

Some Properties of Royden Boundary of an Infinite Network

Dedicated to Professor Miyuki Yamada on his 60th birthday

Takashi KAYANO and Maretsugu YAMASAKI

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan

(Received September 7, 1988)

Fine properties of Royden boundary of an infinite network are discussed in this paper. In particular, we study the problem whether every one-point set of the Royden boundary is a G_δ -set or not.

§1. Introduction

The concept of Royden boundary is one of the most important notions in the theory of Riemann surfaces. In order to obtain a fine theory of the ideal boundary of an infinite network, we studied in [1] and [6] discrete analogues of Royden boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma^{(p)}$ and harmonic boundary $\Gamma_h = \Gamma_h^{(p)}$ of an infinite network $N = \{X, Y, K, r\}$ of order $p > 1$. Our aim is to study the discrete analogue to the fact in [3] that a point x of the Royden compactification of a Riemannian manifold is a Royden boundary point if and only if the set $\{x\}$ is not a G_δ -set.

We shall show in §2 that if x is a Royden boundary point and not a Royden harmonic boundary point, i.e., $x \in \Gamma - \Gamma_h$, then the set $\{x\}$ is not a G_δ -set. This result was proved in [1] in case $p = 2$. In contrast with the continuous case, we can not assure that the set $\{x\}$ is not a G_δ -set for $x \in \Gamma_h$ (cf. [3; Chap. III, Theorem 2D]). Our proof in §3 shows a difference between the continuous case and the discrete case. Some supplementary remarks will be given in §4. We shall give an example which shows that $\Gamma = \Gamma_h$ is a singleton and a G_δ -set. It should be noted that the closure of $\Gamma - \Gamma_h$ in the Royden compactification is equal to Γ in the continuous case (cf. [3; Chap. III, Theorem 2E]). In §5, we shall study the Royden boundary of a network defined by a binary tree. For this network, there exists $x \in \Gamma_h$ such that the set $\{x\}$ is not a G_δ -set.

We shall freely use the notation in [2], [5] and [6].

§2. Royden boundary

Let $L(X)$ be the set of all real functions on X and $L_0(X)$ be the set of all $u \in L(X)$ with finite support. For $u \in L(X)$, its discrete derivative $du \in L(Y)$ and its discrete Dirichlet integral $D_p(u)$ of order p ($1 < p < \infty$) are defined by

$$du(y) = -r(y)^{-1} \sum_{x \in X} K(x, y)u(x),$$

$$D_p(u) = \sum_{y \in Y} r(y) |du(y)|^p.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{D}^{(p)}(N)$ the set of all $u \in L(X)$ with finite Dirichlet integral of order p . It is easily seen that $\mathbf{D}^{(p)}(N)$ is a reflexive Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_p = [D_p(u) + |u(b)|^p]^{1/p}$ ($b \in X$). Let $\mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$ be the closure of $L_0(X)$ in $\mathbf{D}^{(p)}(N)$ with respect to this norm. We call an element of $\mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$ a Dirichlet potential of order p . Denote by $\mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ and $\mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ the subsets of $\mathbf{D}^{(p)}(N)$ and $\mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$ which consist of bounded functions respectively.

By a compactification of X which is a locally compact Hausdorff space with respect to the discrete topology, we mean a compact Hausdorff space X^* containing X as a dense open subset. There is a unique (up to a homeomorphism) compactification X^* of X such that every $f \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ can be continuously extended to X^* and the class of extended functions separates points of $X^* - X$. This compactification is called the Royden p -compactification of N and Γ and $\Gamma = \Gamma^{(p)} = X^* - X$ is called the p -Royden boundary of N . The extension of $f \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ to X^* is denoted by f again.

Next, we define the Royden p -harmonic boundary Γ_h of N by

$$\Gamma_h = \Gamma_h^{(p)} = \{x \in \Gamma; f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)\}.$$

Note that Γ_h is a compact subset of Γ .

