REGULARLY TOTALLY ORDERED SEMIGROUPS I

by Miyuki YAMADA

(Received Nov. 30, 1956)

山田深雪: 正則全順序準群 1

By an ordered semigroup we mean a semigroup S in which a binary relation \leq is defined as follows ;

- (1) $a \leq a$ for every $a \in S$,
- (2) $a \leq b$, $b \leq a$ imply a = b,
- (3) $a \leq b, b \leq c$ imply $a \leq c$,
- (4) $a \leq b$ implies both $ac \leq bc$ and $ca \leq cb$ for every $c \in S$.

We write usually a < b if $a \leq b$ but $a \neq b$. Especially, we say S to be a totally ordered semigroup if the binary relation \leq is a totally ordering. Ordered semigroups have been studied by A. H. Clifford, O. Hörder, F. Klein-Barmen, O. Nakada and many other mathematicians. The present paper takes a small portion of this study in parallel with Clifford [1] and Klein-Barmen [2], [3], [4]. Let S be a commutative semigroup. Then we shall call S to be a regularly totally ordered semigroup (r. t. o. semigroup) if S satisfies the following two conditions;

(1) for any different $a,b \in S$, either $aS \subset bS$ or $bS \subset aS$ holds,

(2) if $aS \subseteq bS$, then there exists a positive integer n such that $a^n \in b^n S$, where the symbol \subseteq means 'is of a proper subset of'.

In fact, S becomes a totally ordered semigroup if a binary relation \leq in S is defined as follows; $a \leq b$ means $aS \supseteq bS$. A naturally totally ordered commutative semigroup (see Clifford [1]) is not necessarily a r. t. o. semigroup, and vice-versa. A linear holoid defined by Klein-Barmen [3] is, however, the same thing as a r. t. o. semigroup with identity element. Moreover, both a dense-in-itself segment in sense of Clifford [1] and an archimedean naturally totally ordered commutative semigroup satisfying the cancellation law are interest examples of r. t. o. semigroups. A r. t. o. semigroup is said to be *locally nilpotent* if S satisfies the following condition; for any element a of S,

$$\bigcap_{n} a^{n} S \begin{cases} = \phi & \text{if } S \text{ has no zero element,} \\ = \{o\} & \text{if } S \text{ has zero element } o, \end{cases}$$

where ϕ and $\{o\}$ denote the empty set and the set consisting of only one element zero o

respectively. Moreover S is said to be *discrete* or *non-discrete* according to whether S contains the least element (i. e. the element e such that $x \ge e$ for any $x \in S$) or not, respectively.

In §1 we define, for every positive real number α and for every non-negative real number β , a closed half line $L[\alpha]$ and an open half line $L(\beta)$, and define their indexed subgroups. In §2 we discuss, in preperation for §3 and §4, on general properties of locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroups without zero. We devote §3 to show that a discrete, locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup without zero is characterized as an indexed subgroup of the closed half line L[1], and §4 to show that a non-discrete, locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup without zero is characterized as a β -dense, indexed subgroup of an open half line $L(\beta)$. In the concluding section we show that if a locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup S contains zero element o and if S satisfies the cancellation law (i. e. the law; ax=bx=o implies a=b), then the problem of determing the structure of S is reduced to the problem of determing the structure of either locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroups without zero or dense-in-itself segments.

Notations. $\{x \mid \dots \pmod{proposition about x}\}$ denotes the set of all elements x such that the proposition about x is correct. If $A \subseteq B$, B-A denotes the complement of A in B. If $\{A\tau \mid \tau \in I\}$ is a set of classes, $\bigoplus_{\tau \in I} A\tau$ denotes their class sum. If A and B are subsets of a semigroup, AB denotes the set $\{xy \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$.

§ 1. Closed half lines. Open half lines. Indexed subgroups.

