

## Some Remarks on Subdirect Products of Completely Regular Semigroups

Miyuki YAMADA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan  
(Received September 6, 1989)

In this paper, the concept of a subspined product of completely regular semigroups are introduced. Firstly, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a subspined product  $A \ast B$  of cryptogroups  $A$  and  $B$  to be also a cryptogroup. Secondly, it is shown that a subspined product  $A \ast B$  is necessarily a cryptogroup if one of  $A$  and  $B$  is a band and the other is a cryptogroup. It is also shown that any subspined product  $A \ast B$  coincides with the spined product  $A \bowtie B$  if one of  $A$  and  $B$  is a Clifford semigroup and the other is a band.

Finally, the concept of a subspined product is extended to the concept of a  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product for the class of completely  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroups, and some considerations are given for  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined products of  $\mathcal{P}$ -cryptogroups.

Let  $S$  be a completely regular semigroup. Then,  $S$  is uniquely decomposed into a semilattice  $Y$  of completely simple semigroups  $\{S_i; i \in Y\}$  (see [1]).

This decomposition is called *the structure decomposition* of  $S$  (see [3]), and denoted by  $S \sim \Sigma\{S_i; i \in Y\}$ . In this case,  $Y$  is also uniquely determined, up to isomorphism, and it is called *the structure semilattice* of  $S$ . Hereafter, “a completely regular semigroup  $S \equiv \Sigma\{S_i; i \in Y\}$ ” means “ $S$  is a completely regular semigroup and has the structure decomposition  $S \sim \Sigma\{S_i; i \in Y\}$ ”.

Let  $A \equiv \Sigma\{A_i; i \in Y\}$  and  $B \equiv \Sigma\{B_i; i \in Y\}$  be completely regular semigroups having the same structure semilattice  $Y$ . Put  $A \bowtie B = \{(x, y); x \in A_i, y \in B_i, i \in Y\}$ . Define multiplication in  $A \bowtie B$  as follows:  $(x, y)(u, v) = (xu, yv)$  for  $(x, y), (u, v) \in A \bowtie B$ . Then,  $A \bowtie B$  is a regular subsemigroup of the direct product  $A \times B$  of  $A$  and  $B$ . This  $A \bowtie B$  is called *the spined product of  $A$  and  $B$  (with respect to the structure decompositions of  $A$  and  $B$ )*. Hereafter, we shall omit the term “with respect to the structure decompositions of  $A$  and  $B$ ”. Now, let  $S$  be a regular subsemigroup of the spined product  $A \bowtie B$  of completely regular semigroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that

- (C.1) the first and the second projections  $\phi, \Psi$  (that is, the mappings  $\phi: S \rightarrow A$  and  $\Psi: S \rightarrow B$  defined by  $(x, y)\phi = x$  and  $(x, y)\Psi = y, (x, y) \in S$ ) are surjective homomorphisms.

Then,  $S$  is also a subdirect product of  $A$  and  $B$ . Such an  $S$  is called *a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$  (with respect to the structure decompositions of  $A$  and  $B$ )*. Of course, a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$  is not necessarily unique in general.

LEMMA 1. *If  $A$  and  $B$  are cryptogroups (that is, bands of groups; see [2]), then a subspined product  $A \rtimes B$  of  $A$  and  $B$  is also a cryptogroup if and only if  $A \rtimes B$  satisfies the following:*

(C.2)  $A \rtimes B \ni (a, b)$  implies  $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \in A \rtimes B$ , where  $x^{-1}$  is the group inverse of  $x$ .

