

Representation Extension Properties of CN-Bands

Kunitaka SHOJI

Department of mathematics, Shimane University

Matsue, Shimane, 690 JAPAN

(Received September 5, 1994)

This is a continuation of the paper "CN-band which are semigroup amalgamation bases". In that paper, we found necessary conditions for CN-bands to have the representation extension property. In this paper, we prove that a finite CN-band S has the representation extension property if S satisfies all of those necessary conditions.

§ 1. Introduction and the main theorem

T. E. Hall [4] originally studied the representation extension property (REP) of semigroups in connection with semigroup amalgamations. Since then, several authors ([1], [2], [5], [6], [7], [8]) have studied the properties (REP) of specific semigroups (inverse semigroups, primitive regular semigroups, commutative semigroups and so on). Among them, S. Bulman-Fleming and K. McDowell [2] determined the structure of normal bands with (REP). In the previous paper, we introduced CN-bands whose class is slightly larger than one of normal bands and investigated the property (REP) and its dual (REP)^{op} of them. Consequently, we found the five necessary conditions (C.1) through (C.5) for CN-bands to have (REP) and proved that any finite CN-band S has (REP) if S satisfies the five conditions (C.1) through (C.5) and an additional condition (C.0). The purpose of the present paper is to prove that

THE MAIN THEOREM. *Let S be a finite CN-band.*

Then S has (REP) if and only if S satisfies the following conditions (C.1) through (C.5):

(C.1) *If $\alpha > \beta$, and $Mul(S_\alpha, S_\beta)$ is normal, then there exists $r \in S$ such that*

$$ru = ur = a \text{ for all } u \in S_\alpha \text{ and } a \in S_\beta \text{ with } u > a.$$

(C.2) *If $\alpha > \beta$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$), S_α contains distinct u, v with $u\mathcal{R}v$, S_β contains distinct a, b with $a\mathcal{L}b$ and $Mul(S_\alpha, S_\beta)$ is commutative, then there exists $\gamma \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha > \gamma > \beta$ and $Mul(S_\gamma, S_\beta)$ is commutative. *)*

(C.3) *For any distinct u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n ($n \geq 2$), $a \in S$ such that $u_i\mathcal{R}u_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$), $u_n > a$, there exists $\gamma \in S$ such that $ru_1 = ru_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), but $ru_n \neq ra$.*

(C.4) *For any pair of disjoint subsets A_1, A_2 from an \mathcal{R} -class of S such that $|A_1| \geq 2$ or $|A_2| \geq 2$, then there exists $\gamma \in S$ such that $|rA_1| = |rA_2| = 1$, but $rA_1 \neq rA_2$.*

(C.5) *For any distinct $a, b, c \in S$ with $a\mathcal{R}b\mathcal{L}c$, there exists $r \in S$ such that $rb, rc \in Sa$, but rb*

*) This is a corrected form of the statement of [8, condition (C.2)].

$\neq rc$.

Our proof of the main theorem is obtained by improving the proof of [8, Theorem 2].

§ 2. Definitions and preliminary results.

Throughout this paper, let S denote a semigroup and S^1 the semigroup with adjoined identity 1 if S do not have identity. We will use the notations and conventions from Clifford & Preston's book [3] for semigroup theory. Let $S\text{-Ens}$ ($\text{Ens-}S$, $S\text{-Ens-}S$) denote the category of all left S -sets (right S -sets, S -bisets). Let $X \in \text{Ens-}S$, $Y \in S\text{-Ens}$. The *tensor product* over S of X and Y is denoted by $X \otimes_S Y$ (simply, $X \otimes Y$ if there is no confusion). Also, any element of $X \otimes Y$ is written in a form $x \otimes y$ ($x \in X$, $y \in Y$). For brevity, $X \supset Y$ ($X, Y \in S\text{-Ens}$ ($\text{Ens-}S$, $S\text{-Ens-}S$)) means that Y is a left S - (right S -, S -bi) subset of X .

RESULT 1 ([2, Lemma 1.2]). *Let $A \in \text{Ens-}S$, $B \in S\text{-Ens}$. Then $a \otimes b = a' \otimes b'$ in $A \otimes B$ if and only if there exists $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $b_2, \dots, b_n \in B$, s_1, \dots, s_n and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in S^1$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ll} a = a_1 s_1, & s_1 b = t_1 b_2 \\ a_1 t_1 = a_2 s_2, & s_2 b_2 = t_2 b_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} t_{n-1} = a_n s_n, & s_n b_n = t_n b' \\ a_n t_n = a' & \end{array}$$

Then we call the system of equations (1.1) a *scheme of length n over A and B joining (a, b) to (a', b')* .