We proved in [4] that N is of parabolic type of order p if and only if $1 \in \mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$.

Thus we have

THEOREM 2.1. *An infinite network N is of parabolic type of order p if and only if $\Gamma_h = \phi$.*

Since $\{x\}$ is a G_δ -set for every $x \in X$, we have

THEOREM 2.2 *If $x \in X^*$ and $\{x\}$ is not a G_δ -set, then $x \in \Gamma$.*

We shall discuss the converse of this fact. We shall prove

THEOREM 2.3. *For any $\alpha \in \Gamma - \Gamma_h$, the set $\{\alpha\}$ is not a G_δ -set in X^* .*

In contrast with the continuous case, we can not assure that $\{\alpha\}$ is not a G_δ -set for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$ (cf. [3; Chap. III, Theorem 2D]).

§3. Proof of Theorem 2.3

For a subset B of X , let us define $Y(B)$ and $X(B)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad Y(B) = \{y \in Y; e(y) \cap B \neq \phi\},$$

$$(3.2) \quad X(B) = \cup \{e(y); y \in Y(B)\}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.3, we need some lemmas.

We proved in [6]

LEMMA 3.1. *For a closed subset F of X^* such that $F \cap \Gamma_h = \emptyset$, there exists $f \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ such that $f=1$ on F and $0 \leq f \leq 1$ on X^* .*

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$ which converges pointwise to $u \in L(X)$. If $\{D_p(u_n)\}$ is bounded, then $u \in \mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$.*

We shall prove

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $u \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ and A be a finite subset of X . For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $f \in L_0(X)$ such that $f=u$ on A , $\sup|f| \leq \sup|u|$ and $D_p(u-f) < \varepsilon$.*

PROOF. Since $u \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $L_0(X)$ such that $\sup|f_n| \leq \sup|u|$ and $\|u-f_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define u_n by $u_n(x) = u(x)$ on A and $u_n(x) = f_n(x)$ on $X-A$. Then $u_n \in L_0(X)$. It suffices to show that $D_p(u-u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x \in X$, $d(u-u_n)(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $y \in Y$. We have

$$D_p(u-u_n) \leq \sum_{y \in Y(A)} r(y) |d(u-u_n)(y)|^p + D_p(u-f_n).$$

Since $Y(A)$ is a finite set, we see that

$$\sum_{y \in Y(A)} r(y) |d(u-u_n)(y)|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence $D_p(u-u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $u \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ and $\{V_n\}$ be a sequence of infinite subsets of X . Then there exist a function $\varphi \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ and two sequences $\{a_m\}$ and $\{b_m\}$ of nodes satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(3.1) \quad a_m \in V_{2m-1}, b_m \in V_{2m}, m=1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(3.2) \quad a_m \neq a_n \text{ and } b_m \neq b_n \text{ for } m \neq n,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \varphi(a_m) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi(b_m) = u(b_m) \text{ for all } m.$$

PROOF. First, choose any $x_1 \in V_1$ and set $A_1 = \{x_1\}$. By Lemma 3.3, there is $f_1 \in L_0(X)$ such that $f_1(x_1) = u(x_1)$, $\sup|f_1| \leq \sup|u|$ and $D_p(u-f_1) < 1/2$. Set $B_1 = X(Sf_1) \cup A_1$, where $Sf = \{x \in X; f(x) \neq 0\}$ for $f \in L(X)$. Since B_1 is a finite set, we can choose $x_2 \in V_2 - B_1$. Set $A_2 = X(B_1) \cup \{x_2\}$. By Lemma 3.3 again, there is $f_2 \in L_0(X)$ such that $f_2 = u$ on A_2 , $\sup|f_2| \leq \sup|u|$ and $D_p(u-f_2) < (1/2)^2$. Repeating this process, we can find sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X and $\{f_n\}$ in $L_0(X)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

$$x_n \in V_n - B_{n-1}, \text{ where } B_0 = \emptyset \text{ and } B_n = X(Sf_n) \cup A_n,$$

