

## Linear Space Topologies on Riesz Spaces

Riitiro MURAKAMI

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan  
(Received September 5, 1977)

In the Lebesgue integration theory, Dominated Convergence theorem plays a very important role. It combines an order and a topology. So we abstract it on Riesz spaces and further define another linear space topology and call it a semi-Lebesgue topology. We discuss some relations between this and another linear space topologies on Riesz spaces.

At first, we explain some notations and terminologies. A Riesz space is a vector lattice over the field of real numbers. Let  $E$  be a Riesz space.  $B \uparrow b$  means that  $B$  is a directed upwards subset of  $E$  and has a supremum  $b$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(B \uparrow)$  is a filter on  $E$  generated by the set

$$\{x: x \in B \text{ and } x \geq b \text{ for some point } b \text{ in } B\}$$

and call it a section filter of  $B$ .  $B \downarrow b$  and  $\mathfrak{F}(B \downarrow)$  are defined dually, that is, by replacing upwards, supremum and  $\geq$  by downwards, infimum and  $\leq$  respectively. A set  $B (\subset E)$  is a solid set whenever  $x \in B$  and  $|y| \leq |x|$  implies  $y \in B$ . A set  $B (\subset E)$  is an upwards-Dedekind complete set whenever for any  $x$  in  $B$ , there is a directed upwards subset  $C$  of  $B$  such that  $C \uparrow x$ . A downwards-Dedekind complete set is defined similarly.  $E$  is Archimedean if  $x$  and  $y$  in  $E$  and  $nx \leq y$  for all natural number  $n$  implies  $x \leq 0$ .

I do not assume that a linear space topology is locally convex. A linear space topology on  $E$  will be called locally solid if there is a neighborhood basis of  $0$  consisting of the solid sets. A linear space topology on  $E$  will be called a Lebesgue topology if whenever  $B$  is a non-empty subset of  $E$  and  $B \downarrow 0$ , then  $0$  belongs to the closure of  $B$ .

DEFINITION. (1) A locally order complete topology on  $E$  is a locally solid topology on  $E$  and has a neighborhood basis of  $0$  consisting of the upwards-Dedekind complete subsets of  $E$ .

(2) A linear space topology on  $E$  will be called semi-Lebesgue if, whenever for any non-empty subset  $B$  of  $E$  which is bounded above and directed upwards, then the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(B \uparrow)$  is Cauchy.

We first give some characterizations of the semi-Lebesgue topology.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $E$  be a Riesz space.  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a linear space topology on  $E$ .

*It is semi-Lebesgue if and only if every directed upwards and bounded above sequence in  $E$  is Cauchy.*

PROOF. Necessity. We assume that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is directed upwards and bounded above. As  $\mathfrak{F}$  is semi-Lebesgue, the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(x_n \uparrow)$  becomes Cauchy. So for any circled neighbourhood  $V$  of 0, there is some integer  $n_0$  such that

$$x_n - x_{n_0} \in V \quad \text{for any } n \geq n_0.$$

For any  $n, m \geq n_0$ ,

$$x_n - x_m = x_n - x_{n_0} + x_{n_0} - x_m \in 2V.$$

Sufficiency. We suppose that a linear space topology  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not semi-Lebesgue. Then there is a bounded above and directed upwards subset  $A$  of  $E$  and its section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(A \uparrow)$  is not Cauchy. Thus there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 and a directed upwards sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $A$  such that

$$x_{n+1} - x_n \notin V \quad \text{for any } n.$$

So the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is not Cauchy. But by the property of  $\{x_n\}$ , the sequence must be Cauchy. Therefore we have a contradiction.

The following corollary is easily proved.

COROLLARY. *Let  $E$  be a Riesz space.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a linear space topology on  $E$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is semi-Lebesgue if and only if every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $E$ , which has the following property (O), converges to 0.*

*The property (O): there is a point  $x$  in  $E$  such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \leq |x| \quad \text{for any } n.$$

We next consider the Dieudonné topology  $|w|(E^\sim, E)$  on  $E^\sim$ , where  $(E, \mathfrak{F})$  is a semi-Lebesgue Riesz space.  $E^\sim$  is the set of all real linear maps on  $E$  which is bounded on every order bounded subset of  $E$ .  $|w|(E^\sim, E)$  is a topology on  $E^\sim$  defined by the seminorms

$$f \longrightarrow \langle |x|, |f| \rangle \quad \text{as } x \text{ runs through } E.$$

For any subset  $A$  of  $E$ ,  $A^\circ$  is an absolute polar of  $A$  which is the set

$$\{f \in E^\sim : |\langle x, f \rangle| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in A\}.$$

COROLLARY.  *$E$  is a Riesz space and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a semi-Lebesgue topology on  $E$ . If  $V$  is a neighbourhood of 0, then  $V^\circ$  is  $|w|(E^\sim, E)$ -bounded.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $V^\circ$  is not  $|w|(E^\sim, E)$ -bounded. Then there is a point  $x$  in  $E$  and a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $V^\circ$  such that

$$\langle |x|, |f_n| \rangle > 2^n \quad \text{for any } n.$$

As  $\langle 2^{-n}|x|, |f_n| \rangle > 1$  and  $f_n$  in  $V^\circ$ , the sequence  $\{2^{-n}|x|\}$  does not belong to  $V$ . But

$$\sum_{n=1}^k 2^{-n}|x| \leq |x| \quad \text{for any } k,$$

$2^{-m}|x|$  must belong to 0-neighbourhood  $V$  for sufficiently large positive integer  $m$  by the above corollary. This is a contradiction.

