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#### Abstract

In $\S 1$ it is proved that any elliptic surface without exceptional curve admits a canonical involution, which is an extension of the involution in [7]. Since a general elliptic curve admits the unique non trivial involutive isomorphism, then we will call this a canonical one. By making use of a lemma in IIII [2], it is easy to construct the involution, but in order to find invariant divisors, we make it concretely. Non singular surfaces of degree 4 in $\boldsymbol{P}^{3}$ are K3 surfaces and one of them is a singular $K 3$ surface. We deduce an information about the homotopical cell structure of a $\mathbb{K} 3$ surface. Automorphisms of this surface are constructed in §2. Some of them translate a global section to another section and others do not preserve the elliptic structure. In the last section some remarks are given about elliptic modular surfaces which are singular $\mathbb{K} 3$ surfaces.


## §1. Some generalities

Let $\Phi: S \rightarrow P$ be an elliptic surface without any exceptional curve over a non singular algebraic curve free from multiple singular fibres. The period of a generic fibre can be represented as $(\omega(u), 1)$, where $\operatorname{Im} \omega(u)>0$. Denote by $J$ the elliptic modular function, then the function $\mathrm{j}(u)=J(\omega(u))$ is meromorphic in $P$. Denote by $P^{\prime}$ the set of points in $P$ over which fibres are regular. The restriction $S \mid P^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ is a differentiable torus bundle and the locally constant sheaf $G^{\prime}=U\left\{H_{1}\left(\Phi^{-1}(u), Z\right)\right.$; $\left.u \in P^{\prime}\right\}$ can be extended to a sheaf $G$ over $P$. Each element $\beta$ of $\pi_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ induces a transformation $\omega(u) \rightarrow\left(a_{\beta} \omega(u)+b_{\beta}\right)\left(c_{\beta} \omega(u)+d_{\beta}\right)^{-1}$. According to the notation in II [2], the surface $S$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{j}, G)$ and by a result in II [2], the family $\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{i}, G)$ admits a basic element $B$. Let $U^{\prime}$ be the universal covering manifold of $P^{\prime}$, then the restriction $B^{\prime}=B \mid P^{\prime}$ is given by $B^{\prime}=U^{\prime} \times C / \mathfrak{g}$, where $C$ is the complex number field and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the group of transformations, $\pi_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right) \times Z \times Z(Z$ is the group of integers) such that

$$
g\left(\beta, n_{1}, n_{2}\right)(\tilde{u}, \zeta)=\left(\beta \tilde{u},\left(\zeta+n_{1} \omega(\tilde{u})+n_{2}\right)\left(c_{\beta} \omega(\tilde{u})+d_{\beta}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

for each $(\tilde{u}, \zeta) \in U^{\prime} \times C$.
For the transformation $\rho:(\tilde{u}, \zeta) \rightarrow(\tilde{u},-\zeta)$, the diagram

is commutative, then the involution $\rho$ determines an involution $(\rho): B^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, which preserves the zero section 0 . By the lemma 10.4 III [2], it can be seen that the involution ( $\rho$ ) is extendible to an involution $[\rho]: B \rightarrow B$. But in order to find invariant divisors, we extend ( $\rho$ ) conceretely according to the construction in §8, II [2].
(i) In the neighbourhoods of fibres of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$, using the representation of $x, y$ by Weierstrass' functions $\mathfrak{p}(\zeta), \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(\zeta)$ in p . 592, II [2], $\rho$ induces an involution ( $x, y$ ) $\rightarrow(x,-y)$, then the formula (8.40) in II [2] is invariant and so we have an involuiton $[\rho]$, which leaves invariant fibres of type $\mathrm{I}_{1}$. For fibres of type $\mathrm{I}_{b}$, it induces $(\tau, w) \rightarrow$ ( $\tau, w^{-1}$ ), where $w=\exp 2 \pi i \zeta, \tau=\exp 2 \pi i \tilde{u}$, so the covering map given by (8.44) in II [2] admits a natural lift $[\rho]: B \rightarrow B$. Thus each fibre of type $\mathrm{I}_{b}$ is $[\rho]$-invariant.
(ii) For fibres of type IV*, by the relation $\left(2_{3}\right)$, p. 591-592 [2], we have

$$
-\left(\frac{1}{3} \eta+\frac{2}{3}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{3} \eta+\frac{1}{3}\right) \bmod Z[\eta]+Z
$$

then fixed points by the cyclic group c and so divisors $\left\{\Theta_{11}, \Theta_{12}\right\},\left\{\Theta_{21}, \Theta_{22}\right\}$ are non invariant under the action $[\rho]$, and they are mutually transformed into others by [ $\rho$ ]. For fibres of type IV the situation is quite similar ( $\left(2_{3}\right)$ p. 592-593 [2]).
(iii) For fibres of other types, by the checking in each case, we see that they are all $[\rho]$-invariant.

