,
ChangChun, Jilin, China

and

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan

(Received September 6, 1989)

For a real vector space E , the second author introduced the locally convex topology \mathcal{T} in [15] such that (E, \mathcal{T}) is the strongest locally convex topology contained in the finite topology. In this paper, we shall prove the following:

- (1) (E, \mathcal{T}) is a σ -metric stratifiable space.
- (2) For any σ -metric stratifiable space X , X can be embedded in a AR(σ -metric stratifiable)-space as a closed subset.
- (3) For each natural number n , the fundamental subspace E_n of (E, \mathcal{T}) is AE(stratifiable).
- (4) For any σ -metric stratifiable space X , X is AR(σ -metric stratifiable)(resp. ANR) if and only if X is AE(σ -metric stratifiable)(resp. ANE).

§1. Introduction

In [18], K. Nagami called a topological space σ -metric if the space is the countable union of closed metric subsets. (Gruenhagen called it F_σ -metrizable in [7].) K. Nagami introduced the notion of σ -metric spaces for the purpose of investigations of dimension theory, and dimension theory of σ -metric spaces was studied in [18], [19], [17] etc.

On the other hand, many examples of stratifiable spaces seem to have the σ -metric type. For example, every CW -complex is σ -metric, and even every chunk complex [5] is also σ -metric. Further every Hyman's M -space is also of this type (cf. [10], [20]).

In this paper, we study ANR of σ -metric stratifiable spaces. In section 3, we prove that the space $|E|_C$ is σ -metric, where $|E|_C$ is the linear space E equipped with the locally convex topology (cf. [15]). Furthermore, we show that each σ -metric stratifiable space X can be embedded into the AR(σ -metric stratifiable)-space $E(X)$ as a closed subset (for $E(X)$, see [14]). In section 4, we prove that, for each natural number n , the fundamental subspace E_n of $|E|_C$ is hyperconnected, accordingly it is AE(stratifiable). In section 5, we shall give some considerations for adjunction spaces and some generalizations of the Borsuk-Whitehead-Hanner's theorem.

Throughout this paper, we assume that all spaces are regular and all maps are continuous. The letters N and R denote the set of all natural numbers and all real numbers, respectively. For M_1 -spaces and stratifiable spaces, see [5] and [1]. For AR, AE, ANR and ANE, see [9]. Every terminology should be referred to [6], [9] and [11], unless otherwise stated.

§2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we exclusively use the notation which we state in this section. E is a real vector space with a Hamel basis $\mathcal{B} = \{u_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$. Let \mathcal{E}_n be all n -dimensional linear subspaces of E generated by n elements of \mathcal{B} (i.e. $\mathcal{E}_n = \{\langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n} \rangle: \alpha_i \in A, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$).

Now, we restate the construction of the locally convex topology in a real vector space ([15; Construction 2.1]).

CONSTRUCTION 2.1. Let E be a real vector space with a Hamel basis $\mathcal{B} = \{u_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$, and \mathcal{E}_n all n -dimensional linear subspaces of E generated by n elements of \mathcal{B} . For each $\alpha \in A$, pick up $n_\alpha \in N$. Let $U_1 = \cup \{\{tu_\alpha: |t| < 1/n_\alpha\}: \alpha \in A\}$. By using induction, if U_{n-1} has been defined for $n \geq 2$, let $U_n = \cup \{\text{conv}(F \cap U_{n-1}): F \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$, where $\text{conv } A$ is the convex hull of A . Let $U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A) = \cup \{U_n: n \in N\}$ and \mathcal{U} be all $U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$.

By [15; Lemma 2.2], \mathcal{U} satisfies the local base condition. Therefore by [11; Theorem 5.1], $\mathcal{T} = \{W \subset E: \text{For each } x \in W, \text{ there is } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } x + U \subset W\}$ is a vector topology (i.e. (E, \mathcal{T}) is a linear topological space) and \mathcal{U} is a local base for \mathcal{T} . We denote the space E equipped with this topology \mathcal{T} by $|E|_{\mathcal{C}}$, and we call it the *locally convex topology*.