We next consider some relations between a semi-Lebesgue and a Lebesgue topologies.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $E$  be a Riesz space. If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a locally solid, Hausdorff, and Lebesgue linear space topology on  $E$ , it is semi-Lebesgue.*

PROOF. Let  $A$  be a directed upwards and bounded above subset of  $E$  and  $B$  be the set of all elements which is an upper bound of  $A$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is locally solid and Hausdorff, the set  $E^+ = \{x: x \geq 0\}$  is closed.

So  $E$  is an Archimedean Riesz space. Therefore by (3, Theorem 22.5), we can conclude that  $B - A \downarrow 0$ . As  $\mathfrak{F}$  is Lebesgue, 0 belongs to the closure of  $B - A$ . Therefore for any solid neighbourhood  $V$  of 0, there is a point  $b$  in  $B$  and a point  $a$  in  $A$  such that  $b - a \in V$ . For any point  $x (\geq a)$  in  $A$ , we can say that

$$x - a \leq b - a \in V.$$

By the solidness of  $V$ ,  $x - a$  also belongs to  $V$ . So that we can say that the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(A \uparrow)$  is Cauchy,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is semi-Lebesgue.

We next consider that for what conditions are added to the semi-Lebesgue topology, it becomes Lebesgue.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $E$  be a Riesz space,  $\mathfrak{F}$  a locally solid, Hausdorff and semi-Lebesgue topology on  $E$ . If  $(E, \mathfrak{F})$  is a topologically complete Riesz space, then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is Lebesgue.*

PROOF. Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of  $E$  and  $A \downarrow 0$ . Then  $-A$  becomes upwards directed and its supremum is 0. As  $\mathfrak{F}$  is semi-Lebesgue, the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(-A \uparrow)$  is Cauchy. By the completeness of  $(E, \mathfrak{F})$ , the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(-A \uparrow)$  converges to some point  $x_0$  in  $E$ . So  $x_0$  is an accumulation point of the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(-A \uparrow)$ , the point  $x_0$  belongs to the closure of the set

$$\{x: x \geq -a \quad \text{for any } a \in A\}.$$

But the set

$$\{x: x \geq -a\} = E^+ + \{-a\}$$

is closed. Therefore for any point  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $x_0 \geq -a$  and  $-x_0$  is a lower bound of  $A$ . Let  $u$  be any lower bound of  $A$ , the set

$$\{x: x \leq -u\}$$

belongs to the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(-A \uparrow)$ . The point  $x_0$  belongs to the set

$$\{x: x \leq -u\}^- = \{x: x \leq -u\}.$$

Therefore  $-x_0$  is an infimum of  $A$ . The section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(-A \uparrow)$  converges to 0. So for any neighbourhood  $U$  of 0, there is a solid neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 such that

$$V \subset U \quad \text{and} \quad V \in \mathfrak{F}(-A \uparrow).$$

There is a point  $a$  in  $A$  such that  $-a \in V$ . By the solidness of  $V$ , the point  $a$  also belongs to  $V \subset U$ . Therefore 0 belongs to the closure of  $A$ .

Even if we replace the topologically completeness with some order condition in the above theorem, we can get the same conclusion.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E$  be a Riesz space,  $\mathfrak{T}$  a Hausdorff, semi-Lebesgue and locally order complete linear space topology on  $E$ . Then  $\mathfrak{T}$  is Lebesgue.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of  $E$  and  $A \downarrow 0$ . Then the section filter  $\mathfrak{F}(A \downarrow)$  is Cauchy. For any neighbourhood  $U$  of 0, there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 such that the set  $V$  is an upwards-Dedekind complete subset of  $U$  by the definition of a locally order complete topology. For this set  $V$ , we can find a point  $a$  in  $A$  such that

$$a - x \in V \quad \text{for any } x \in A \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq a.$$

The set

$$\{a - x: x \in A \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq a\}$$

is a directed upwards subset of  $V$  and has a supremum  $a$ . Therefore the point  $a$  belongs to the set  $V \subset U$ . So we can say that 0 belongs to the closure of  $A$ .

### References

- [1] I. Amemiya and T. Mori, Topological structures in ordered linear spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 9, (1957), 131-142.
- [2] D. H. Fremlin, On the completion of locally solid vector lattices, *Pacific J. Math.*, 43 (1972), 341-347.

- [3] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces 1*, North-Holland, 1971.
- [4] A. L. Peressini, *Ordered Topological Vector Spaces*, Harper-Row, 1967.
- [5] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Macmillan, 1966.
- [6] Y. C. Wong and Ng. Kung-Fu, *Partially ordered topological vector spaces*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973.