DEFINITION ([1] [4] [5]). We say that a semigroup S has the *representation extension property (REP)* if for every embedding $S \longrightarrow T$ of semigroups and every right S -set X , the canonical map $X \longrightarrow X \otimes T^1$ is injective. The left-right dual of (REP) is denoted by (REP)^{op}.

RESULT 2 ([7, Theorem 2.1]). *A monoid (semigroup) S has (REP) if and only if for each $M \in S\text{-Ens}$ with $M \supset S(S^1)$ and each $X \in \text{Ens-}S$, the map $X \longrightarrow X \otimes M$ ($x \longrightarrow x \otimes 1$) is injective.*

DEFINITION ([8]). Let S be a band and $\cup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ the semilattice decomposition of S . Then S is called a *CN-band* if for each $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ with $\alpha > \beta$, there are only the following two types of multiplication between S_α and S_β :

- I. (Commutative type) $ua = au$ for all $u \in S_\alpha$ and $a \in S_\beta$.
- II. (Normal type) $|u S_\beta u| = 1$ for all $u \in S_\alpha$.

Hereafter we will describe that $Mul(S_\alpha, S_\beta)$ is *commutative* [resp. *normal*] if there happens multiplication of type I [resp. II].

§ 3. The proof of the main theorem.

Throughout this section, we let S be a finite CN-band and $S = \cup \{S_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ the semilattice decomposition of S .

Before proceed to prove the main theorem, we whall give preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let S be a finite CN-band satisfying (C.2). Then S satisfies the following condition :*

(C.2.1) *If $\alpha > \beta$, S_α is not an \mathcal{L} -class, S_β is not an \mathcal{R} -class, and $Mul(S_\alpha, S_\beta)$ is commutative, then there exists $\gamma \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha > \gamma > \beta$, $|S_\gamma| = 1$, $Mul(S_\alpha, S_\gamma)$ is normal and $Mul(S_\gamma, S_\beta)$ is commutative.*

PROOF. Since S is a finite CN-band, (C.2.1) follows immediately from (C.2).

REMARK. The condition (C.2.1) is a slightly generalization of [8, Condition (C.2)'].

The following lemma was proved in [8].

LEMMA 2. *Let S be as above and $a, u \in S$ with $\mathcal{J}_u > \mathcal{J}_a$. Let $X \in \text{Ens-}S$, $Y \in S\text{-Ens}$, $x, x' \in X$, $y, y' \in Y$.*

Then (i) $xu = x'v$ ($v \in \mathcal{J}_u$) implies $xuau = x'avav$.

(ii) $uy = vy'$ ($v \in \mathcal{J}_u$) implies $uauy = vavy'$.

LEMMA 3. *Let S be as above. Given $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ in $X \otimes_S Y$. There exists a scheme over X and Y joining (x, y) to (x', y') as follows :*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, \quad y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y, \quad s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ and } t_1, \dots, t_n \in S^1 \text{ such that} \\ \begin{array}{cc} x = x_1 s_1, & s_1 y = t_2 y_2 \\ x_1 t_1 = x_2 s_2, & s_2 y_2 = t_2 y_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} t_{n-1} = x_n s_n, & s_n y_n = t_n y' \\ x_n t_n = x' \end{array} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} s_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \geq_{\mathcal{J}} s_i \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t_i \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_n \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_n \\ \text{(or } s_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \geq_{\mathcal{J}} s_i \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_i \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_n \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_n) \end{array}$$

In this case, we say that shceme (2.1) is V-formed.

