

## Fundamental Generalized Inverse $*$ -Semigroups

*Dedicated to Professor Michihiko Kikkawa on his 60th birthday*

Teruo IMAOKA, Isamu INATA and Hiroaki YOKOYAMA

*Department of Mathematics, Shimane University*

*Matsue, Shimane 690, Japan*

(Received September 1, 1995)

### Abstract

In [3] and [5], the authors gave a representation of a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $S$ , which is a generalization of the Preston-Vagner representation. If  $S$  is fundamental, we can obtain a more precise representation of  $S$ . The purpose of this paper is to give a generalization of the Munn representation (see [6]) for fundamental generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroups. This paper is the improvement of our earlier announcement [4].

By introducing a new concept of a *strong  $\pi$ -groupoid*  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$ , we shall construct a fundamental generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ . Also, we shall show that a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup is fundamental if and only if it is  $*$ -isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse  $*$ -subsemigroup of  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  on a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$ .

### 1 Introduction

A semigroup  $S$  with a unary operation  $*$ :  $S \rightarrow S$  is called a *regular  $*$ -semigroup* if it satisfies

- (i)  $(x^*)^* = x$ ,
- (ii)  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ ,
- (iii)  $xx^*x = x$ .

Let  $S$  be a regular  $*$ -semigroup. An idempotent  $e$  in  $S$  is called a *projection* if it satisfies  $e^* = e$ . For any subset  $A$  of  $S$ , denote the sets of idempotents and projections of  $A$  by  $E(A)$  and  $P(A)$ , respectively. If  $E(S)$  forms a normal band, that is,  $E(S)$  satisfies the identity  $xyzw = xzyw$ ,  $S$  is called a *generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup*.

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be regular  $*$ -semigroups. A homomorphism  $\phi: S \rightarrow T$  is called a  *$*$ -homomorphism* if  $(a\phi)^* = a^*\phi$ . A congruence  $\sigma$  on  $S$  is called a  *$*$ -congruence* if  $(a\sigma)^* = a^*\sigma$ . A  $*$ -congruence  $\sigma$  on  $S$  is said to be *idempotent-separating* if  $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is one of the Green's relations. Denote the maximum idempotent-separating  $*$ -congruence on  $S$  by  $\mu_s$  or simply by  $\mu$ . If  $\mu_s$  is the identity relation on  $S$ ,  $S$  is called *fundamental*. The following result is well-known, and we use it frequently throughout this paper.

RESULT 1.1 (see [2]). *Let  $S$  be a regular  $*$ -semigroup. Then we have the*

following:

- (1)  $E(S) = P(S)^2$ ;
- (2) for any  $a \in S$  and  $e \in P(S)$ ,  $a^*ea \in P(S)$ ;
- (3) each  $\mathcal{L}$ -class and each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class have one and only one projection;
- (4) for  $e, f \in P(S)$ , if  $ef \in P(S)$  then  $fe \in P(S)$  and  $ef = fe$ ;
- (5)  $\mu_s = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : a^*ea = b^*eb \text{ and } aea^* = beb^* \text{ for all } e \in P(S)\}$ .

Let  $X$  be a set. By  $|X|$ , denote the cardinality of  $X$ . If  $X = \cup \{X_i : i \in I\}$  is a partition of  $X$ , denote it by  $X \sim \Sigma \{X_i : i \in I\}$ . For a mapping  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ , denote the domain and the range of  $\alpha$  by  $d(\alpha)$  and  $r(\alpha)$ , respectively. For a subset  $C$  of  $A$ ,  $\alpha|_C$  means the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $C$ . The notation and the terminology are those of [1], unless otherwise stated.

In §2, we shall first introduce a concept of a *strong  $\pi$ -groupoid*  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  with mappings  $\{\varphi_{e,f} : e \geq f, e, f \in Y\}$ , where  $Y$  is a semilattice,  $\pi$  is a partition  $X \sim \Sigma \{X_e : e \in Y\}$  of a partial groupoid  $X$  and each  $\varphi_{e,f} (e \geq f)$  is a mapping of  $X_e$  to  $X_f$ . Next, for a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$ , we shall construct a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $P(T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M}))$  is partially isomorphic to  $X$ .