For a full simplicial complex K , we embed K in a suitable vector space E with the locally convex topology so that its vertices are at the unit points of E . In this case, we say that K has the *locally convex topology*, and we denote the space K with this topology by $|K|_{\mathcal{C}}$. (Note that the original definition of the locally convex topology of K [13] coincides with the above definition.) For some investigations of $|E|_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $|K|_{\mathcal{C}}$, see [13], [15] and [16].

For a space X , we restate the construction of $E(X)$ ([14; Construction 3.1]).

CONSTRUCTION 2.2. Let X be a space. $A(X)$ denotes the full simplicial complex with the locally convex topology which has all points of X as the set of vertices. Let i be the canonical bijection from the 0-skeleton A^0 of $A(X)$ onto X . Then $E(X)$ is the set $A(X)$ equipped with the topology generated by sets U such that

- (C1) U is open in $A(X)$ and $i(U \cap X)$ is open in X ,
- (C2) U is convex in $A(X)$.

It is clear from (C1) that X is closed in $E(X)$. By (C2), it is clear that $E(X)$ is locally convex. For some consideration of $E(X)$, see [14].

§3. Embeddings to AR spaces

For a real vector space E , we first prove the following:

THEOREM 3.1. $|E|_C$ is σ -metric.

PROOF. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$, since F is homeomorphic to the n -dimensional Euclidean space, we can suppose that d is the Euclidean metric function on F . For $x, y \in F$, we define a metric function d_F on F as follows:

$$d_F(x, y) = \min\{1, d(x, y)\}.$$

For any $F \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F^m = \{x \in F: d_F(x, 0) \geq 1/m\}$, where 0 is the origin of E . For any $F = \langle u_{\alpha_1}, u_{\alpha_2} \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_2$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F^m = \{x \in F: d_F(x, \langle u_{\alpha_i} \rangle) \geq 1/m, i = 1, 2\}$. In general, for any $F = \langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n} \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_n$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F^m = \{x \in F: d_F(x, \langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \hat{u}_{\alpha_j}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n} \rangle) \geq 1/m, j = 1, \dots, n\}$, where $\langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \hat{u}_{\alpha_j}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n} \rangle = \langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_{j-1}}, u_{\alpha_{j+1}}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n} \rangle$.

Now, we construct a countable cover of $|E|_C$. Let $A_0 = \{0\}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n^m = \cup \{F^m: F \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$. Then it is clear that $\{A_0\} \cup \{A_n^m: m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable cover of $|E|_C$. Next, we shall prove the following:

- (1) A_n^m is closed in $|E|_C$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) A_n^m is metrizable for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of (1): Let $x \notin A_n^m$. If $x = 0$, for each $\alpha \in A$ we can pick up some $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_\alpha < 1/m$. Then $U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$ is a neighborhood of $x = 0$, and $U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A) \cap F^m = \emptyset$ for each $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Therefore $U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A) \cap A_n^m = \emptyset$. Next, if $x \neq 0$, there is $G = \langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_k} \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_k$ such that $x \in G - \cup \{\langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \hat{u}_{\alpha_j}, \dots, u_{\alpha_k} \rangle: j = 1, \dots, k\}$. In case $k < n$, for each $\alpha \in A$, there is $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_\alpha < 1/m$. Then $W = x + U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$ is a neighborhood of x , and it is easily seen that $W \cap F^m = \emptyset$ for each $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Thus $W \cap A_n^m = \emptyset$. In case $k = n$, let $\varepsilon = d_G(x, G^m)$. For each $\alpha_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$, there is $n_{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_{\alpha_i} < \varepsilon/k$. For each $\beta \in A - \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$, there is $n_\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_\beta < 1/m$. For these $n_\alpha (\alpha \in A)$, $W = x + U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$ is a neighborhood of x , and it is easily seen that $W \cap F^m = \emptyset$ for each $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Thus $W \cap A_n^m = \emptyset$. In case $k > n$, if $x = a_{\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_{\alpha_k} u_{\alpha_k}$, let $\varepsilon = \min\{|a_{\alpha_i}|: i = 1, \dots, k\}$. For each $\alpha_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$, there is $n_{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_{\alpha_i} < \varepsilon$. For each $\beta \in A - \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$, there is $n_\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n_\beta < 1/m$. For these $n_\alpha (\alpha \in A)$, $W = x + U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A)$ is a neighborhood of x , and it is easily verified that $W \cap F^m = \emptyset$ for each $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Thus $W \cap A_n^m = \emptyset$. For all cases, there is a neighborhood W of x such that $W \cap A_n^m = \emptyset$. This proves that A_n^m is closed in $|E|_C$.