PROOF. As shown in the proof of [8, Lemma 8], we can assume that any adjacent two elements of sequence $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n$ are comparable w.r. $t. \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$. We deal next with the

following cases :

$$\text{Case 1 : } s_i <_{\mathcal{J}} t_i \mathcal{J} \cdots \mathcal{J} t_{j-1} \mathcal{J} s_j >_{\mathcal{J}} t_j.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} t'_k &= t_k s_i t_k, & s'_{k+1} &= s_{k+1} s_i s_{k+1}' \\ t''_k &= t_k t_j t'_k, & s''_{k+1} &= s_{k+1} t_j s_{k+1}' \\ t^*_k &= t_k s_i s_j t_j t_k, & s^*_{k+1} &= s_{k+1} s_i s_j t_j s_{k+1}' \quad (i \leq k \leq j-1). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i t'_i &= x_{i+1} s'_{i+1}, & s'_{i+1} y_{i+1} &= t'_{i+1} y_{i+2} \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{j-1} t'_{j-1} &= x_j s'_j, & s'_j y_j &= (s'_j s_j y_j = s'_j s_j t_j s_j y_j) = s_j^* y_j \\ x_j s_j^* &= x_{j-1} t_{j-1}^*, & t_{j-1}^* y_j &= s_{j-1}^* y_{j-1} \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{i+2} s_{i+2}^* &= x_{i+1} t_{i+1}^*, & t_{i+1}^* y_{i+2} &= s_{i+1}^* y_{i+1} \\ x_{i+1} s_{i+1}^* &= x_i t_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here we shall show

$$(2.2) \quad t_i^* y_{i+1} = t''_i y_{i+1}.$$

Proof of (2.2) :

Subcase 1.1 : $Mul(\mathcal{J}_{t_i}, \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_i})$ is normal. Then $t_i (s_i s_j t_j) t_i = t_i (t_j s_i) t_i$. In this case

$$t_i^* y_{i+1} = t_i t_j s_i t_i y_{i+1} = t_i t_j t_i y_{i+1} = t''_i y_{i+1}.$$

Subcase 1.2 : $Mul(\mathcal{J}_{t_i}, \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_i})$ is commutative and $\mathcal{J}_{s_i t_i} = \mathcal{R}_{s_i t_i}$. Then

$$t_i^* y_{i+1} = t_i s_i s_j t_j t_i y_{i+1} = (t_i s_i s_j t_j) (t_i s_i t_i) y_{i+1} = t_i (t_j t_i s_i) t_i y_{i+1} = t_i t_j t_i y_{i+1} = t''_i y_{i+1}.$$

Subcase 1.3 : $Mul(\mathcal{J}_{t_i}, \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_i})$ is commutative and $\mathcal{J}_{s_i t_i} \neq \mathcal{R}_{s_i t_i}$. If $\mathcal{J}_{t_i} = \mathcal{L}_i$, then it follows from (2.1) that $t_k s_i y_i = t_k y_{k+1}$, $s_{k+1} s_i y_i = s_{i+1} y_{k+1}$ ($i+1 \leq k \leq j-1$). Hence $s_i y_i = t_i y_{i+1} = t_i y_i = t_i t_j y_{j+1}$.

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_i^* y_{i+1} &= (t_i s_i s_j t_j t_i) y_{i+1} = (t_i s_i s_j t_j) (s_i y_i) = (t_i s_i s_j t_j) (t_i t_j s_i) y_i = (t_i s_i) (s_i) y_i = s_i y_i \\ &= t_i y_{i+1} = (t_i t_j) (t_i y_{i+1}) = t''_i y_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

so we can assume that $\mathcal{J}_{t_i} \neq \mathcal{L}_i$. By (C.2.1) there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $S_\lambda \mathcal{J}_{t_i} \subset S_\lambda$, S_λ is an \mathcal{L} -class and $Mul(S_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_i})$ is commutative. Let $c \in S_\lambda$ be fixed. Since $S_\lambda = \mathcal{L}_c$, we have $c = cu = cv$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{J}_{t_i}$. So it follows from (2.1) that

$$cs_i y_i = ct_i y_{i+1} = \cdots = ct_j y_j = ct_j y_{j+1}, \text{ say, } z.$$

Consequently, $z = ct_i z = cs_j z = ct_j z$. Let $e = cs_i ct_j \in \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_j}$. Then by the above, $z = ez$. Now we can show that $z = dz$ for all $d \in \{s_i, t_i, s_j, t_j\}$. For, since $de \in \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_j}$ and $Mul(S_\lambda, \mathcal{J}_{s_i t_j})$ is commutative, by [8, Lemma 1 (i)], we get $cde = de$, so that $z = ez = cdez = dez = dz$. Eventually

$$t_i^* y_{i+1} = (t_i s_i s_j t_j t_i) y_{i+1} = ((t_i t_j t_i s_i) c) t_i y_{i+1} = (t_i s_i s_j t_i) z = z$$

and

$$t_i'' y_{i+1} = (t_i t_j t_i) (t_i y_{i+1}) = (t_i t_j t_i) (t_i s_i t_i) y_{i+1} = ((t_i t_j t_i) c) (t_i y_{i+1}) = (t_i t_j t_i s_i) z = z$$

so that $t_i^* y_{i+1} = t_i'' y_{i+1}$. In all the cases $t_i^* y_{i+1} = t_i'' y_{i+1}$.