$$f_n = u \text{ on } A_n = X(B_{n-1}) \cup \{x_n\},$$

$$\sup|f_n| \leq \sup|u| \text{ and } D_p(u-f_n) < (1/2)^n,$$

$n=1, 2, \dots$. Note that each B_n is a finite set, $X(B_{n-1}) \subset B_n$, $x_n \in B_n - B_{n-1}$ and $f_n(x_n) = u(x_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. Now we define

$$a_m = x_{2m-1} \text{ and } b_m = x_{2m}, m = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\varphi_k(x) = u(x) - f_{2m-1}(x) \text{ if } x \in B_{2m-1} - B_{2m-2} \quad (m=1, 2, \dots, k),$$

$$\varphi_k(x) = f_{2m}(x) \text{ if } x \in B_{2m} - B_{2m-1} \quad (m=1, 2, \dots, k),$$

$$\varphi_k(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \in X - B_{2k},$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots$. Then $\varphi_k \in L_0(X)$, $\varphi_k(a_m) = 0$ and $\varphi_k(b_m) = u(b_m)$ for $m=1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus $\{\varphi_k\}$ converges to a function φ satisfying (3.3). Obviously, $\{a_m\}$ and $\{b_m\}$ satisfy (3.1) and (3.2).

In order to show that $\varphi \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$, we evaluate $D_p(\varphi_k)$. Let

$$Y_n = \{y \in Y; e(y) \subset B_n - B_{n-1}\},$$

$$Y'_n = \{y \in Y; e(y) \cap B_n \neq \emptyset, e(y) \not\subset B_n\},$$

$n=1, 2, \dots$. Since $B_{n+1} \supset X(B_n)$, we see that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{2k} (Y_n \cup Y'_n) = Y(B_{2k}),$$

so that

$$D_p(\varphi_k) = \sum_{n=1}^{2k} \{ \sum_{y \in Y_n} r(y) |d\varphi_k(y)|^p + \sum_{y \in Y'_n} r(y) |d\varphi_k(y)|^p \}.$$

If $y \in Y_{2m-1}$, $m \leq k$, with $e(y) = \{z_1, z_2\}$, then $\varphi_k(z_j) = u(z_j) - f_{2m-1}(z_j)$, $j=1, 2$, so that

$$|d\varphi_k(y)|^p = |d(u - f_{2m-1})(y)|^p.$$

If $y \in Y_{2m}$, $m \leq k$, with $e(y) = \{z_1, z_2\}$, then $\varphi_k(z_j) = f_{2m}(z_j)$, $j=1, 2$, so that

$$|d\varphi_k(y)|^p = |df_{2m}(y)|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \{ |du(y)|^p + |d(u - f_{2m})(y)|^p \}.$$

If $y \in Y'_n$, $n \leq 2k$, with $e(y) = \{z_1, z_2\}$, $z_1 \in B_n$ and $z_2 \notin B_n$, then $z_1 \notin Sf_n$ (for, otherwise $z_2 \in X(Sf_n) \subset B_n$) and $z_2 \in X(B_n) \subset A_{n+1}$. Hence $f_n(z_1) = 0$ and $f_{n+1}(z_2) = u(z_2)$. It follows that $\varphi_k(z_1) = \varphi_k(z_2) = 0$ if n is even, and $\varphi_k(z_j) = u(z_j)$, $j=1, 2$, if n is odd. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_p(\varphi_k) &\leq \sum_{m=1}^k \left[\sum_{y \in Y_{2m-1}} r(y) |d(u - f_{2m-1})(y)|^p + \sum_{y \in Y_{2m}} r(y) |du(y)|^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{p-1} \sum_{y \in Y_{2m}} r(y) \{ |du(y)|^p + |d(u - f_{2m})(y)|^p \} \right] \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \{ D_p(u) + \sum_{n=1}^{2k} D_p(u - f_n) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 2^{p-1} \{D_p(u) + \sum_{n=1}^{2^k} (1/2)^n\} \leq 2^{p-1} \{D_p(u) + 1\}$$