Throughout this paper we treate elliptic surfaces without any exceptional curve. By Theorem 11.1, III [2], any elliptic surface free from multiple singular fibres can be obtaned by the pasting method from a basic element. Then by the results in $\S 14$, [2], [7], we have

Proposition 1. Any elliptic surface free from multiple singular fibres admits a non trivial involution.

Remark. The involution constructed in [7] is a special one of the canonical involution.

By the result in $\S 4$, [3], any elliptic surface is obtained by logarithmic transformations from an elliptic surface without multiple fibres. The transformation (33) (36) in §4 [3] is compatible with the involution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\zeta-\gamma(\sigma))\left(c \omega\left(\sigma^{m}\right)+d\right)^{-1} \longrightarrow(-\zeta-\gamma(\sigma))\left(c \omega\left(\sigma^{m}\right)+d\right)^{-1} \\
& (\sigma, w))_{j} \longrightarrow\left(\left(\sigma, w^{-1}\right)\right)_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Then we have

Corollary. Any elliptic surface admits a non trivial involution.

## §2. Hypersurfaces in $\boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{3}}$

We consider a non singular hypersurface of degree 4 in the three dimensional projective space $P^{3}$,

$$
S: \Sigma_{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}=4} t_{\mu} z_{0}^{\mu_{0}} z_{1}^{\mu_{1}} z_{2}^{\mu_{2}} z_{3}^{\mu_{3}}=0,
$$

where $\mu=\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)$ is a sequence of non negative integers and $t$ is a complex number for each $\mu$. Let $E \rightarrow P^{3}$ be the bundle given by transition functions $\left\{e_{i j}=\right.$ $\left.z_{j} / z_{i}\right\}$. Then the divisor [S] determines the line bundle $E^{-4} \rightarrow P^{3}$. We have the following exact sequence of sheaves of germs of holomorphic sections,

$$
0 \longrightarrow O\left(E^{-4}\right) \longrightarrow O(\mathbb{1}) \longrightarrow O_{S}(\mathbb{1}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the trivial line bundle over $P^{3}$ and $O_{S}$ is the structure sheaf of $S$. By this we have an exact sequence of cohomology groups,

$$
H^{1}\left(P^{3}, O\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(S, O_{S}(\mathbb{1})\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(P^{3}, O\left(E^{-4}\right)\right)
$$

Since the canonical bundle of $P^{3}$ is equal to $E^{-4} \rightarrow P^{3}$, then by Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 in [4]. We have

$$
H^{1}\left(P^{3}, O\right)=H^{2}\left(P^{3}, O\left(E^{-4}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad H^{1}\left(S, O_{S}\right)=0
$$

On the other hand we have an exact sequence associated with the embedding $S \subset P^{3}$,

$$
0 \longrightarrow T(S) \longrightarrow T\left(P^{3}\right) \mid S \longrightarrow v \longrightarrow 0
$$

where we mean by $T($ ) the tangent bundle and by $v$ the normal bundle of the embedding. Since $v=[S]\left|S=E^{-4}\right| S$, then the canonical bundle of $S$ is trivial. Thus the surface $S$ is a $K 3$ surface. The second Betti number of a $K 3$ surface is 22, and its homology basis and the intersection numbers are known (for example [5]). Then by the duality between intersection numbers and cup products, and 12.2 (b) [10], it can be seen that the surface admits the following cell decomposition,

$$
S \simeq K=\left(\vee_{i=1}^{22} S_{i}^{2}\right) \cup_{\beta} e^{4}
$$

where $\vee_{i=1}^{22} S_{i}^{2}$ denotes the bouquet of 2-spheres and $\beta: \partial e^{4}=S^{3} \rightarrow \vee_{i=1}^{22} S_{i}^{2}$ is the homotopy boundary of the 4 -cell and its homotopy class is given by

$$
[\beta]=\Sigma \varepsilon_{i j}\left[c_{i}, c_{j}\right], \quad \text { a sum of Whitehead products, }
$$

and $\varepsilon_{i j}= \pm 1$ or zero, especially $\varepsilon_{i i}=-1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, 20$.
By [6], there exists a non singular hypersurface of degree 4 in $P^{3}$ such that the
group of automorphisms is an infinite group. For a K3 surface $S$ and its automorphisms $f, g$ if $f$ is homotopic to $g$, then the homomorphism

$$
\left(g^{-1} f\right)_{*}: H_{2}(S, Z) \longrightarrow H_{2}(S, Z)
$$

is the identity, so by the proposition 2 in $\S 2$ [8], $g^{-1} f$ is the identity automorphism of $S$, thus $f=g$. Then we have

Proposition 2. The set consisting of homotopy classes of homotopy equivalences $K \rightarrow K$ is an infinite set.