Proof of (2): We define a metric function on A_n^m as follows: For each $x, y \in A_n^m$,

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} d_F(x, y) & (\text{if } x, y \in F^m \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{E}_n) \\ 1 & (\text{if } x \in F^m, y \in G^m, F \neq G, \text{ for some } F, G \in \mathcal{E}_n). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that d is a metric function on A_n^m . Further, the relative topology of A_n^m coincides with the topology induced by d . In fact, for any point $x \in A_n^m$, $\{(x + U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A)) \cap A_n^m: U(n_\alpha: \alpha \in A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and $\{B(x; \varepsilon): \varepsilon > 0\}$ (where $B(x; \varepsilon) = \{y \in A_n^m: d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$) are equivalent local bases of x in A_n^m . Thus the proof is completed.

The following corollary is trivial.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Every subspace of $|E|_C$ is σ -metric. In particular, for a simplicial complex K , $|K|_C$ is σ -metric.*

We obtain the next theorem as a by-product of the proof of Theorem 3.1. In fact, each A_n^m does not contain any open subset of $|E|_C$.

THEOREM 3.3. *$|E|_C$ is not a Baire space.*

In conclusion of this section, we prove the closed embedding theorem of σ -metric stratifiable spaces.

THEOREM 3.4. *If X is a σ -metric stratifiable space, then $E(X)$ is an AR(σ -metric stratifiable)-space containing X as a closed subset.*

PROOF. We use the notation of Construction 2.2. First since X is σ -metric space, let $X = \cup\{A_n: n \in N\}$, where A_n is closed in X for each $n \in N$. Then since X is closed in $E(X)$, each A_n is closed in $E(X)$. Next, since $E(X)$ is stratifiable by [14; Theorem 3.3], X is a G_δ -subset of $E(X)$. There is a countable open family $\{U_n: n \in N\}$ of $E(X)$ such that $\cap\{U_n: n \in N\} = X$. Since $E(X) - U_n$ is a closed subset of $A(X)$, by Corollary 3.2 there is a countable closed family $\{B_{nk}: k \in N\}$ of $E(X) - U_n$ such that $E(X) - U_n = \cup\{B_{nk}: k \in N\}$ and each B_{nk} is metrizable. Therefore $E(X) = (\cup\{A_n: n \in N\}) \cup (\cup\{B_{nk}: n \in N, k \in N\})$. Thus $E(X)$ is σ -metric. By [14; Theorem 3.4], since $E(X)$ is hyperconnected, $E(X)$ is AR(σ -metric stratifiable). Thus the proof is completed.

§4. The fundamental subspaces E_n of $|E|_C$

Let $E_n = \cup \mathcal{E}_n = \cup\{F: F \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$. We call each E_n the *fundamental subspace* of $|E|_C$. In this section, we prove that each E_n is AE(stratifiable). Before proving this theorem, we state the definition of hyperconnectedness (cf. [12] or [2]). Throughout this section, let P_{n-1} denote the unit simplex in the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n (i.e., $P_{n-1} = \{t \in R^n: \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1 \text{ and each } t_i \geq 0\}$), and A^n the n -fold cartesian product of any set A . Furthermore, let $\delta_i: A^n \rightarrow A^{n-1}$ be the function defined by

$$\delta_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

DEFINITION 4.1. A space L will be called *hyperconnected* if there exist functions $h_i: L^i \times P_{i-1} \rightarrow L$ for each $i \in N$, such that they satisfy conditions (a), (b), (c):

- (a) $t \in P_{n-1}$ and $t_i = 0$ implies $h_n(x, t) = h_{n-1}(\delta_i x, \delta_i t)$ for each $x \in L^n$ and $n \in N - \{1\}$,
- (b) for each $x \in L^n$, the function $t \rightarrow h_n(x, t)$, from P_{n-1} to L , is continuous,
- (c) for each $x \in L$ and neighborhood U of x , there exists a neighborhood V of x such that $V \subset U$ and

$$\cup \{h_i(V^i \times P_{i-1}): i \in N\} \subset U.$$

Now, we begin to prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2. E_1 is hyperconnected.