PROOF. The "if" part: Let  $A$  be a band  $\Gamma_1$  of groups  $\{A'_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$  and  $B$  a band  $\Gamma_2$  of groups  $\{B'_\tau; \tau \in \Gamma_2\}$ . Let  $e \in E_A$  (the set of idempotents of  $A$ ) and  $f \in E_B$ . Put  $C_{(e,f)} = \{(a, b) \in A \rtimes B: aa^{-1} = e \text{ and } bb^{-1} = f\}$ . It is obvious that  $(e, f) \in C_{(e,f)}$ . If  $(a, b) \in C_{(e,f)}$ , then  $(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \in C_{(e,f)}$ . Further,  $(a, b), (c, d) \in C_{(e,f)}$  implies that  $ac(c^{-1}a^{-1}) = aea^{-1} = aa^{-1} = e$  and similarly  $(c^{-1}a^{-1})ac = e$ . Further,  $bd(d^{-1}b^{-1}) = (d^{-1}b^{-1})bd = f$ . Therefore,  $c^{-1}a^{-1} = (ac)^{-1}$ , and  $d^{-1}b^{-1} = (bd)^{-1}$ . Thus,  $(ac, bd) \in C_{(e,f)}$ , that is,  $(a, b)(c, d) \in C_{(e,f)}$ . Therefore,  $C_{(e,f)}$  is a group. Let  $e_\gamma$  be the identity of  $A'_\gamma$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ , and  $f_\tau$  the identity of  $B'_\tau$  for  $\tau \in \Gamma_2$ . Let  $x \in C_{(e_\gamma, f_\lambda)}$  and  $y \in C_{(e_\mu, f_\nu)}$ . Put  $x = (a, b)$ ,  $y = (c, d)$ . Then,  $aa^{-1} = e_\gamma$ ,  $bb^{-1} = f_\lambda$ ,  $cc^{-1} = e_\mu$  and  $dd^{-1} = f_\nu$ . Since  $a \in A'_\gamma$ ,  $c \in B'_\mu$ , it follows that  $ac \in A'_{\gamma\mu}$ . Similarly,  $bd \in B'_{\lambda\nu}$ . Further,  $(ac, bd) \in A \rtimes B$ . Now,  $ac(ac)^{-1} = e_{\gamma\mu}$  and  $bd(bd)^{-1} = f_{\lambda\nu}$ . Hence,  $xy \in C_{(e_{\gamma\mu}, f_{\lambda\nu})}$ . Thus,  $C_{(e_\gamma, f_\lambda)} C_{(e_\mu, f_\nu)} \subset C_{(e_{\gamma\mu}, f_{\lambda\nu})}$ . This implies that  $A \rtimes B$  is a cryptogroup. The "only if" part: Suppose that a subspined product  $A \rtimes B$  of  $A$  and  $B$  is a cryptogroup. Let  $(x, y) \in A \rtimes B$ . Then,  $(x, y)$  is contained in a subgroup of  $A \rtimes B$ , and accordingly there exists an group-inverse  $(u, v)$  of  $(x, y)$  in  $A \rtimes B$ . Since  $(u, v)(x, y) = (x, y)(u, v) = (e, f) \in E_{A \rtimes B}$ ,  $ux = xu = e$  and  $vy = yv = f$ . Further,  $((u, v), (x, y))$  is a regular pair. Then,  $ex = xe = x$ ,  $ue = eu = u$ ,  $vf = fv = v$  and  $yf = fy = y$ . Therefore,  $u$  and  $v$  are group-inverses of  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Hence,  $(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = (u, v) \in A \rtimes B$ .

Let  $X(I)$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroup (for the definition, see [4]). If  $X$  is completely regular, then  $X(I)$  is called a *completely  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroup*. Let  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  be completely  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroups. Let  $A \sim \Sigma\{A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $B \sim \Sigma\{B_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the structure decompositions of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Let  $P_\lambda = A_\lambda \cap P$  and  $Q_\lambda = B_\lambda \cap Q$ , and let  $U = \Sigma\{P_\lambda \times Q_\lambda \text{ (direct product)}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Let  $C = A \bowtie B$ . Then,  $C(U)$  is also a completely  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroup, which is denoted by  $C(U) = A(P) \overset{\mathcal{P}}{\bowtie} B(Q)$ . Let  $D(V)$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular subsemigroup (see [4]) of  $A(P) \overset{\mathcal{P}}{\bowtie} B(Q)$ . If  $D(V)$  satisfies the following (C.3) then  $D(V)$  is called a  *$\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product* of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$ , and some times denoted by  $A(P) \overset{\mathcal{P}}{\rtimes} B(Q)$ , etc.:

(C.3) The first and the second projections  $\phi: D(V) \rightarrow A(P)$  and  $\psi: D(V) \rightarrow B(Q)$  are surjective  $\mathcal{P}$ -homomorphisms.

Of course, in this case  $D$  is a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$ . Especially, if  $A$  and  $B$  are cryptogroups and if  $D$  satisfies (C.2), then  $D(V)$  is also a  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular cryptogroup (abbrev., a  $\mathcal{P}$ -cryptogroup). Since the converse is also satisfied, we have the following:

LEMMA 2. Let  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  be  $\mathcal{P}$ -cryptogroups, and assume that  $A$  and  $B$  has the same structure semilattice. Then, every  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product  $D(V)$  of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -cryptogroup if and only if  $D$  satisfies (C.2).