Furthermore by Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i t_i'' &= x_{i+1} s_{i+1}'', & s_{i+1}'' y_{i+1} &= t_{i+1}'' y_{i+2} \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{j-1} t_{j-1}'' &= x_j s_j'', & s_j'' y_j (= s_j y_j) &= t_j y_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the required scheme.

Case 2 : $t_i <_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1} \mathcal{J} \cdots \mathcal{J} t_{j-1} \mathcal{J} s_j >_{\mathcal{J}} t_j$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} s_{k+1}' &= s_{k+1} t_i s_{k+1}, & t_{k+1}' &= t_{k+1} t_i t_{k+1} \\ s_{k+1}'' &= s_{k+1} t_j s_{k+1}, & t_{k+1}'' &= t_{k+1} t_j t_{k+1} \\ s_{k+1}^* &= s_{k+1} t_i s_j t_j s_{k+1}, & t_{k+1}^* &= t_{k+1} t_i s_j t_j t_{k+1} \quad (i \leq k \leq j-1) \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i t_i &= x_{i+1} s_{i+1}', & s_{i+1}' y_{i+1} &= t_{i+1}' y_{i+2} \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{j-1} t_{j-1}' &= x_j s_j', & s_j' y_j (= s_j' s_j y_j = s_j' t_j s_j y_j) &= s_j^* y_j \\ x_j s_j^* &= x_{j-1} t_{j-1}^*. & t_{j-1}^* y_j &= s_{j-1}^* y_{j-1} \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{i+2} s_{i+2}^* &= x_{i+1} t_{i+1}^*, & t_{i+1}^* y_{j+2} &= s_{i+1}^* y_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we shall show

$$(2.3) \quad x_{i+1} s_{i+1}^* = x_{i+1} s_{i+1}''$$

Proof of (2.3) :

Subcase 1.1 : $Mul(\mathcal{I}_{s_{i+1}}, \mathcal{I}_{s_i t_i})$ is normal. Then $s_{i+1}(t_i s_j t_j) s_{i+1} = s_{i+1}(t_j t_i) s_{i+1}$ and so

$$x_{i+1} s_{i+1}^* = x_{i+1} s_{i+1} (t_i s_j t_j) s_{i+1} = x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_i t_j s_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_j s_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} s_{i+1}''.$$

Subcase 1.2 : $Mul(\mathcal{I}_{s_{i+1}}, \mathcal{I}_{s_i t_i})$ is commutative. Then $s_j t_j t_i s_j = t_j t_i$. Hence $t_i s_j t_j = (t_i s_j t_j) (t_i s_j t_j) = t_i (t_j t_i) t_j = t_i t_j$. Thus

$$x_{i+1} s_{i+1}^* = x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_i s_j t_j s_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_i t_j s_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_j s_{i+1}) = x_{i+1} s_{i+1}''.$$

In all the cases, (2.3) holds.

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_i t_i'' = x_{i+1} s_{i+1}'', & s_{i+1}' y_{i+1} = t_{i+1}' y_{i+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{j-1} t_{j-1}'' = x_j s_j'', & s_j'' y_j (= s_j y_j) = t_j y_{j+1}. \end{array}$$

Thus we obtain the required scheme.

Case 3 : $s_i <_{\mathcal{I}} t_i \mathcal{I} \cdots \mathcal{I} t_{j-1} >_{\mathcal{I}} s_j$. By reverse ordering the equations (2.1), we reduce the case to Case 2.

Case 4 : $t_i <_{\mathcal{I}} s_{i+1} \mathcal{I} \cdots \mathcal{I} t_{j-1} >_{\mathcal{I}} s_j$. Again, this case is essentially the same as case 1. The proof of the lemma is complete.