In §3, for a given generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $S$ , we shall construct a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $P(S)(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$ , where  $I$  is the structure semilattice of a normal band  $E(S)$ . For  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $\tau_a : P(Sa^*) \rightarrow P(Sa)$  by  $e\tau_a = a^*ea$ . Then we shall show that a mapping  $\phi : S \rightarrow T_{P(S)(\pi)}(\mathcal{M}) (a \mapsto \tau_a)$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism and that the kernel of  $\phi$  is the maximum idempotent-separating  $*$ -congruence on  $S$ . Moreover, we shall show that a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup is fundamental if and only if it is  $*$ -isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse  $*$ -subsemigroup of  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  on a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $X(\pi)$ .

## 2 $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$

For a partial groupoid  $X$ , if there exist a semilattice  $Y$ , a partition  $\pi : X \sim \Sigma \{X_e : e \in Y\}$  of  $X$  and mappings  $\varphi_{e,f} : X_e \rightarrow X_f (e \geq f \text{ in } Y)$  such that

- (1) for any  $e \in Y$ ,  $\varphi_{e,e} = 1_{X_e}$ ,
- (2) if  $e \geq f \geq g$ , then  $\varphi_{e,f}\varphi_{f,g} = \varphi_{e,g}$ ,
- (3) for  $x \in X_e, y \in X_f$ ,  $xy$  is defined in  $X$  if and only if  $x\varphi_{e,ef} = y\varphi_{f,ef}$ , and in this case  $xy = x\varphi_{e,ef}$ ,

then  $X$  is called a *strong  $\pi$ -groupoid* with mappings  $\{\varphi_{e,f} : e, f \in Y, e \geq f\}$ , and it is denoted by  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  or simply by  $X(\pi)$ .

Let  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  be a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called a  *$\pi$ -singleton subset* of  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$ , if there exists  $e \in Y$  such that

$$|A \cap X_f| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f \in Ye, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(A \cap X_f)\varphi_{f,g} = A \cap X_g \text{ for any } f, g \in Ye \text{ such that } f \geq g,$$

where  $Ye$  is the principal ideal of  $Y$  generated by  $e$ . In this case, we sometimes denote the  $\pi$ -singleton subset  $A$  by  $A(e)$ . If  $A(e)$  is a  $\pi$ -singleton subset, then  $|A \cap X_f| = 1$  for any  $f \in Ye$ . We denote the only one element of  $A \cap X_f$  by  $a_f$ . We remark that for any  $\pi$ -singleton subset  $A(e)$ ,  $A(e) = \{a_e \varphi_{e,f} : f \in Ye\}$ . Denote the set of all  $\pi$ -singleton subsets of  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  by  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Two  $\pi$ -singleton subsets  $A(e)$  and  $B(f)$  are said to be  $\pi$ -isomorphic to each other, if there exists an isomorphism  $\bar{\alpha} : Ye \rightarrow Yf$  as semilattices. In this case, the mapping  $\alpha : A(e) \rightarrow B(f)$  defined by  $a_g \alpha = b_{g\bar{\alpha}}$  ( $g \in Ye$ ) is called a  $\pi$ -isomorphism of  $A(e)$  to  $B(f)$ . It is obvious that  $\alpha$  is a bijection of  $A(e)$  onto  $B(f)$ , and hence  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_X$ .

Let  $X(\pi; Y; \{\varphi_{e,f}\})$  be a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid. Define an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(A(e), B(f)) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : Ye \cong Yf \text{ (as semilattices)}\}.$$

For  $(A(e), B(f)) \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $T_{A(e), B(f)}$  be the set of all  $\pi$ -isomorphisms of  $A(e)$  onto  $B(f)$ , and let

$$T_{X(\pi)} = \bigcup_{(A(e), B(f)) \in \mathcal{U}} T_{A(e), B(f)}$$

REMARK. As we have seen in [2] and [5], the set  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I}_{X(\pi)}}$  of all partial one-to-one  $\pi$ -mappings on a  $\pi$ -set  $X(\pi; \{\sigma_{e,f}\})$  is an inverse subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_X$  on  $X$ . However,  $T_{X(\pi)}$  is not generally an inverse subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{I}_X$ .