Remark 1. By a result in [1], we have another cell decomposition,

$$
\left(M_{3} \cup e_{1}^{2} \cup \cdots \cup e_{r}^{2}\right) \cup e^{4}
$$

where $M_{3}$ is a plane curve of genus 3 which is given by the equation $z_{1}^{4}+z_{2}^{4}+z_{3}^{4}=0$.
Now we consider a typical K3 surface with the Picard number 20, which is given by the equation,

$$
S_{0}: z_{0}^{4}+z_{1}^{4}+z_{2}^{4}+\dot{z}_{3}^{4}=0 \text { in } P^{3}
$$

Let us set

$$
t=\frac{z_{0}^{2}+\mathrm{i} z_{1}^{2}}{z_{2}^{2}-\mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}}=-\frac{z_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}}{z_{0}^{2}-\mathrm{i} z_{1}^{2}}, \mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}
$$

then we have an elliptic structure of $S_{0}$ over the projective plane $P$ which is given by

$$
\Phi: S_{0} \ni\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \longrightarrow t \in P .
$$

Singular fibres of $\Phi$ are given as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
t=0 & \cdots\left[z_{0}, \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{i}} z_{0}, z_{2}, \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{i}} z_{2}\right] \\
t=\infty & \cdots\left[z_{0}, \pm \sqrt{-\mathrm{i}} z_{0}, z_{2}, \pm \sqrt{-\mathrm{i}} z_{2}\right] \\
t=1 & \cdots\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{i}} z_{1}, \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{i}} z_{0}\right] \\
t=-1 & \cdots\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \pm \sqrt{-\mathrm{i}} z_{1}, \pm \sqrt{-\mathrm{i}} z_{0}\right]  \tag{L}\\
t=i & \cdots\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \pm \sqrt{-\mathrm{i}} z_{0}, \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{i}} z_{1}\right] \\
t=-i & \cdots\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{i}} z_{0}, \pm \sqrt{-\mathrm{i}} z_{1}\right] .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

All of these fibres are of type $\mathrm{I}_{4}$. For other $t$ than in the list (L), we have

$$
z_{0}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right) z_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) \mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}, \quad z_{1}^{2}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right) z_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right) z_{3}^{2}
$$

and so set $a=\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right), b=\frac{1}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)$, then we have

$$
z_{0}^{2}=a z_{2}^{2}-i b z_{3}^{2}, \quad z_{1}^{2}=-i b z_{2}^{2}-a z_{3}^{2} .
$$

Further set $\lambda=\left(\frac{z_{3}}{z_{2}}\right)^{2}, \mu=\frac{z_{0} z_{1} z_{3}}{z_{2}^{3}}$, then the fibre over $t$ is given by the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}=\lambda(a-\mathrm{i} b \lambda)(-\mathrm{i} b-a \lambda), \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the surface $S_{0}$ is an elliptic surface. The second Chern class of a K3 surface is 24 , then there does not exist other singular fibre.

Next we seek global sections of the elliptic structure $\Phi$. Set $z_{1}=\alpha z_{0}, z_{3}=\alpha z_{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{0}^{2}+\mathrm{i} z_{1}^{2}=\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right) z_{0}^{2}=t\left(z_{0}^{2}-\mathrm{i} z_{1}^{2}\right)=t\left(1-\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right) z_{0}^{2} \\
& t\left(z_{2}^{2}-\mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}\right)=t\left(1-\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right) z_{2}^{2}=-\left(z_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}\right)=-\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right) z_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{z_{0}^{2}}{z_{1}^{2}} \frac{1+i \alpha^{2}}{t\left(1-i \alpha^{2}\right)}=-\frac{z_{0}^{2} t\left(1-\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right)}{z_{2}^{2}\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right)} .
$$

Thus we have $t^{2}=\frac{\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}\right)^{2}}, t= \pm \mathrm{i} \frac{1+\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}}{1-\mathrm{i} \alpha^{2}}$, and $\alpha= \pm \sqrt{\frac{1 \mp t}{1+\mathrm{i} t}}$. On the other hand, by the equation $z_{0}^{4}+z_{1}^{4}+z_{2}^{4}+z_{3}^{4}=0$, we have

$$
\left(1+\alpha^{4}\right)\left(z_{0}^{4}+z_{2}^{4}\right)=0
$$

hence we obtain 16 sections given by $\left[z_{0}, \alpha z_{0},(\exp n \pi \mathrm{i} / 4) z_{0}, \alpha(\exp n \pi \mathrm{i} / 4) z_{0}\right], n= \pm 1$, $\pm 3$. In the case $t=+\mathrm{i}$, we have $\alpha=\infty$ and take $[0,1,0$, exp $n \pi \mathrm{i} / 4]$ as sections.