PROOF. $h_1: E_1 \times P_0 \rightarrow E_1$ is defined by $h_1(x, \{1\}) = x$.

In case $i = 2$, let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in (E_1)^2$ and $t = (t_1, t_2) \in P_1$. First, we consider the case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_1$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in F$. Then $h_2: (E_1)^2 \times P_1 \rightarrow E_1$ is defined by

$$h_2(x, t) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2.$$

Next, in case that there are $F_i \in \mathcal{E}_1 (i = 1, 2)$ such that $x_i \in F_i (i = 1, 2)$, $x_1 = x_{1\beta} u_\beta$ and $x_2 = x_{2\gamma} u_\gamma$. If $x_{1\beta} x_{2\gamma} > 0$, the segment $[x_1, x_2] (= \{s_1 x_1 + s_2 x_2: s_1 + s_2 = 1, s_1, s_2 \geq 0\})$ and the line $\langle u_\beta + u_\gamma \rangle$ cross at $\bar{t}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{t}_1) x_2$, where $\bar{t}_1 = \frac{x_{2\gamma}}{x_{1\beta} + x_{2\gamma}}$. If $x_{1\beta} x_{2\gamma} < 0$, the segment $[x_1, x_2]$ and the line $\langle u_\beta - u_\gamma \rangle$ cross at $\bar{t}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{t}_1) x_2$, where $\bar{t}_1 = \frac{x_{2\gamma}}{x_{2\gamma} - x_{1\beta}}$. Then h_2 is defined by

$$h_2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{t}_1 - t_1}{\bar{t}_1} x_2 & (\text{if } 0 \leq t_1 \leq \bar{t}_1) \\ \frac{t_1 - \bar{t}_1}{1 - \bar{t}_1} x_1 & (\text{if } \bar{t}_1 \leq t_1 \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

In case $i = 3$, let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (E_1)^3$ and $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in P_2$. First, we consider the case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_1$ such that $x_i \in F (i = 1, 2, 3)$. Then $h_3: (E_1)^3 \times P_2 \rightarrow E_1$ is defined by

$$h_3(x, t) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3.$$

Next, in case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_1$ such that $x_1 \in F$, $x_k \notin F (k = 2, 3)$, h_2 is defined by

$$h_3(x, t) = \begin{cases} h_2 \left(\left(x_1, h_2 \left(\delta_1 x, \delta_1 \left(\frac{t}{1 - t_1} \right) \right) \right), (t_1, 1 - t_1) \right) & (\text{if } t_1 \neq 1) \\ x_1 & (\text{if } t_1 = 1). \end{cases}$$

Any other case (i.e. $x_2 \in F$, $x_1 \notin F$, $x_3 \notin F$; etc.) is similar.

We assume that, for $k \leq n-1$, $h_k: (E_1)^k \times P_{k-1} \rightarrow E_1$ were defined inductively. In case $i = n$, let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (E_1)^n$ and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in P_{n-1}$. First, we consider the case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_1$ such that $x_i \in F (i = 1, \dots, n)$. Then $h_n: (E_1)^n \times P_{n-1} \rightarrow E_1$ is defined by

$$h_n(x, t) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + \dots + t_n x_n.$$

Next, in case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_1$ such that $x_1 \in F$, $x_i \notin F (i = 2, \dots, n)$, h_n is defined by

$$h_n(x, t) = \begin{cases} h_2\left(\left(x_1, h_{n-1}\left(\delta_1 x, \delta_1\left(\frac{t}{1-t_1}\right)\right)\right), (t_1, 1-t_1)\right) & (\text{if } t_1 \neq 1) \\ x_1 & (\text{if } t_1 = 1). \end{cases}$$

Any other case (i.e. $x_2 \in F$, $x_1 \notin F$, $x_i \notin F (i = 3, \dots, n)$; etc.) is similar.