For any  $\alpha, \beta \in T_{X(\pi)}$ , define a mapping  $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}$  as follows:

$$d(\theta_{\alpha, \beta}) = \{a \in r(\alpha) : \text{there exist } e \in Y \text{ and } b \in d(\beta) \text{ such that } a, b \in X_e\},$$

$$r(\theta_{\alpha, \beta}) = \{b \in d(\beta) : \text{there exist } e \in Y \text{ and } a \in r(\alpha) \text{ such that } a, b \in X_e\},$$

$$a\theta_{\alpha, \beta} = b \text{ if } r(\alpha) \cap X_e = \{a\} \text{ and } d(\beta) \cap X_e = \{b\}.$$

Then  $\theta_{\alpha, \beta} \in T_{X(\pi)}$ . For, let  $r(\alpha) = \{a_g : g \in Ye\}$  and  $d(\beta) = \{b_h : h \in Yf\}$ . Since  $Ye \cap Yf = Yef$ ,  $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}$  is a bijection of  $\{a_g : g \in Yef\}$  onto  $\{b_h : h \in Yef\}$  which maps  $a_g$  to  $b_g$ . Also, we can easily obtain that  $\theta_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1} = \theta_{\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha, \beta} : \alpha, \beta \in T_{X(\pi)}\}$ , and define a multiplication  $\circ$  and a unary operation  $*$  on  $T_{X(\pi)}$  by

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \alpha \theta_{\alpha, \beta} \beta,$$

$$\alpha^* = \alpha^{-1}.$$

Let  $\alpha : A(e) \rightarrow B(f)$  and  $\beta : C(g) \rightarrow D(h)$  be any elements of  $T_{X(\pi)}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\alpha \circ \beta$  is a bijection of  $\{a_i : i \in Y((fg)\bar{\alpha}^{-1})\}$  onto  $\{d_j : j \in Y((fg)\bar{\beta})\}$  which maps

$a_i$  to  $d_{i\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}$ . Thus the multiplication is closed. It is clear that  $T_{X(\pi)}(\circ, *)$  is a regular  $*$ -semigroup. We denote it by  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Next, we shall show that  $E(T_{X(\pi)}) = \mathcal{M}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq E(T_{X(\pi)})$ . Let  $\alpha \in E(T_{X(\pi)})$ . Then

$$\alpha \circ \alpha = \alpha \theta_{\alpha, \alpha} \alpha = \alpha.$$

Since  $d(\theta_{\alpha, \alpha}) \subseteq r(\alpha)$  and  $r(\theta_{\alpha, \alpha}) \subseteq d(\alpha)$ , we have  $\alpha = \theta_{\alpha, \alpha}^{-1} = \theta_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}} \in \mathcal{M}$ , and hence  $E(T_{X(\pi)}) = \mathcal{M}$ .

It is clear that  $P(T_{X(\pi)}) = \{1_{A(e)} : A(e) \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . It follows immediately from the definition of the multiplication of  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  that  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup. Define a mapping  $\psi : X \rightarrow P(T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M}))$  as follows: for  $x \in X_e$ ,

$$x\psi = 1_{A(e)},$$

where  $A(e) = \{x\varphi_{e,f} : f \in Ye\}$ . Then it is clear that  $\psi$  is a bijection. To show that  $\psi$  is a partial isomorphism, for  $x \in X_e$  and  $y \in X_f$ , assume that  $xy$  is defined in  $X$ . By (3) above,  $xy = x\varphi_{e,ef} = y\varphi_{f,ef} \in X_{ef}$ . Let  $A(e) = \{x\varphi_{e,g} : g \in Ye\}$ ,  $B(f) = \{y\varphi_{f,g} : g \in Yf\}$  and  $C(ef) = \{(xy)\varphi_{ef,g} : g \in Yef\}$ . It follows from (2) above that  $1_{A(e)} \circ 1_{B(f)} = 1_{C(ef)} \in P(T_{X(\pi)})$  and that  $(xy)\psi = (x\psi) \circ (y\psi)$ . Conversely, if  $1_{A(e)} \circ 1_{B(f)} \in P(T_{X(\pi)})$ , then  $a_e\varphi_{e,ef} = b_f\varphi_{f,ef}$ . By (3) above,  $a_e b_f$  is defined in  $X$ , and hence  $(a_e b_f)\psi = 1_{A(e)} \circ 1_{B(f)}$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a partial isomorphism of  $X$  onto  $P(T_{X(\pi)})$ . Now, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1** *A regular  $*$ -semigroup  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup whose set of projections is partially isomorphic to  $X$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.2** *A partial groupoid  $X$  is partially isomorphic to the set of projections of a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup if and only if it is a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid.*