Let $|| \xi ||$ and (ξ) be, for any non-negative real number ξ , the integral part and the decimal part of ξ respectively; i.e., if ξ is expressed in the form $\xi = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$. $\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \alpha_n$ by the decimal system, then $|| \xi || = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ and $(\xi) = 0$. $\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \alpha_n$. Take up a real number $\alpha > 0$ and set $I[\alpha] = \{x \mid \alpha \leq x < \alpha + 1, x \text{ is a real number}\}$. Then $I[\alpha]$ becomes a group if we define a binary relation o in $I[\alpha]$ as follows; $xoy = (x+y-\alpha) + \alpha$. We shall denote by $G[\alpha]$ the above-mentioned group. Next, we set $P[\alpha] = \{(x,n) \mid x \in G[\alpha], n \text{ is a non-negative integer}\}$ and define a binary relation \times in $P[\alpha]$ as follows; $(x, n) \times (y, m) = (xoy, n+m+||x+y-\alpha||)$. $P[\alpha]$ becomes then a semigroup, which we shall call a closed half line $L[\alpha]$. Let $G^*[\alpha]$ be a subgroup of $G[\alpha]$, and set $L^*[\alpha] = \{(x, n) \mid x \in G^*[\alpha], n \text{ is a non-negative integer}\}$. Then it is obvious that $L^*[\alpha]$ is a subsemigroup of $L[\alpha]$. We shall call such $L^*[\alpha]$ an indexed subgroup (I-subgroup) of $L[\alpha]$.

Similarly, we define open half lines and their l-subgroups as follows. Take up a real number $\beta \ge o$, and set $I(\beta) = \{x \mid \beta \le x \le \beta + 1, x \text{ is a real number}\}$. Then $I(\beta)$ becomes a group if we define a binary relation \odot in $I(\beta)$ as follows;

$$x \odot y = (x + y - \beta) + \beta + \varphi(x + y - \beta),$$

where φ is a real function such that

$$\varphi(z) = o \text{ if } (z) \neq o,$$

=1 if $(z) = o.$

We shall denote by $G(\beta)$ the above-mentioned group. Next, we set $P(\beta) = \{(x, n) \mid x \in G(\beta), n \text{ is a non-negative integer}\}$ and define a binary relation \cong in $P(\beta)$ as follows; $(x,n) \cong (x \odot y, n+m+ \parallel x+y-\beta \parallel -\varphi(x+y-\beta)).$

 $P(\beta)$ becomes then a semigroup, which we shall call an open half line $L(\beta)$. Let $G^*(\beta)$ be a subgroup of $G(\beta)$, and set $L^*(\beta) = \{(x,n) \mid x \in G^*(\beta), n \text{ in a non-negative integer}\}$. Then it is easy to see that $L^*(\beta)$ is a subsemigroup of $L(\beta)$. We shall call such $L^*(\beta)$ an indexed subgroup (1-subgroup) of $L(\beta)$. Especially an indexed subgroup $L^*(\beta)$ of $L(\beta)$ is said to be β -dense if it satisfies the following condition;

for any $(x,o) \in L(\beta)$, there exists $(y,o) \in L^*(\beta)$ such that y < x.

The reason for the term "a closed half line $L[\alpha]$ " ["an open half line $L(\beta)$ "] is that $L[\alpha] [L(\beta)]$ is isomorphic with the additive semigroup consisting of all real numbers $x \ge \alpha [x > \beta]$.

By a half line we shall mean a semigroup which is either a closed half line or an open half line. In conclusion of this section we present the next theorem, omitting its proof.

Theorem 1. Every I-subgroup of any half line is a locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup without zero. Especially, every I-subgroup of L[1] is discrete, locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup without zero, while every β -dense, I-subgroup of $L(\beta)$ is a non-discrete, locally nilpotent semigroup without zero.

§2. Locally nilpotent r.t. o. semigroups without zero.

Throughout this section S will denote a locally nilpotent r.t.o. semigroup without zero. [Lemma 1.] S is archimedean, i.e. for any $a,b \in S$ there exist positive integers m, n such that $a^n \geq b$ and $b^m \geq a$.

(Poof.) Take up any two elements a, b from S. We may show that there exist positive integers n,m such that $a^n S \subseteq bS$ and $b^m S \subseteq aS$. Were $b^i S \supset aS$ for every positive integer i, we would have $\bigcap_i b^i S \supseteq aS$, hence $aS = \phi$, contrary to $aS \neq \phi$. Hence, there exists an integer m such that $b^m S \subseteq aS$. Similarly, there exists an integer n such that $a^n S \subseteq bS$.