THEOREM 3. Let  $A$  be a completely regular semigroup, and  $B$  a band. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same structure semilattice. Then, every subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$  satisfies (C.2). Accordingly, if  $A$  is a cryptogroup, every subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$  is also a cryptogroup.

PROOF. Let  $A \sim \Sigma\{A_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$  and  $B \sim \Sigma\{B_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$  be the structure decompositions of  $A$  and  $B$ . Hence, each  $B_\lambda$  is a rectangular band. Suppose that  $C$  is a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $(b, e) \in C$ . Then, there exists  $f$  such that  $(b^{-1}, f) \in C$ . Of course, if  $(b, e) \in A_\lambda \times B_\lambda$  then  $(b^{-1}, f) \in A_\lambda \times B_\lambda$ . Now,  $(bb^{-1}, ef)$ ,  $(b^{-1}b, fe) \in C$ , and  $(bb^{-1}, ef)(b^{-1}, f)(b^{-1}b, fe) = (b^{-1}, e) \in C$  since  $B_\lambda$  is a rectangular band. Hence, (C.2) is satisfied.

COROLLARY. Let  $A(P)$  be a completely  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroup, and  $B(Q)$  a  $\mathcal{P}$ -band. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same structure semilattice. Then, every  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  satisfies (C.2). Hence, if  $A(P)$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -cryptogroup then every  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  is also a  $\mathcal{P}$ -cryptogroup.

THEOREM 4. Let  $A \equiv \Sigma\{A_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$  be a Clifford semigroup (that is, a semilattice  $A$  of groups  $\{A_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$ ), and  $B \equiv \Sigma\{B_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$  a band. Then, a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$  coincides with  $A \bowtie B$ .

PROOF. Let  $C$  be a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$ . Now,  $A \bowtie B \equiv \Sigma\{A_\lambda \times B_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$ . Let  $C_\lambda = C \cap (A_\lambda \times B_\lambda)$ . Then,  $C \equiv \Sigma\{C_\lambda: \lambda \in A\}$ . If  $(a, e) \in C_\lambda$ , it follows from Theorem 3 that  $(a^{-1}, e) \in C_\lambda$ . Hence,  $(aa^{-1}, e) \in C_\lambda$ . Now, for any  $b \in A_\lambda$ , there exists  $f$  such that  $(b, f) \in C_\lambda$ . Therefore,  $(aa^{-1}, e)(b, f)(aa^{-1}, e) \in C_\lambda$ . That is,  $(b, e) \in C_\lambda$ . Next, let  $u \in B_\lambda$ . Then, there exists  $c \in A_\lambda$  such that  $(c, u) \in C_\lambda$ . Since  $cc^{-1} = aa^{-1}$ ,  $(aa^{-1}, u) \in C_\lambda$ . Therefore,  $(aa^{-1}, u)(b, f)(aa^{-1}, u) = (b, u) \in C_\lambda$ . Thus,  $C_\lambda \supset A_\lambda \times B_\lambda$ , and accordingly  $C = A \bowtie B$ .

COROLLARY. Let  $A(P)$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -Clifford semigroup (a Clifford semigroup which is  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular), and  $B(Q)$  a  $\mathcal{P}$ -band. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same structure semilattice. Then, every  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  coincides with  $A(P) \bowtie B(Q)$ .

REMARK. Let  $A$  be a Clifford semigroup, and  $E_A$  the semilattice of idempotents of  $A$ . Let  $P \subset E_A$ . If  $A(P)$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular, then every  $\mathcal{L}$ -class and  $\mathcal{R}$ -class (where  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  are Green's  $L$  and  $R$  relations respectively) contain an element of  $P$ . Hence, we have  $P = E_A$ . Conversely, it is obvious that  $A(E_A)$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular. Therefore,  $A(P)$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular if and only if  $P = E_A$ .