It is easily verified by the constructions of h_n and the locally convex topology that these functions h_n satisfy the conditions (a), (b), (c) of Definition 4.1.

LEMMA 4.3. E_2 is hyperconnected.

PROOF. $h_1: E_2 \times P_0 \rightarrow E_2$ is defined by $h_1(x, \{1\}) = x$.

In case $i = 2$, let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in (E_2)^2$ and $t = (t_1, t_2) \in P_1$. First, we consider the case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_2$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in F$. Then $h_2: (E_2)^2 \times P_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is defined by

$$h_2(x, t) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2.$$

Secondly, in case that there are $F_i \in \mathcal{E}_2 (i = 1, 2)$ such that $x_i \in F_i (i = 1, 2)$, $x_1 = x_{1\alpha} u_\alpha + x_{1\beta} u_\beta$ and $x_2 = x_{2\alpha} u_\alpha + x_{2\gamma} u_\gamma$. If $x_{1\beta} x_{2\gamma} > 0$, the segment $[x_1, x_2] (= \{s_1 x_1 + s_2 x_2: s_1 + s_2 = 1, s_1, s_2 \geq 0\})$ and the plane $\langle u_\alpha, u_\beta + u_\gamma \rangle$ cross at $\bar{t}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{t}_1) x_2$, where $\bar{t}_1 = \frac{x_{2\gamma}}{x_{1\beta} + x_{2\gamma}}$. If $x_{1\beta} x_{2\gamma} < 0$, the segment $[x_1, x_2]$ and the plane

$\langle u_\alpha, u_\beta - u_\gamma \rangle$ cross at $\bar{t}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{t}_1) x_2$, where $\bar{t}_1 = \frac{x_{2\gamma}}{x_{2\gamma} - x_{1\beta}}$. Then, for the point

$$z_0 = (\bar{t}_1 x_{1\alpha} + (1 - \bar{t}_1) x_{2\alpha}) u_\alpha,$$

h_2 is defined by

$$h_2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t_1}{\bar{t}_1} z_0 + \frac{\bar{t}_1 - t_1}{\bar{t}_1} x_2 & (\text{if } 0 \leq t_1 \leq \bar{t}_1) \\ \frac{1-t_1}{1-\bar{t}_1} z_0 + \frac{t_1 - \bar{t}_1}{1-\bar{t}_1} x_1 & (\text{if } \bar{t}_1 \leq t_1 \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

Thirdly, in case that there are $F_i \in \mathcal{E}_2 (i = 1, 2)$ such that $x_i \in F_i (i = 1, 2)$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \{0\}$. Then h_2 is defined by the same method in the above. (For general cases, see the proof of Lemma 4.4.)

In case $i = 3$, let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (E_2)^3$ and $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in P_2$. First, we consider the case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_2$ such that $x_i \in F (i = 1, 2, 3)$. Then $h_3: (E_2)^3 \times P_2 \rightarrow E_2$ is defined by

$$h_3(x, t) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3.$$

Next, in case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_2$ such that $x_1 \in F, x_i \notin F (i = 2, 3)$, h_3 is defined by

$$h_3(x, t) = \begin{cases} h_2\left(\left(x_1, h_2\left(\delta_1 x, \delta_1\left(\frac{t}{1-t_1}\right)\right)\right), (t_1, 1-t_1)\right) & (\text{if } t_1 \neq 1) \\ x_1 & (\text{if } t_1 = 1). \end{cases}$$

Any other case (i.e. $x_2 \in F, x_1 \notin F, x_3 \notin F$; etc.) is similar.

We assume that, for $k \leq n-1$, $h_k: (E_2)^k \times P_{k-1} \rightarrow E_2$ were defined, inductively. In case $i = n$, $h_n: (E_2)^n \times P_{n-1} \rightarrow E_2$ is defined as same as in Lemma 4.2.

Furthermore, it is easily verified by the constructions of h_n and the locally convex topology that these functions h_n satisfy the conditions (a), (b), (c) of Definition 4.1.

LEMMA 4.4. *For each $n \geq 3$, E_n is hyperconnected.*

PROOF. We assume that the index set A of the Hamel basis \mathcal{B} is a well-ordered set with the order \leq , and we introduce the lexicographic order to $A \times A$.