Lemma 2. If a < b, then there exists an infleger n such that $a^{n+i} \le b^n$. Proof. a < b implies $aS \supseteq bS$, hence $b^i \in a^iS$ for some integer *i*. We have therefore $b^i = a^i t$ for some element t of S. On the other hand, there exists an integer k such that $t^k \ge a$. Hence we have $b^{ik} = a^{ik} t^k \ge a^{ik+2}$, and hence $b^{ik} \ge a^{ik+2}$.

Lemma 3. S contains no idempotents.

Proof. Obviousness.

Lemma 4. S is a positively ordered semigroup, i. e. for any $a, b \in S$, a < ab holds.

Proof. $a \leq ab$ is obvious by the definition of the ordering \leq . Were a=ab, we would have $a=ab^i$ for every positive integer *i*. From Lemma 1 we obtain $b^j \geq a$ for some integer *j*. Consequently, we have $a=ab^j \geq a^2$, hence $a=a^2$, contrary to Lemma 3.

Lemma 5. For any a < b and for any $c \in S$ there exist integers n, m such that $a^n < c^m < b^n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $a^{i+1} \leq b^i$ holds for some integer *i*. Since $a^k \geq c^2$ is also satisfied for some integer *k*, we have $a^{k(i+1)} \leq b^{ki}$, therefore $a^{ki}c^2 \leq b^{ki}$. From Lemma 1, we are able to show that $c^j \leq a^{ki} < c^{j+1}$ holds for some integer $j \geq 2$. Accordingly we have $a^{ki} < c^{j+1} < c^{j+2} \leq a^{ki}c^2 \leq b^{ki}$. Putting n = ki and m = j+1, we obtain the desirable relation $a^m < c^m < b^n$. (Lemma 6.) S satisfies the cancellation law, *i.e.* ax = bx implies a = b.

(Proof.) Assume that ax=bx but $a\pm b$. Since a < b or b < a we may assume a < b. By Lemma 2, we have $a^{m+1} \le b^m$ for some integer *m*. Hence $a^{m+1}x^m \le b^m x^m = a^m x^m$. Putting $a^m x^m = \xi$, we obtain $a\xi \le \xi$, contrary to Lemma 4.

[Lemma]] Let a be any element of S. Then Sis formularized as follows; $S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n S(a)$, where S(a) denotes the set S-aS and $a^{\circ}S(a)$ means the set S(a).

(Proof.) It is obvious that S is partitioned such as $S = \underbrace{o}_{n=0}^{\infty} (a^n S - a^{n+1}S)$. Accordingly we may show only that $a^n S(a) = a^n S - a^{n+1}S$ holds for each non-negative integer n. Take up any $a^n y \in a^n S(a)$, where y is an element of S(a). Were $a^n y \in a^{n+1}S$, we would have $a^n y$ $= a^{n+1}z$ for some $z \in S$. Hence, by Lemma 6, we obtain y = az, which contradicts to our assumption $y \in S(a)$. Accordingly we have $a^n y \oplus a^{n+1}S$, which induces the relation $a^n S(a)$ $\subseteq a^n S - a^{n+1}S$. Conversely, let y be any element of $a^n S - a^{n+1}S$. Then $y = a^n t$ for some element $t \in S$. If $t \oplus S(a)$ we have $t \in aS$, which implies at' = t for some $t' \in S$. Accordingly we have $y = a^{n+1}t'$, which is contrary to our assumption $y \oplus a^{n+1}S$. We obtain therefore $t \oplus$ S(a), hence $y \oplus a^n S(a)$, which induces the relation $a^n S - a^{n+1}S = a^n S(a)$.

Lemma 8. Let a be any element of S. Then every element y of S is uniquely expressed in the form $y=a^nx$, where n is a non-negative integer, x is an element of S and $a^{\circ}x$ means x itself.

Proof. From Lemma 7, it is easy to see that y is expressed in the form $y=a^n x$, $x \in S(a)$. Therefore we may show only the uniqueness of such a decomposition. Assume that

y is expressed in two ways such that $y=a^{n}x$ and $y=a^{m}z$, where $x,z\in S(a)$. Were n>m, by Lemma 5 we would have $a^{n-m}x=z$ and n-m>o, contrary to our assumption $z\in S(a)$. Hence we have $n \gg m$, and similarly $m \gg n$. Consequently n=m is satisfied. Since S satisfies the cancellation law, we conclude x=z from the relation $a^{n}x=a^{m}z$.