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem.

The proof of the main theorem : Assume that S satisfies the following conditions (C.1), (C.2), (C.3), (C.4), (C.5). We shall show that S has (REP). Let W be a left S -set containing S as a S -subset. Assume that $x \otimes 1 = x' \otimes 1$ in $X \otimes W$. By lemma 3, there exists a V -formed scheme joining $(x, 1)$ to $(x', 1)$; that is, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, $y_2, \dots, y_n \in W$, s_1, \dots, s_n and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in S^1$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ll} x = x_1 s_1, & s_1 = t_1 y_2 \\ x_1 t_1 = x_2 s_2, & s_2 y_2 = t_2 y_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} t_{n-1} = x_n s_n, & s_n y_n = t_n \\ x_n t_n = x' \end{array}$$

Then we may assume that scheme (2.4) is a V -formed one of the shortest length. Moreover we may assume that

(2.5) the number of the \mathcal{I} -classes which contain any one of s_i, t_i appearing in (2.4) is the least.

Now we proceed to prove that $x = x'$. Suppose first that all s_i 's, t_i 's belong to an \mathcal{I} -class, say, J . Then we can assume

$$(2.6) \quad s_i \mathcal{R} t_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \ s_n \mathcal{R} t_n.$$

For, it is clear that $s_1 \mathcal{R} t_1$. If $s_i \mathcal{R} t_i \ (1 \leq i \leq k-1)$, but $s_k \not\mathcal{R} t_k$, then $s_k s_i \mathcal{R} s_k t_i \ (1 \leq i \leq k-1)$. $s_k \neq t_k s_k$. By (C.4), there exists $a \in S$ such that $as_k s_1 = as_k t_1 = as_k s_2 = as_k t_2 = \dots = as_k s_{k-1} = as_k t_{k-1} = as_k s_k \neq at_k s_k$. By (2.4), we have $as_k s_1 = at_k s_k$, which is a contradiction. This proves that $s_i \mathcal{R} t_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$, $s_n \mathcal{R} t_n$. Then by virtue of (2.6), we get from (2.4)

$$xs = x_1 s = x_2 s = \dots = x_{n-1} s = x_n s \text{ for all } s \in J.$$

Hence

$$xt_i = xs_{i+1} = x' t_i = x' s_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

Let $A = \{s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n\}$ and ρ an equivalence on A generated by $\{(t_i, s_{i+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$. If $s_1 \rho t_n$, then it follows from the above that $xs_1 = xt_n$, so that $x = xs_1 = xt_n = x' t_n = x'$. So we can assume that $(s_1, t_n) \notin \rho$. Let A_1 be the ρ -class containing s_1 and $A_2 = A - A_1$. If $A_1 = \{s_1\}$, $A_2 = \{t_1\}$, then $t_i = s_{i+1} \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$, and by (2.4), $s_1 = t_1 y_2 = s_2 y_2 = \dots = t_{n-1} y_n = s_n y_n = t_n$, a contradiction. Hence we have $|A_1| \geq 2$ or $|A_2| \geq 2$. By (C.4) there exists $t \in S$ such that $|tA_1| = |tA_2| = 1$ but $tA_1 \neq tA_2$. Note that $|tA_1| = |tA_2| = 1$ implies that $tt_i = ts_{i+1} \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$. So we obtain $ts_1 = tt_1 y_2 = ts_2 y_2 = \dots = tt_{n-1} y_n = ts_n y_n = tt_n$. This is a contradiction.

Suppose next that all s_i 's, t_i 's do not belong to a \mathcal{J} -class. Since (2.4) is V -formed, we can assume that $s_1 \not\mathcal{J} t_n$. So there happens following two cases :

Case 1 : $s_1 \mathcal{J} t_1 \mathcal{J} \dots \mathcal{J} s_{p-1} \mathcal{J} t_{p-1} >_{\mathcal{J}} s_p$. By the same way as in the proof of (2.6), we can prove that

$$s_1 \mathcal{R} t_1 \mathcal{R} \dots \mathcal{R} s_{p-1} \mathcal{R} t_{p-1}.$$

By multiplying the right side of (2.4) by s_1 from the left, we get $x = x_p s_p s_1$, so that $x = x_1 (s_1 s_p s_1)$. Set

$$s'_k = s_k s_p s_k, \ t'_k = t_k s_p t_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq p-1).$$

By applying Lemma 3 to (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1 s'_1, & s'_1 &= t'_1 y_2 \\ x_1 t'_1 &= x_2 s'_2, & s'_2 y_2 &= t'_2 y_3 \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ & & s'_{p-1} y_{p-1} &= t'_p y_p \\ x_{p-1} t'_{p-1} &= x_p s_p. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can reduce the number of the \mathcal{J} -classes \mathcal{J}_{s_i} , \mathcal{J}_{t_i} .