### 3 Representations

Let  $S$  be a generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup. Hereafter, denote  $E(S)$  and  $P(S)$  simply by  $E$  and  $P$ , respectively. Let  $E \sim \Sigma\{E_i : i \in I\}$  be the structure decomposition of  $E$ , and let  $P_i = P(E_i)$ . Then  $\pi : P \sim \Sigma\{P_i : i \in I\}$  is a partition of  $P$ . For any  $i, j \in I$  ( $i \geq j$ ), define a mapping  $\varphi_{i,j} : P_i \rightarrow P_j$  by

$$e\varphi_{i,j} = efe \quad \text{for some (any) } f \in P_j.$$

It follows from [7] that each  $\varphi_{i,j}$  is a mapping, and it is easy to see that  $P(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$  is a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid. Now, we can consider the generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \{\theta_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $\pi$ -isomorphisms among  $\pi$ -singleton subsets of  $P(\pi)\}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1** *For any  $a \in S$ ,  $P(Sa)$  ( $= P(Sa*a)$ ) is a  $\pi$ -singleton subset of  $P(\pi)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a \in S$  and let  $a^*a \in P_i$ . To show the lemma, it is sufficient to prove that  $P(Sa)$  is equal to  $\{(a^*a)\varphi_{i,j}: j \in I\} = A$ , say. Let  $x \in P(Sa)$ . Then there exist  $y \in S$  and  $j \in I$  such that  $x = a^*y^*ya \in P_j$ , whence  $x = (a^*a)(a^*y^*ya)(a^*a) = (a^*a)\varphi_{i,j} \in A$ . Thus  $P(Sa) \subseteq A$ . The opposite inclusion is obvious.

LEMMA 3.2 For any  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $\tau_a: P(Sa^*) \rightarrow P(Sa)$  by

$$e\tau_a = a^*ea.$$

Then  $\tau_a \in T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\tau_a^* = \tau_{a^*}$ .

PROOF. It is obvious that  $\tau_a$  is a bijection of a  $\pi$ -singleton subset  $P(Sa^*)$  onto a  $\pi$ -singleton subset  $P(Sa)$  with inverse mapping  $\tau_{a^*}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the smallest inverse semigroup congruence on  $S$ . Then it is easy to see that  $E(S/\gamma) = I$  and  $\{e\gamma: e \in P(Sa^*)\} = I((aa^*)\gamma)$ . It follows from Theorem 4.9 of [1] that a mapping  $\bar{\tau}_a: e\gamma \mapsto (a^*ea)\gamma$  is a (semilattice) isomorphism of  $I((aa^*)\gamma)$  onto  $I((a^*a)\gamma)$  and that for any  $e \in P(Sa^*)$ ,  $e\tau_a = (aa^*)\varphi_{(aa^*)\gamma, (e\gamma)\bar{\tau}_a}$ . Hence  $\tau_a \in T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

LEMMA 3.3 For any  $a, b \in S$ ,  $\theta_{\tau_a, \tau_b} = \tau_{a^*abb^*}$ .

PROOF. Let  $e$  be any element of  $d(\theta_{\tau_a, \tau_b})$ . Then  $e \in P(Sa)$  and there exist  $i \in I$  and  $f \in P(Sb^*)$  such that  $e, f \in P_i$ , whence there exist  $x, y \in S$  such that  $e = a^*x^*xa$  and  $f = by^*yb^*$ . Since  $E$  is a normal band,  $e = efe = (a^*x^*xa)(by^*yb^*)(a^*x^*xa) = (bb^*a^*a)^*(yb^*a^*x^*xa)^*(yb^*a^*x^*xa)(bb^*a^*a) \in P(Sbb^*a^*a) = d(\tau_{a^*abb^*})$ .