Lemma 9. If b is an element of S(a), then $b \le az$ holds for any element z of S.

Proof. $b \in S - aS$ is obvious by the definition of S(a). Assume that there exists an element z satisfying $az \leq b$. Then $bS \subseteq azS$, hence bz = azy for some $y \in S$. By Lemma 5, we obtain b = ay, contrary to $b \notin aS$.

Let *e* be any element of *S*. Then, there exists, for every $x \in S$, an integer *j* satisfying $e \leq x^j$. From the above-mentioned lemmas it is easy to see that an integer x(n) satisfying $e^{x(n)} \leq x^n < e^{x(n)+i}$ is uniquely determined for every integer $n \geq j$. Since we can easily prove

the existence of $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x(n)}{n}$, we set $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x(n)}{n}$ as follows; $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x(n)}{n} = [x]$.

We shall call [x] "the coordinate of x which is induced by the base point e".

Lemma 10. [e]=1.

Proof. Obviousness.

Lemma 11. a < b implies [a] < [b].

Proof. By Lemma 4, $a^n < e^j < b^n$ is satisfied for some integers $n, j \ge 2$. Morover, by Lemma 2 $e^{j(l+1)} \le b^{ni}$ is satisfied for some integer *i*. Accordingly $a^{ni} \le e^{ji} < e^{ji+1} < e^{j(i+1)} \le b^{ni}$. Putting ni = k, we have $a^k \le e^{ji} < e^{j(i+1)} \le b^k$. Take up two integers a(k) and b(k) such that $e^{a(k)} \le a^k < e^{a(k)+1}$ and $e^{b(k)} \le b^k < e^{b(k)+1}$. a(k) and b(k) must then satisfy the relations $a(k) \le ji$ and $b(k) \ge j(i+1)$.

Hence $[a] \leq \frac{a(k)+1}{k} \leq \frac{ji+1}{k}$ and $[b] \geq \frac{b(k)}{k} \geq \frac{ji+j}{k}$.

These imply the desired relation [a] < [b].

Lemma 12. [ab] = [a] + [b], for any elements a, b of S.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $e \leq a^k$ and $e \leq b^j$ hold for some integers k, j. Let a(n) and b(n) be, for every integer n > max(k,j), two integers such that $e^{a(n)} \leq a^n < e^{a(n)+i}$ and $e^{b(n)} \leq b^n < e^{b(n)+i}$. Then $e^{a(n)+b(n)} \leq (ab)^n < e^{a(n)+b(n)+i}$. Accordingly

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a(n) + b(n)}{n} \leq [ab] \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a(n) + b(n) + 2}{n}.$$
 Hence we have $[a] + [b] = [ab].$

Let $R[\alpha]$, $R(\alpha)$ be two additive semigroups consisting of all real numbers x such that $x \ge \alpha$ and $x > \alpha$ respectively. If we set $a = \inf_{S \ni x} [x]$, then it is obvious by Lemmas 11, 12 that S is embedded in $R[\alpha]$ or $R(\alpha)$ according to whether S is discrete or not. In §3 and §4, we shall yet discuss on the structure of S more precisely.

§ 3. Discrete, locally nilpotent r.t.o. semigroups without zero.

Throughout this section S will a discrete, locally nilpotent r.t. o. semigroup without zero, and e the least element of S. S(e) will denote the set S-eS. As was seen in §2, every element x of S has a coordinate [x] which is induced by the base point e. Set $G^*=\{[x] \mid x \in S(e)\}$. Then we have the following

(Lemma 13.) G^* is a subgroup of G[1].

Proof. [e]=1 is obviously by Lemma 10. Take up any element $[x]\in G^*$. $x < e^2$ is then satisfied by Lemma 9. Hence [x]<2, which implies $[x]\in G[1]$. This implies the relation $G^*\subseteq G[1]$. Let [x], [y] be any elements of G^* . Since $e^2 \le xy, xy \in S(e)$ holds. Accordingly xy is expressed as follows; $xy=e^iz$, where $i\ge 1$ and $z\in S(e)$. [z] is clearly contained in G^* . On the other hand, we have [x]o[y]=([x]+[y]-1)+1=(i+[z]-1)+1=([z]-1)+1=[z].