In case $i = 1$, $h_1: E_n \times P_0 \rightarrow E_n$ is trivially defined.

In case $i = 2$, let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in (E_n)^2$ and $t = (t_1, t_2) \in P_1$. First, we consider the case that there is $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in F$. Then $h_2: (E_n)^2 \times P_1 \rightarrow E_n$ is defined by

$$h_2(x, t) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2.$$

Secondly, in case that there are $F_i \in \mathcal{E}_n (i = 1, 2)$ such that $x_i \in F_i (i = 1, 2)$ and $F_1 = \langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_k}, u_{\alpha_{k+1}}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n} \rangle$, $F_2 = \langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_k}, u_{\beta_{k+1}}, \dots, u_{\beta_n} \rangle$, where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $\alpha_i \neq \beta_j$ for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $\alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha_{k+2} \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$, $\beta_{k+1} \leq \beta_{k+2} \leq \dots \leq \beta_n$. Let $A = \{(\alpha_i, \beta_j): k+1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be a subset of $A \times A$. Further let

$$x_1 = x_{1\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_1} + \dots + x_{1\alpha_k} u_{\alpha_k} + x_{1\alpha_{k+1}} u_{\alpha_{k+1}} + \dots + x_{1\alpha_n} u_{\alpha_n}$$

$$x_2 = x_{2\alpha_1} u_{\alpha_1} + \dots + x_{2\alpha_k} u_{\alpha_k} + x_{2\beta_{k+1}} u_{\beta_{k+1}} + \dots + x_{2\beta_n} u_{\beta_n}.$$

Then, since A is a well-ordered set, there exists

$$(\alpha_p, \beta_q) = \min\{(\alpha, \beta) \in A: x_{1\alpha} x_{2\beta} \neq 0\}.$$

If $x_{1\alpha_p} x_{2\beta_q} > 0$, the segment $[x_1, x_2]$ and the plane $\langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_k}, u_{\alpha_p} + u_{\beta_q}, u_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \dots, u_{\alpha_n}, u_{\beta_{q+1}}, \dots, u_{\beta_n} \rangle$ cross at $\bar{t}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{t}_1) x_2$, where $\bar{t}_1 = \frac{x_{2\beta_q}}{x_{1\alpha_p} + x_{2\beta_q}}$.

If $x_{1\alpha_p} x_{2\beta_q} < 0$, the segment $[x_1, x_2]$ and the plane $\langle u_{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_{\alpha_k}, u_{\alpha_p} - u_{\beta_q}, u_{\alpha_{p+1}}, \dots,$

$u_{\alpha_n}, u_{\beta_{q+1}}, \dots, u_{\beta_n}$ cross at $\bar{t}_1 x_1 + (1 - \bar{t}_1)x_2$, where $\bar{t}_1 = \frac{x_{2\beta_q}}{x_{2\beta_q} - x_{1\alpha_p}}$. Then, for the point

$$z_0 = (\bar{t}_1 x_{1\alpha_1} + (1 - \bar{t}_1)x_{2\alpha_1})u_{\alpha_1} + \dots + (\bar{t}_1 x_{1\alpha_k} + (1 - \bar{t}_1)x_{2\alpha_k})u_{\alpha_k},$$

h_2 is defined by

$$h_2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t_1}{\bar{t}_1} z_0 + \frac{\bar{t}_1 - t_1}{\bar{t}_1} x_2 & (\text{if } 0 \leq t_1 \leq \bar{t}_1) \\ \frac{1 - t_1}{1 - \bar{t}_1} z_0 + \frac{t_1 - \bar{t}_1}{1 - \bar{t}_1} x_1 & (\text{if } \bar{t}_1 \leq t_1 \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

Thirdly, in case that there are $F_i \in \mathcal{E}_n (i = 1, 2)$ such that $x_i \in F_i (i = 1, 2)$ and $F_1 \cap F_2 = \{0\}$. Then h_2 is defined by the same method in the above; the case $k = 0$.

In case $i = 3$ or $i = k (k \geq 4)$, $h_i: (E_n)^i \times P_{i-1} \rightarrow E_n$ is defined as same as in Lemma 4.3.