Conversely, let  $e$  be any element of  $d(\tau_{a^*abb^*})$ . Then there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $e = a^*abb^*x^*xbb^*a^*a$ , whence  $e \in P(Sa)$ . Put  $f = bb^*a^*ax^*xa^*abb^*$ . Then  $f \in P(Sb^*)$  and  $e, f \in P_i$  for some  $i \in I$ , since  $E$  is a band. Thus  $e \in d(\theta_{\tau_a, \tau_b})$  and

$$e\tau_{a^*abb^*} = bb^*a^*aea^*abb^* = bb^*a^*ax^*xa^*abb^* = f = e\theta_{\tau_a, \tau_b}.$$

Hence we have  $\theta_{\tau_a, \tau_b} = \tau_{a^*abb^*}$ .

THEOREM 3.4 Let  $S$  be a generalized inverse \*-semigroup such that  $E(S) = E$  and  $P(S) = P$ . Let  $E \sim \Sigma\{E_i: i \in I\}$  be the structure decomposition of  $E$  and  $P_i = P(E_i)$ . Denote the partition  $P \sim \Sigma\{P_i: i \in I\}$  of  $P$  by  $\pi$ , and, for any  $i, j \in I$  ( $i \geq j$ ), define a mapping  $\varphi_{i,j}: P_i \rightarrow P_j$  by  $e\varphi_{i,j} = efe$  for some  $f \in P_j$ . Then  $P(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$  is a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid and  $T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  is a generalized inverse \*-semigroup.

Moreover, for any  $a \in S$ , define a mapping  $\tau_a: P(Sa^*) \rightarrow P(Sa)$  by  $e\tau_a = a^*ea$ . Then a mapping  $\phi: S \rightarrow T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  ( $a \mapsto \tau_a$ ) is a \*-homomorphism and the kernel of  $\phi$  is the maximum idempotent-separating \*-congruence on  $S$ .

PROOF. It remains to prove the last statement. To see that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, it is sufficient to show that  $d(\tau_a \circ \tau_b) = d(\tau_{ab})$  for all  $a, b \in S$ . For any  $a, b \in S$ ,

$$d(\tau_a \circ \tau_b) = d(\tau_a \tau_{a^*abb^*} \tau_b)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (P(Sa) \cap (P(Sa^*abb^*) \cap P(Sb^*))\tau_{a^*abb^*}^*)\tau_a^* \\
&= (P(Sa) \cap P(Sbb^*a^*a))\tau_a^* \\
&= (P(Sbb^*a^*a))\tau_a^* \\
&= P(Sbb^*a^*aa^*) \\
&= P(S(ab)^*) \\
&= d(\tau_{ab}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $(a\phi)^* = a^*\phi$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism.

Denote the maximum idempotent-separating  $*$ -congruence on  $S$  by  $\mu$ . Assume that  $(a, b) \in \mu$ . Since  $\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ ,  $aa^* = bb^*$  and  $a^*a = b^*b$ , whence  $\tau_a$  and  $\tau_b$  have the same domain and range. Let  $e$  be any element of  $d(\tau_a)$ . Since  $e \in P$ ,  $e\tau_a = a^*ea = b^*eb = e\tau_b$ , whence  $\tau_a = \tau_b$ , and hence  $\mu \subseteq \ker \phi$ . Conversely, let  $(a, b) \in \ker \phi$ . Then  $\tau_a$  and  $\tau_b$  have the same domain and range, whence  $aa^* = bb^*$  and  $a^*a = b^*b$ . For any  $e \in P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
a^*ea &= a^*(aa^*eaa^*)a = (aa^*eaa^*)\tau_a = (bb^*ebb^*)\tau_b \\
&= b^*(bb^*ebb^*)b = b^*eb.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\phi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism,  $\tau_{a^*} = (\tau_a)^* = (\tau_b)^* = \tau_{b^*}$ . By the similar calculation, we have  $aea^* = beb^*$  for any  $e \in P$ , and hence  $(a, b) \in \mu$ . Thus we have the lemma.