for all k . Hence, by Lemma 3.2, we see that $\alpha \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$, and the lemma is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that $\{\alpha\}$ is a G_δ -set. Then there exists a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of open neighborhoods of α in X^* such that $Cl(U_{n+1}) \subset U_n$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{\alpha\}$. Since $\alpha \in \Gamma - \Gamma_h$, there exists $u \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ such that $u(\alpha) = 1$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$ on X by Lemma 3.1. Set

$$V_n = \{x \in U_n \cap X; u(x) > 1/2\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then each V_n is an infinite set. Hence, by Lemma 3.4, there exist a function $\varphi \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$ and two sequences $\{a_m\}$ and $\{b_m\}$ of nodes such that $a_m \in U_{2m-1}$, $b_m \in U_{2m}$ and $0 = \varphi(a_m) < 1/2 < \varphi(b_m)$ for all m . This contradicts the continuity of φ at α , since $a_m \rightarrow \alpha$ and $b_m \rightarrow \alpha$. Therefore $\{\alpha\}$ is not a G_δ -set.

§4. Supplementary remarks

By Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, we have

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume that N is of parabolic type of order p . Then $\alpha \in \Gamma$ if and only if the one-point set $\{\alpha\}$ is not a G_δ -set.*

As a criterion for a singleton to be a G_δ -set, we have

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $\alpha \in \Gamma_h$. The singleton $\{\alpha\}$ is a G_δ -set if and only if there exists $v \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ such that $0 \leq v(x) < 1$ on $X^* - \{\alpha\}$ and $v(\alpha) = 1$.*

PROOF. The “if” part is clear, since $v \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ is continuous on X^* . To prove the “only if” part, let $\{U_n\}$ be a sequence of open sets in X^* such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{\alpha\}$ and put $F_n = X^* - U_n$. Then F_n is compact and $\alpha \notin F_n$. There exists $u_n \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ such that $u_n(\alpha) = 1$, $u_n(x) = 0$ on F_n and $0 \leq u_n(x) \leq 1$ on X . Let us take $v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} u_n(x)$. Then $v \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$, $v(\alpha) = 1$ and $0 \leq v(x) < 1$ on X . Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = X^* - \{\alpha\}$, we see that $v(x) < 1$ on $X^* - \{\alpha\}$.

For an infinite path P , denote by $e(P)$ the intersection of the Royden boundary and the closure of $C_X(P)$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let P be an infinite path. If $\sum_{y \in C_Y(P)} r(y) < \infty$, then $e(P)$ is a singleton and $e(P) \subset \Gamma_h$.*

PROOF. Assume that $\sum_{y \in C_Y(P)} r(y) < \infty$. By Theorem 3.1 in [2], every $u(x)$ ($u \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$) has a limit as x tends to the ideal boundary along P , so that $e(P)$ is a singleton. By Theorem 3.2 in [2], every $v(x)$ ($v \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$) has a limit 0 as x tends to the ideal boundary along P , so that $e(P) \subset \Gamma_h$.

$$K(x_n^{(k)}, y_{2n}^{(k+1)}) = K(x_n^{(k)}, y_{2n+1}^{(k+1)}) = -1 \quad \text{for } n=0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1,$$

$$K(x_n^{(k+1)}, y_n^{(k+1)}) = 1 \quad \text{for } n=0, 1, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1,$$

($k=0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $K(x, y)=0$ for any other pair. Take $r(y)=1$ on Y . Then $N=\{X, Y, K, r\}$ is an infinite network. It was shown in [4] that N is of hyperbolic type of any order $p>1$. For each k , we define a finite family of subnetworks $\{N_n^{(k)}; n=0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^k - 1\}$ ($N_n^{(k)} = \langle X_n^{(k)}, Y_n^{(k)} \rangle$) by

$$X_n^{(k)} = \bigcup_{t=k}^{\infty} \{x_m^{(t)}; m=2^{t-k}n, 2^{t-k}n+1, \dots, 2^{t-k}n+2^{t-k}-1\},$$

$$Y_n^{(k)} = \{y \in Y; e(y) \subset X_n^{(k)}\}.$$

We may call the subgraph $\{X_n^{(k)}, Y_n^{(k)}, K\}$ the binary tree stemmed from $x_n^{(k)}$.