The second algebraic homology basis is given by 18 divisors in the list ( L ) and a generic fibre, and a global section. Then by Theorem 1.1 in [9], the surface $S_{0}$ is a singular K3 surface, i.e. the Picard number is 20. By Lemma 10.3, 10.4 III [2], the above 16 sections determine 16 automorphisms of the surface $S_{0}$. In these automorphisms, the ones corresponding to the translations by $\exp n \pi \mathrm{i} / 4 \mathrm{keep}$ the divisors invariant, but the others by $\alpha^{\prime}$ s do not. By the proposition 1, we have

Proposition 2. The surface $S_{0}$ admits 32 automorphisms which preserve the elliptic structure. The equivariant Picard number is smaller than 20.

Remark 2. The symmetric group $S_{4}$ acts on $P^{3}$, and the surface $S_{0}$ is invariant by this action, but the action does not preserve the elliptic structure. Further the surface $S_{0}$ admits actions given by

$$
\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}_{0}} z_{0}, \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon_{1}} z_{1}, \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon_{2}} z_{2}, \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}_{3}} z_{3}\right]
$$

where $\varepsilon_{j}=0,1,2,3$ and $j=0,1,2,3$. Set $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right)$. These actions give a
transformation group of order $4^{3}$. The $\varepsilon$-type action does not preserve the elliptic structure. For example, let $\Phi_{1}: S_{0} \rightarrow P$ be the elliptic structure given by

$$
t_{1}=\frac{z_{0}^{2}+\mathrm{i} z_{1}^{2}}{z_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}}=-\frac{z_{2}^{2}-\mathrm{i} z_{3}^{2}}{z_{0}^{2}-\mathrm{i} z_{1}^{2}},
$$

then we have the commutative diagram,

where $\varepsilon_{*}(1,0,0,0)$ is given by the mapping $t \rightarrow \frac{1}{t}$.

## §3. Some elliptic modular surfaces

1 Let $\Gamma(4)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of level 4 in $S L(2, Z)$. Consider the action of $\Gamma(4)$ on the upper half plane. There are 6 cusp points. By the aspect of the representation of isotropy groups, we have a singular K 3 surface $B_{\Gamma(4)}$ [9]. It has 6 singular fibres of type $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ and it is an elliptic surface, and admits 16 global sections, $s_{m}(\exp \pi i z / 2)=\left(\left(\exp \pi i\left(m_{1} z+m_{2}\right) / 2\right)\right)_{0} \in W_{0}$ [9]. The automorphisms induced by the translations of $m_{1}$ do not leave divisors invariant, but the ones corresponding to the variation of $m_{2}$ leave them invariant. These automorphisms do not include the canonical one in $\S 1$.

2 let $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}(7)$ be the subgroup of $S L(2, Z)$ given by $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}(7)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in S L(2, Z): c \equiv 0\right.$ $\left.\bmod 7,\left(\frac{a}{7}\right)=1\right\}$, where $\left(\frac{a}{7}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol. Then the corresponding elliptic modular surface $B_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}(7)}$ is a singular K 3 surface with singular fibres $\mathrm{I}_{1}, \mathrm{I}_{7}, \mathrm{IV}^{*}$, IV*. The canonical automorphism [ $\rho$ ] does not leave divisors in the fibres of type IV* invariant as we have seen in $\S 1$.

Remark. By the formula ( E ) in $\S 2$, the involution $\iota:\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right] \rightarrow\left[z_{0}, z_{1}\right.$, $-z_{2}, z_{3}$ ] gives an involution $(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow(\lambda,-\mu)$ of a generic fibre. A generic fibre is an elliptic curve and a general elliptic curve admits unique involutive isomorphism.

## References

[1] R. Bott, On a theorem of Lefschetz, Mich. Math. J. 6 (1959), 211-216.
[2] K. Kodaira, On compact complex analytic surfaces, II-III, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963), 563626, 78 (1963), 1-40.
[3] K. Kodaira, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, I, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 751-798.
[4] K. Kodaira, On a differential-geometric method in the theory of analytic stacks, Proc. N.A.S. 39 (1953), 1268-1273.
[5] K. Kodaira, Theory of complex analytic surfaces, Lecture note, Univ. Tokyo, 1974, in Japanese.
[6] H. Matsumura and P. Monsky, On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 3-3 (1964), 347-361.
[7] H. Matsunaga, On equivariant line bundles over some surfaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. 12 (1978), 15-18.
[8] I. I. Pjateckiǐ-Šapiro and I. R. Šafarevič, A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3, Math. USSR. Izvestija, 5-3 (1971), 547-588.
[9] T. Shioda, On elliptic modular surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 24 (1) (1972), 20-59.
[10] J. H. C. Whitehead, On simply connected 4-dimensional polyhedra, Comm. Math. Helv. 22 (1949), 48-92.