Consequently $[x] \circ [y] \in G^*$, which implies G^* to be closed under the binary relation o. It is easy to see that [e] is an identity element in G^* . Finally we prove, for each element [x] of G^* , the existence of an inverse element of [x]. In case x = e the existence of an inverse element of [x] is trivial. We may, therefore, consider it in case $x \neq e$. Let $x \neq e$. Since $xS \supset e^2S$ is satisfied by Lemma 9, there exists an element y of S such that $xy = e^*$. $xy = e^*$ implies [x] + [y] = 3, hence [y] < 2, and hence $y \in S(e)$. Hence $[y] \in G^*$. On the other hand, we have $[x] \circ [y] = ([x] + [y] - 1) + 1 = 1 = [e]$.

Set $L^*[1] = \{(x, n) \mid x \in G^*, n \text{ is a non-negative integer}\}$. Since G^* is a subgroup of G[1], the set $L^*[1]$ is clearly an I-subgroup of L[1]. Let x be any element of S. Then x is uniquely expressed in the form $x = e^n y$, where n is an integer and y is an element of S(e). We define a mapping ψ of S into $L^*[1]$ as follows;

$$\psi$$
; $x \longrightarrow ([y], n)$, if $x = e^n y$, $y \in S(e)$.

Then it is easy to see that ψ is an isomorphism of S onto $L^{*}[1]$.

Thus we have

Theorem 2. Let S be a discrete, locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup without zero. Then S is isomorphic with an I-subgroup of the closed half line L[1].

From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we conclude that a discrete, locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup without zero is essentially the same thing as an indexed subgroup of L [1].

§ 4. Non-discrete, locally nilpotent r.t.o. semigroups without zero.

Throughout this section S will denote a non-discrete, locally nilpotent r.t.o. semigroup without zero. Take up an element e of S. S(e) will denote the set S-eS. As was seen

in § 2, every element x has a coordinate [x] which is induced by the base point e. Set $G^* = \{ [x] \mid x \in S(e) \}$. Since S does not contain the least element, there exists no element z such that $[z] = \inf_{\substack{S \ni x \\ S \ni x}} [x]$. Set $\beta = \inf_{\substack{S \ni x \\ S \ni x}} [x]$. Then $1 > \beta \ge o$ is obvious.

Lemma 14. G^* is a subgroup of $G(\beta)$.

Psoof. Let ξ be an element of S. Then $z < e\xi$ for every element $z \in S(e)$. We obtain therefore $[z] < 1 + [\xi]$ for every element $z \in S(e)$ and for every element $\xi \in S$. Hence we have $[z] \leq \inf_{\xi \in S} (1 + [\xi]) = 1 + \beta$.

Consequently we have $G^* \subseteq G(\beta)$. [e] = 1 is obviously by Lemma 10. Let [x], [y] be any elements of G^* . xy is then uniquely expressed in the form $xy = e^i z$, where $i \ge o$ and $z \in S(e)$. [z] is crearly contained in G^* .

$$[x] \odot [y] = ([x] + [y] - \beta) + \beta + \varphi([x] + [y] - \beta) = (i + [z] - \beta) + \beta + \varphi(i + [z] - \beta)$$
$$= ([z] - \beta) + \beta + \varphi([z] - \beta) = [z]$$

Consequently $[x] \odot [y] \in G^*$, which implies G^* to be closed under the binary relation \odot . It is easy to see that [e] is an identity element in G^* . Finally we prove, for each element [x] of G^* , the existence of an inverse element of [x]. In case x = e the existence of an inverse element of [x] is trivial. We may, therefore, consider it in case $x \neq e$. Let $x \neq e$. Since $xS \supseteq e^2S$ is satisfied by Lemma 9, there exists an element y of S such that $xy = e^i$. On the other hand, y is expressed as follows; $y = e^iz$, where $i \ge o$ and $z \in S(e)$. Hence $e^2 = e^i xz$. Since i < 3 is obviously, we have $e^{i-i} = xz$ by Lemma 6. Accordingly $[x] \odot [z] = ([x] + [z] - \beta) + \beta + \varphi([x] + [z] - \beta) = (3 - i - \beta) + \beta + \varphi(3 - i - \beta) = 1 = [e]$. That is, [z] is an inverse element of [x].