Denote by $P_{a, \infty}(N)$ the set of all paths $P = \{C_X(P), C_Y(P), p\}$ from node a to the ideal boundary of N such that $C_X(P)$ and $C_Y(P)$ are contained in the binary tree from a . We call an element of the union P_{∞} of the set $\{P_{a, \infty}(N); a \in X\}$ an infinite path.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *For every infinite path P , $e(P)$ contains uncountable points.*

PROOF. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $a = x_0^{(0)}$ and $P \in P_{a, \infty}(N)$ with $C_X(P) = \{x_0^{(k)}; k=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $C_Y(P) = \{y_0^{(k)}; k=1, 2, \dots\}$. Then this path P is identified with the network considered in Example 4.2. Let f be the function defined in Example 4.2 with $x_0^{(k)} = x_k$ and extend f to a function u on X by

$$u(x) = f(x_0^{(k)}) \text{ on } X_n^{(k)} \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots, 2^k - 1 \text{ and } k=1, 2, \dots.$$

Then we see easily that $u \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ and $u(C_X(P)) = f(C_X(P))$. Since $u(e(P)) = f(e(P))$ contains uncountable points by Example 4.2, $e(P)$ contains uncountable points.

Let us consider the following extremum problem:

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Minimize } D_p(u; N_n^{(k)}) \text{ subject to } u \in \mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N_n^{(k)}) \text{ and } u(x_n^{(k)}) = 1,$$

where $D_p(u; N_n^{(k)}) = \sum_{y \in Y_n^{(k)}} |du(y)|^p$ and $\mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N_n^{(k)})$ is defined similarly to $\mathbf{D}_0^{(p)}(N)$ replacing $N, L_0(X)$ and $D_p(u)$ by $N_n^{(k)}, L_0(X_n^{(k)})$ and $D_p(u; N_n^{(k)})$.

Denote by $d_0(x_n^{(k)}, \infty; N_n^{(k)})$ the value of problem (5.1). By the similarity of $N = N_0^{(0)}$ and $N_n^{(k)}$, we see that

$$d_0(x_n^{(k)}, \infty; N_n^{(k)}) = d_0(x_0^{(0)}, \infty; N_0^{(0)}) \text{ with } N = N_0^{(0)}.$$

We proved in Example 5.2 in [4] that $d_0(x_0^{(0)}, \infty; N) > 0$. Thus we have

LEMMA 5.1. *All the values of problems (5.1) for n and k ($n=0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1; k=0, 1, 2, \dots$) are equal to a positive constant.*

Now we shall prove

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let $u \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N)$. For every infinite path P , $u(x)$ has a limit 0 as x tends to the ideal boundary along P .*

PROOF. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $C_X(P) = \{x_0^{(k)}; k=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Suppose that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u(x_0^{(n)})| = \rho > 0$. There exists a subsequence $\{b_m\}$ of $\{x_0^{(k)}\}$ such that $|u(b_m)| > \rho - 1/m$ for every m . Denote by a_m the node $x_1^{(k)}$ with $b_m = x_0^{(k)}$. Since the restriction of u to $X_1^{(k)}$ belongs to $\mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N_1^{(k)})$, we have by Lemma 5.1 in case $u(x_1^{(k)}) \neq 0$

$$D_p(u|_{X_1^{(k)}}; N_1^{(k)}) \geq d_0(x_1^{(k)}, \infty; N_1^{(k)}) = d_0 > 0,$$