LEMMA 5. *Let  $A$  be a rectangular band, and  $B$  a completely simple semigroup. Then, a subdirect product  $C$  of  $A$  and  $B$  is the direct product  $A \times B$  of  $A$  and  $B$  if and only if  $C$  contains all idempotents of  $A \times B$ .*

PROOF. Let  $B$  be a rectangular band  $\Gamma$  of groups  $\{B_\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . Let  $C$  be a subdirect product of  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $C = A \times B$ , then it is obvious that  $C$  contains all idempotents of  $A \times B$ . Conversely, suppose that  $C$  contains all idempotents of  $A \times B$ . Let  $(e, b) \in C$ . For  $f \in A$ ,  $(f, h) \in C$ , where  $h = bb^{-1}$ . Then,  $(f, h)(e, b)(f, h) = (f, b) \in C$ . Hence,  $C = A \times B$ .

This is extended to the following result:

THEOREM 6. *Let  $A \equiv \Sigma\{A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a band, and  $B \equiv \Sigma\{B_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  a completely regular semigroup. Then, a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$  is the spined product  $A \bowtie B$  of  $A$  and  $B$  if and only if it contains all idempotents of  $A \bowtie B$ .*

PROOF. Now,  $A \bowtie B = \Sigma\{A_\lambda \times B_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Let  $C$  be a subspined product of  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $C_\lambda = C \cap (A_\lambda \times B_\lambda)$ . Then, it is obvious that  $C_\lambda$  is a subdirect product of  $A_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$ . The “only if” part is obvious. Suppose that  $C$  contains all idempotents of  $A \bowtie B$ . Then, it follows that  $C_\lambda$  contains all idempotents of  $A_\lambda \times B_\lambda$ . Hence, it follows from Lemma 6 that  $C_\lambda = A_\lambda \times B_\lambda$ . Therefore,  $C = A \bowtie B$ .

LEMMA 7. *Let  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  be a completely simple  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroup and a rectangular  $\mathcal{P}$ -band. Let  $C(U)$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -subdirect product of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  (that is,  $C$  is a subdirect product of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $U = C \cap (P \times Q)$ , and  $C(U)$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular). Then,  $C(U) = A(P) \times_{\mathcal{P}} B(Q)$  if and only if  $C \supset P \times Q$ .*

PROOF. The “only if” part is obvious. The “if” part: It is obvious that  $U^2 = E_A \times E_B = E_{A \times B}$ . Since  $U = P \times Q$ . Therefore,  $C \supset E_{A \times B}$ . It follows from Lemma 5 that  $C(U) = A(P) \times_{\mathcal{P}} B(Q)$ .

By using Lemma 7, we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 8. *Let  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  be a completely  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroup and a  $\mathcal{P}$ -band respectively. Let  $A \equiv \Sigma\{A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $B \equiv \Sigma\{B_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Then, a  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$  is the  $\mathcal{P}$ -spined product  $A(P) \overset{\mathcal{P}}{\bowtie} B(Q)$  if and only if it contains  $\Sigma\{P_\lambda \times Q_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ , where  $P_\lambda = P \cap A_\lambda$  and  $Q_\lambda = Q \cap B_\lambda$ .*

PROOF. Let  $C(U)$  be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -subspined product of  $A(P)$  and  $B(Q)$ . Let  $C_\lambda = C \cap (A_\lambda \times B_\lambda)$  and  $U_\lambda = C_\lambda \cap U$ . Then,  $C_\lambda(U_\lambda)$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -subdirect product of  $A_\lambda(P_\lambda)$  and  $B_\lambda(Q_\lambda)$ . Hence, if  $C_\lambda$  contains  $P_\lambda \times Q_\lambda$  then  $C_\lambda = A_\lambda \times Q_\lambda$ , and  $U_\lambda = P_\lambda \times Q_\lambda$ , and accordingly  $C_\lambda(U_\lambda) = A_\lambda(P_\lambda) \times_{\mathcal{P}} B_\lambda(Q_\lambda)$ . Hence,  $C(U) = A(P) \overset{\mathcal{P}}{\bowtie} B(Q)$ . The converse is obvious.

### References

- [ 1 ] Clifford, A. H. and Preston, G. B.: Algebraic theory of semigroups, Vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [ 2 ] Pastijn, F. J. and Petrich, M.: Regular semigroups as extensions, Research Notes in Mathematics 136, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1985.
- [ 3 ] Yamada, M.: The structure of quasi-orthodox semigroups, Mem. Fac. Sci., Shimane Univ. **14** (1980), 1–18.
- [ 4 ] Yamada, M. and Sen, M. K.:  $\mathcal{P}$ -regular semigroups, Semigroup Forum **39** (1989), 157–178.