Set $L^*(\beta) = \{(x, n) \mid x \in G^*, n \text{ is a non-negative integer}\}$. Since G^* is a subgroup of $G(\beta)$, the set $L^*(\beta)$ is clearly an I-subgroup of $L(\beta)$.

Moreover we can prove the β -desity of $L^*(\beta)$ as follows. Since $1 > \beta = \inf_{\substack{S \ni x}} [x]$ there exists, for any element $(\xi, o) \in L(\beta)$, an element z of S such that $\beta < [z] < \xi$ and [z] < 1. [z] < 1 implies $z \oplus eS$, hence $[z] \oplus G^*$, and hence $([z], o) \oplus L^*(\beta)$. Thus the β -density of $L^*(\beta)$ is proved. Let x be any element of S. Then x is uniquely expressed in the form $x = e^n y$, where n is an integer and y is an element of S(e). We define a mapping ψ of S into $L^*(\beta)$ as follows;

$$\psi$$
; $x \longrightarrow ([y], n)$, if $x = e^n y$, $y \in S(e)$.

Then it is easy to see that ψ is an isomorphism of S onto $L^*(\beta)$. Thus we have

Theorem 3. Let S be a non-discrete, locally nilpotent r.t.o. semigroup without zero. Then S is isomorphic with a β -dense, I-subgroup of an open half line $L(\beta)$, where $\beta < 1$. From Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, we conclude that a non-discrete, locally nilpotent r.t. o. semigroup without zero is essentially the same thing as a β -dense, indexed subgroup of an open half line $L(\beta)$, where $\beta < 1$.

§ 5. Locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroups with zero.

Let S be a locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup with zero o. By a zero divisor we shall mean a non-zero element x such that xy=0 for some non-zero element y. Moreover, by a *nil element* we shall mean an element z satisfying $z^n=0$ for some integer n.

Theorem 4. If S has no zero divisor and if the set $S^*=S-\{o\}$ is not the empty set then S^* is a locally nilpotent r.t.o. subsemigroup of S. That is, S^* becomes a subsemigroup of S which is also a locally nilpotent r.t.o. semigroup without zero.

Proof. It is obvious that S^* is a subsemigroup of S, and that S^* has no zero element in S^* itself. Therefore, we shall next prove the remaining part of this theorem.

(1) For any two different elements $a, b \in S^*$, either $aS \subset bS$ or $bS \subset aS$ holds.

In case $aS \subseteq bS$ we have $aS^* \subseteq bS^*$, while in case $bS \subseteq aS$ we have $bS^* \subseteq aS^*$.

(2) Let a,b be elements of S^* . $aS^* \subset bS^*$ implies $aS^* + \{a\} \subset bS^* + \{a\}$, hence $aS \subset bS$. Hence $a^n \in b^n S$ for some integer n. Since $a^n \neq o$, we have $a^n \in b^n S^*$.

(3) Let *a* be an element of S*. Then $\bigcap_{n} a^{n}S = \{o\}$. Since $\bigcap_{n} a^{n}S = \{o\}$, $\bigcap_{n} a^{n}S = \{o\}$.

From $(1)\sim(3)$, we obtain this theorem.

Lemma 15. Every element of S is a nil-element if S has at least one zero divisor.

Proof. Let a be a zero divisor of S. Then there exists an element b such that ab=oand $b \neq o$. Take up any element x of S. Were $x^n \leq b$ for every positive integer n, we would have $\bigcap_n x^n S \supseteq bS$, hence $bS = \{o\}$, contrary to our assumption $b \neq o$. Thus there exists an integer i satisfying $x^i > b$. Similarly, there exists an integer j satisfying $x^j > a$. Hence we have $o = ab \leq x^{i+j}$, and hence $x^{i+j} = o$. (It is obvious that zero element o is the greatest element of S)

Lemma 16. xt = x implies x = o.

Proof. Since $t^n x = x$ is satisfied for any positive integer *n*, we obtain $\{o\} = \bigcap_n t^n S \supseteq x$, and hence x = o.

Lemma 17. xt=y, yt'=x imply x=y=o.