Furthermore, it is easily verified by the constructions of h_n and the locally convex topology that these functions h_n satisfy the conditions (a), (b), (c) of Definition 4.1.

By Lemmas 4.2–4.4 and [2; Theorem 4.1], we have

THEOREM 4.5. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, E_n is hyperconnected. Therefore, E_n is AE(stratifiable).*

§5. Adjunction spaces

It is obvious that:

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let X, Y be σ -metric, A a closed subset of X and $f: A \rightarrow Y$ a map. Then the adjunction space $X \cup_f Y$ is also σ -metric.*

Since the adjunction space of two stratifiable space is stratifiable [1, Theorem 6.2], the following is obtained by the well-known method which uses adjunction spaces (cf. [9]).

THEOREM 5.2. *For a σ -metric stratifiable space X , X is AR(σ -metric stratifiable) (resp. ANR) if and only if X is AE(σ -metric stratifiable) (resp. ANE).*

It is well known [9; pp178] that if X, A and Y are ANR(metric)'s and $f: A \rightarrow Y$ a map, then the adjunction space $X \cup_f Y$ is ANR(metric) provided that it is metrizable. This result was essentially proved in successive stages by Borsuk [3], Whitehead [22] and Hanner [8]. For attempt to generalize this theorem, Hyman [10] proved the case of Hyman's M -spaces. Cauty [4] announced the case of stratifiable spaces, but his proof was false. This was pointed out by San-nou [21]. Therefore the case of stratifiable spaces is still open. Even the case of σ -metric stratifiable spaces is still open.

PROBLEM 5.3. Let X and Y be two stratifiable spaces, A a closed subset of X and $f: A \rightarrow Y$ a map. If X , A and Y are ANR(stratifiable)'s, is the adjunction space $X \cup_f Y$ ANR(stratifiable)?

References

- [1] C. J. R. Borges, On stratifiable spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [2] ———, A study of absolute extensor spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **31** (1969), 609–617.
- [3] K. Borsuk, Quelques rétracts singuliers, *Fund. Math.*, **24** (1935), 249–258.
- [4] R. Cauty, Une généralisation du théorème de Borsuk-Whitehead-Hanner aux espaces stratifiables, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **275** (1972), 271–274.
- [5] J. G. Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **11** (1961), 105–126.
- [6] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [7] G. Gruenhagen, On the $M_3 \Rightarrow M_1$ question, *Topology Proc.*, **5** (1980), 77–104.
- [8] O. Hanner, Some theorems on absolute neighborhood retracts, *Arkiv Mat.*, **1** (1951), 389–408.
- [9] S. T. Hu, *Theory of retracts*, Wayne State University Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [10] D. M. Hyman, A category slightly larger than the metric and *CW*-categories, *Michigan Math. J.*, **15** (1968), 193–214.
- [11] J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, *Linear topological spaces*, Van Nostrand Comp., 1963.
- [12] E. A. Michael, Convex structures and continuous selections, *Canad. J. Math.*, **11** (1959), 556–575.
- [13] T. Miwa, A locally convex topology of simplicial complexes, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ.*, **20** (1986), 25–30.
- [14] ———, Embeddings to AR-spaces, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.*, **35** (1987), 565–572.
- [15] ———, Topological properties of some locally convex linear topological spaces, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ.*, **21** (1987), 17–20.
- [16] ———, Linear topological spaces and simplicial complexes with the locally convex topology, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ.*, **22** (1988), 43–49.
- [17] T. Mizokami, On Nagata's problem for paracompact σ -metric spaces, *Top. Appl.*, **11** (1980), 211–221.
- [18] K. Nagami, Dimension for σ -metric spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **23** (1971), 123–129.
- [19] ———, Perfect class of spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **48** (1972), 21–24.
- [20] J. Nagata, On Hyman's M -spaces, *Topology Conference* (Virginia Polytech. Inst. and State Univ. 1973); Springer-Verlag *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **375** (1974), 198–208.
- [21] S. San-nou, A note on \mathcal{E} -product, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **29** (1977), 281–285.
- [22] J. H. C. Whitehead, Note on a theorem due to Borsuk, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **54** (1948), 1125–1132.