A regular  $*$ -subsemigroup  $T$  of a regular  $*$ -semigroup  $S$  is said to be  $\mathcal{P}$ -full if  $P(T) = P(S)$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** *A generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $S$  is fundamental if and only if it is  $*$ -isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse  $*$ -subsemigroup of  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  on a strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $X(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$  such that  $P(T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M}))$  is partially isomorphic to  $P(S)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $S$  is fundamental, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that  $\ker \phi = \mu = 1_S$ , and hence  $\phi$  embeds  $S$  in  $T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$  on the strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $P(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$ , constructed above. By Lemma 2.1,  $P$  is partially isomorphic to  $P(T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M}))$ , and hence  $S\phi (\cong S)$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse  $*$ -subsemigroup of  $T_{P(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ .

Conversely, if  $S$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{P}$ -full generalized inverse  $*$ -semigroup  $S'$  of  $T_{X(\pi)}(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $1_{A(e)} \in S'$  for all  $\pi$ -singleton subsets  $A(e)$ , where  $A(e) = \{a_e\varphi_{e,f} : f \in Ie\}$ . Let  $\alpha : A(e) \rightarrow B(f)$  and  $\beta : C(g) \rightarrow D(h)$  be elements of  $S'$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mu$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{H}$ , and so  $\alpha \circ \alpha^* = \beta \circ \beta^*$  and  $\alpha^* \circ \alpha = \beta^* \circ \beta$ . Since  $A(e) = d(\alpha) = d(\alpha \circ \alpha^*) = d(\beta \circ \beta^*) = C(g)$ , we have  $A(e) = C(g)$ , whence  $e = g$  and  $a_e = c_g$ . Similarly, we have that  $B(f) = D(h)$ ,  $f = h$  and  $b_f = d_h$ .

Let  $x$  be any element of  $d(\alpha)$  and set  $x \in P_i$ . Then  $x = a_e\varphi_{e,i} = c_g\varphi_{g,i} \in A(e)$ .

Since  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mu$ ,

$$\alpha^* \circ 1_{X(i)} \circ \alpha = \beta^* \circ 1_{X(i)} \circ \beta.$$

Since these two mappings must have the same range,  $X(i\bar{\alpha}) = X(i\bar{\beta})$ , whence  $i\bar{\alpha} = i\bar{\beta}$  and

$$x\alpha = (a_e\varphi_{e,i})\alpha = b_f\varphi_{f,i\bar{\alpha}} = d_h\varphi_{h,i\bar{\beta}} = (c_g\varphi_{g,i})\beta = x\beta.$$

Thus  $\alpha = \beta$ , and hence  $S'$ , and so  $S$ , is fundamental.

**COROLLARY 3.6** *For any strong  $\pi$ -groupoid  $X(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})$ , the generalized inverse \*-semigroup  $T_{X(\pi; I; \{\varphi_{i,j}\})}$  is fundamental.*

### References

- [ 1 ] J. M. Howie, *An introduction to semigroup theory*, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [ 2 ] T. Imaoka, *On fundamental regular \*-semigroups*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. **14** (1980), 19–23.
- [ 3 ] T. Imaoka, *Representations of generalized inverse \*-semigroups*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), to appear.
- [ 4 ] T. Imaoka, I. Inata and H. Yokoyama, *Some remarks on representations of fundamental generalized inverse \*-semigroups*, RIMS Kokyuroku **910**; *Semigroups, Formal Languages and Combinatorics on Words* (Proceedings), Kyoto Univ., 1995, 14–18.
- [ 5 ] T. Imaoka, I. Inata and H. Yokoyama, *Representations of locally inverse \*-semigroups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., to appear.
- [ 6 ] W. D. Munn, *Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **17** (1966), 151–159.
- [ 7 ] M. Yamada, *Regular semigroups whose idempotents satisfy permutation identities*, Pacific J. Math. **21** (1967), 371–392.