Proof. xt=y, yt'=x imply x(tt')=x. We obtain therefore x=y=o from Lemma 16.

The author is not able to know whether every locally nilpotent r. t. o. semigroup having zero element o always satisfies the cancellation law or not, but at least he is able to present the following statement.

Theorem 5. If S satisfies the cancellation law and if S has at least one zero divisor, then S is a dense-in-itself segment (in sense of Clifford [1])*.

Proof. We shall prove this theorem in three steps.

(1) S is a naturally totally ordered commutative semigroup.

We first prove the relation $S = S^2$. Assume the contrary, and take up any element $t \in S - S^2$. Then $txyS \subseteq t^2S$ holds for any elements x, y of S. Thus we have $tS^a \subseteq t^2S$, hence $tS^{2n-1} \subseteq t^nS$ for every integer $n \ge 2$. Since t is a nil-element, there exists an integer $i \ge 2$ satisfying $t^i = o$. Hence we have $tS^{2i-1} = \{o\}$. Let j be an integer such that $tS^{j-1} \neq \{o\}$ and $tS^j = \{o\}$. Then there exist elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{j-1} such that $tx_1x_2, \dots, x_{j-1} \neq o$. On the other hand, we have $tx_1x_2, \dots, x_{j-1}S \subseteq tS^j = \{o\}$, hence $tx_1x_2, \dots, x_{j-1} = o$. Consequently $S = S^2$ holds.

Now, it is sufficient to prove that for any different elements x, y of S at least one of relations xt = y and yt = x holds for some element t of S, since from Lemma 17 it is impossible that both xt = y and yt = x happen at the same time. Assume x < y. In case y = o the above assertion is trivial. We assume therefore $y \neq o$. Then there exists an element t satisfying $yt \neq o$. Moreover, $xy' \leq y$ is satisfied by some element t' of S. In fact, this is proved as follows. Since xz > y means $xzS \subseteq yS$ for any element z of S, if xz > y holds for every element z of S we obtain $xS^2 \subseteq yS$, hence $xS \subseteq yS$. This is impossible since x < y means $xS \supseteq yS$. There exists therefore an element t' satisfying $xt' \leq y$. Set min(t,t') = t''. Then $ytS \subseteq yt''S$, and hence $yt'' \neq o$. Since $xt'' \leq y$ we have $xt''S \supseteq yS$. There exists therefore an element xs = y by using the cancellation law.

Hereafter $S(\underline{\exists})$ will denote S in which the naturally ordering $\underline{\exists}$ is defined.

(2) $S(\preceq)$ is ordinally irreducible (see Clifford [1]).

Obviousness.

(3) Every element of $S(\underline{\exists})$ has a finite order.

Obviously by Lemma 15.

(4) $S(\underline{\exists})$ is dense-in-itself. That is, for given x-3y there exists an element z satisfying x-3z-3y.

Assume the contrary. x = y implies $x \neq o$ and x < y. In case y = o, we have xt = o for any element $t \in S$, hence $xS = \{o\}$, and hence x = o. This is contrary to $x \neq o$. In case $y \neq o$, $xt' = xt \neq o$ is satisfied if we take up two elements t, t' such that xt = y and t' < t. (The existence of these elements is obvious). By the cancellation law we have therefore t = t', contrary to t' < t.

From (1) \sim (4), we conclude that S is a dense-in-itself segment.

REFERENCES.

[1]	A. H. Clifford,	"Naturally totally ordered commutative semigroups", American
		Joural of Mathematics, Vol. 76, No. 3, 1954, pp. 631-646.
[2]	F. Klein-Barmen,	"Über gewisse Halbverbande und kommutative Semigruppen 1",
		Mathematische Zeitschrift, Vol. 48, 1942-3, pp. 275-288.
[3]	",	"Über gewisse Halbverbande und kommutative Semigruppen 2",
		Mathematische Zeitschrift, Vol. 48, 1942-3, pp. 715-734.
[4]	// ,	"Ein Beitrag zur Theorie der linearen Holoide",
		Mathematische Zeitschrift, Vol. 51, 1947-9, pp. 355-366.

[*] A naturally totally ordered commutative semigroup S is said to be a segment if S is ordinally irreducible and if each element of S has a finite order.

8

i