By assumption (2.5), we are done.

Case 2 : $s_1 \mathcal{J} t_1 \mathcal{J} \dots \mathcal{J} s_{p-1} \mathcal{J} t_{p-1} \mathcal{J} s_p >_{\mathcal{J}} t_p$.

Set

$$\hat{s}_k = s_k t_p s_k, \quad \hat{t}_k = t_k t_p t_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq p).$$

By applying Lemma 3 to the right side of (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad s_1 = s_1 \hat{t}_1 y_2, \quad s_1 s_2 y_2 = s_1 \hat{t}_2 y_3, \quad \dots, \quad s_1 s_p y_p = s_1 \hat{s}_p y_p$$

and

$$s_1 \hat{t}_{p-1} y_p = s_1 \hat{s}_{p-1} y_{p-1}, \quad \dots, \quad s_1 \hat{t}_1 y_2 = \hat{s}_1.$$

Now by (C.3), there exists $b \in S$ such that

$$b s_1 s_j = b s_1 t_j = b s_1 s_p \quad (1 \leq j \leq p), \quad \text{but } b s_1 \neq b \hat{s}_1.$$

Then from (2.7) we get

$$b s_1 (= b s_1 t_2 y_3 = \dots = b s_1 s_p y_p) = b s_1 \hat{s}_p y_p.$$

In particular, $b s_1 \notin b \hat{s}_1$.

Here we divide argument into two parts :

Subcase 1 : $Mul(\mathcal{I}_{s_1}, \mathcal{I}_{b s_1})$ is normal. Then $b s_1 = b s_1 b s_1 = b s_1 (b s_1) s_1 = b s_1 (\hat{s}_1 b s_1) s_1 = b \hat{s}_1 b \hat{s}_1 = b \hat{s}_1$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2 : $Mul(\mathcal{I}_{s_1}, \mathcal{I}_{b s_1})$ is commutative. Then we get $b t_p = b t_p u = b (u t_p u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{I}_{s_1}$, since $b u t_p = (b u t_p)^2 = b (u t_p b u) t_p = b (t_p b) t_p = b t_p$. Especially, we have $b \hat{s}_j = b \hat{t}_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq p$). Whence it follows from (2.7) that $b s_1 = b \hat{s}_p y_p = b \hat{t}_p y_{p+1} = b \hat{s}_{p-1} y_{p-1} = \dots = b \hat{t}_1 y_2 = b \hat{s}_1$, which is a contradiction, again. The proof of the theorem is complete.

In a sequent paper, we will study the problem “Is a CN-band with (REP) and (REP)^{OP} a semigroup amalgamation base?”.

References

- [1] S. Bulman-Fleming and K. McDowell, Flatness and amalgamation in semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **29** (1984), 337-342.
- [2] S. Bulman-Fleming and K. McDowell, Representation extension properties of bands, *Semigroup Forum*, **31** (1985), 257-264.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I (1961) & Vol. II (1967), Math. Surveys, No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I..
- [4] T. E. Hall, Representation extension and amalgamation for semigroups, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2), **29** (1978), 309-334.
- [5] J. M. Howie, Epimorphism and amalgamations : A survey of recent progress, *Semigroups* (Proc. Conf. Szeged, 1981), *Colloq. Math. Soc., J. Bolya*, Vol. 39., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, 63-82.
- [6] J. Renshaw, Extension and amalgamation in monoids and semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **52** (1986), 119-141.
- [7] K. Shoji, Amalgamations for semigroups, *Math. Japonica*, **35** (1990), 473-483.
- [8] K. Shoji, CN-band which are semigroup amalgamation bases, *Proc. of the Second International colloquium on Words, Languages and Combinatorics* edited by M. Ito & H. Jürgensen, Kyoto Sangyo University, World Scientific, 1994, 388-405.