or equivalently

$$(5.2) \quad D_p(u; N_1^{(k)}) \geq |u(x_1^{(k)})|^p d_0.$$

In case $u(x_1^{(k)}) = 0$, (5.2) is clear. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D(u) &= \sum_{y \in C_X(P)} |du(y)|^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u(x_0^{(k)}) - u(x_1^{(k)})|^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_p(u; N_1^{(k)}) \\ &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |u(b_m) - u(a_m)|^p + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |u(a_m)|^p d_0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $u(b_m) - u(a_m) \rightarrow 0$ and $u(a_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n) = 0$.

COROLLARY. $e(P) \subset \Gamma_h$ for every infinite path P .

By this corollary, we see that $Cl(\cup\{e(P); P \in P_{\infty}\}) \subset \Gamma_h$. Since the inverse inclusion relation was proved in [6; Theorem 6.4], we have

PROPOSITION 5.3. $Cl(\cup\{e(P); P \in P_{\infty}\}) = \Gamma_h$.

REMARK 5.1. If $P \neq P'$, then $e(P) \cap e(P') = \emptyset$. In fact, if $P \neq P'$, then there exists a node $x_n^{(k)}$ and infinite subpaths P_1 and P'_1 of P and P' respectively such that $C_X(P_1) \subset X_n^{(k)}$ and $C_X(P'_1) \subset X_{n+1}^{(k)}$. Define $u \in L(X)$ by $u(x) = 1$ on $X_n^{(k)}$ and $u(x) = 0$ on $X - X_n^{(k)}$. Then $u \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$, $u(x) = 1$ on $e(P)$ and $u(x) = 0$ on $e(P')$. Thus $e(P) \cap e(P') = \emptyset$.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let P be an infinite path and $\alpha \in e(P)$. Then $\{\alpha\}$ is not a G_{δ} -set.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in e(P)$ and assume that $\{\alpha\}$ is a G_{δ} -set. By Theorem 4.2, there exists $h \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ such that $h(x) < 1$ on $X^* - \{\alpha\}$ and $h(\alpha) = 1$. Note that $V_n = \{x \in C_X(P); h(x) > 1 - 1/n\}$ is an infinite set. Since the subnetwork $N_p = \langle C_X(P), C_Y(P) \rangle$ is of parabolic type of order p (cf. Example 4.2), $u = 1 \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N_p)$. By Lemma 3.4, we can find a function $\varphi \in \mathbf{BD}_0^{(p)}(N_p)$ and two sequences $\{a_m\}$ and $\{b_m\}$ in $C_X(P)$ such that $a_m \in V_{2m-1}$, $b_m \in V_{2m}$ and $\varphi(a_m) = 0 < u(b_m) = \varphi(b_m)$ for all m . We extend φ to a function v on X by the same way as in Proposition 5.1. Then $D_p(v) = D_p(\varphi; N_p)$, $v \in \mathbf{BD}^{(p)}(N)$ and $v(x) = \varphi(x)$ on $C_X(P)$. Since $\{a_m\}$ and $\{b_m\}$ converge to α and v is continuous at α , we arrive at a

contradiction. Thus $\{\alpha\}$ is not a G_δ -set.

References

- [1] T. Kayano, Extremum problems on an infinite network, Doctoral dissertation, Osaka University, 1987.
- [2] T. Kayano and M. Yamasaki, Boundary limit of discrete Dirichlet potentials, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **14** (1984), 401–406.
- [3] L. Sario and M. Nakai, Classification theory of Riemann surfaces, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [4] M. Yamasaki, Parabolic and hyperbolic infinite networks, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **7** (1977), 135–146.
- [5] M. Yamasaki, Ideal boundary limit of discrete Dirichlet functions, *ibid.* **16** (1986), 353–360.
- [6] M. Yamasaki, Discrete Dirichlet potentials on an infinite network, *RIMS Kokyuroku* **610** (1987), 51–66.