

Representations of \mathcal{P} -Congruences on \mathcal{P} -Regular Semigroups

Yosuke OKAMOTO*¹ and Teruo IMAOKA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan

(Received September 4, 1991)

One of the purposes of this paper is to characterize congruences on \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups by using \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs, which is the \mathcal{P} -regular version of congruence pairs for regular semigroups. The other is to study congruences on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup S with the same trace, the restriction of a congruence to the set of idempotents of S . Also the special types of congruences on \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups are observed.

§1. Introduction

Let S be a regular semigroup and E the set of idempotents of S . Let $P \subseteq E$. If S satisfies the following, it is called a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup and P is called a C -set in S :

- (1) $P^2 \subseteq E$,
- (2) $qPq \subseteq P$ for any $q \in P$,
- (3) for any $a \in S$, there exists $a^+ \in V(a)$ (the set of all inverses of a) such that $a^+ P^1 a \subseteq P$ and $a P^1 a^+ \subseteq P$.

In such a case, S is denoted by $S(P)$. Let $a \in S(P)$ and $a^+ \in V(a)$. If a^+ satisfies $a^+ P^1 a \subseteq P$ and $a P^1 a^+ \subseteq P$, then a^+ is called a \mathcal{P} -inverse of a , and the set of all \mathcal{P} -inverses of a is denoted by $V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$. An element of a C -set P in S is called a *projection*. The class of \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups contains both the classes of orthodox semigroups and regular $*$ -semigroups. A good account of the concept of \mathcal{P} -regularity can be seen in [7] and [8].

Hereafter $S(P)$ means a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup S with a C -set P in S . A congruence on S is sometimes called a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$, and put $\bar{x} = x\rho$ for any $x \in S$, $\bar{S} = \{\bar{x} : x \in S\}$ and $\bar{P} = \{\bar{q} : q \in P\}$. Then $\bar{S}(\bar{P})$ is also a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup with a C -set \bar{P} . So $\bar{S}(\bar{P})$ is called the *factor \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup* of $S(P)$ mod. ρ , and it is denoted by $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Then it is called an *orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence*

*¹ The author's present address: CODEC Co., Ltd., 2-11, Taira, 1-Chome, Miyamae-Ku, Kawasaki, 216 Japan.

on $S(P)$ if $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an orthodox semigroup, and it is called a *strong \mathcal{P} -congruence* on $S(P)$ if it satisfies the condition:

For any $a \in S(P)$ and $e \in P$, $a e$ implies $a^+ \rho e$ for all $a^+ \in V_p(a)$.

Also it is called a *strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence* on $S(P)$ if it is both an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence and a strong \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. As was seen in [8], if ρ is a strong \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$, then $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a regular $*$ -semigroup with the set $\{e\rho: e \in P\}$ of projections; in fact, the $*$ -operation \star on $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is given by $(a\rho)^{\star} = a^+ \rho (a \in S(P), a^+ \in V_p(a))$.

The set $\{a \in S(P): a e \text{ for some } e \in E\}$ is called the *kernel* of ρ , and it is denoted by $\ker \rho$. Also the set $\{a \in S(P): a e \text{ for some } e \in P\}$ is called the *\mathcal{P} -kernel* of ρ , and it is denoted by $\mathcal{P}\text{-ker } \rho$. It is well-known that $\ker \rho$ is the union of idempotent ρ -classes (for example, see [3]). The restriction $\rho \cap (E \times E)$ [$\rho \cap (P \times P)$] of ρ is called the [\mathcal{P} -] *trace* of ρ , and it is denoted by [\mathcal{P} -] $\text{tr } \rho$.

For any subset A of $S(P)$, define the terminology as follows:

- A is [\mathcal{P} -] *full* if $E \subseteq A$ [$P \subseteq A$],
- A is a *\mathcal{P} -subset* if $V_p(a) \subseteq A$ for any $a \in A$,
- A is a *\mathcal{P} -self-conjugate* if $x^+ A x \subseteq A$ for any $x \in S(P)$ and $x^+ \in V_p(x)$,
- A is *weakly closed* if $a^2 \in A$ for any $a \in A$.

The following is due to [7] and [8].

RESULT 1.1. *Let $a, b \in S(P)$, $e \in E$ and $p \in P$. Then*

- (i) $V_p(b) V_p(a) \subseteq V_p(ab)$,
- (ii) $a^+ \in V_p(a)$ implies $a \in V_p(a^+)$,
- (iii) $V_p(e) \subseteq E$,
- (iv) $p \in V_p(p)$.

The next statement can be found in [2].

RESULT 1.2. *Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ and $a, b \in S(P)$. Then $a p b$ if and only if*

$$b a' \in \ker \rho, a a' \rho b b' a a', b' b p b' b a' a$$

for some $a' \in V(a)$ and $b' \in V(b)$.

Strong \mathcal{P} -congruences on \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups were studied in [4]. In this paper, a generalization of [4] is presented.

In §2, for a given \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$, the maximum and the minimum \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$ whose traces coincide with $\text{tr } \rho$ are determined, and the properties for these \mathcal{P} -congruences are given.

The concept introduced in §3 is “ \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs”. This concept is a characterization of the pair $(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$ associated with a given \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, and the pair uniquely determines the \mathcal{P} -congruence κ such that $\text{tr } \kappa = \text{tr } \rho$

and $\ker \kappa = \ker \rho$.

In the last two sections, orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences and strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences on \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups are investigated by the similar argument in §2 and §3.

We use the notation and terminology of [3] and [8] unless otherwise stated.

§2. \mathcal{P} -congruences with the same trace

Let $S(P)$ be a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup. For any \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, define a relation ρ_{\max} on $S(P)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\max} = \{ & (a, b): \text{there exist } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a) \text{ and } b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b) \\ & \text{such that } aea^+ \rho beb^+ aea^+, beb^+ \rho aea^+ beb^+, \\ & a^+ e a \rho a^+ e a b^+ e b \text{ and } b^+ e b \rho b^+ e b a^+ e a \text{ for all } e \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Suppose that $a \rho_{\max} b$. Then there exist $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$ such that $aea^+ \rho beb^+ aea^+$, $beb^+ \rho aea^+ beb^+$, $a^+ e a \rho a^+ e a b^+ e b$ and $b^+ e b \rho b^+ e b a^+ e a$ for every $e \in P$. Let $a^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$. Note that $ae = aea^+ ae$ and $be = beb^+ be$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} aea^* &= (aea^+) aea^* \rho beb^+ aea^+ aea^* \\ &= beb^* (beb^+ aea^+) aea^* \rho beb^* aea^+ aea^* = beb^* aea^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$beb^* \rho aea^* beb^*, a^* e a \rho a^* e a b^* e b, b^* e b \rho b^* e b a^* e a.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\max} = \{ & (a, b): aea^+ \rho beb^+ aea^+, beb^+ \rho aea^+ beb^+, \\ & a^+ e a \rho a^+ e a b^+ e b \text{ and } b^+ e b \rho b^+ e b a^+ e a \\ & \text{for any } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a), b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b) \text{ and } e \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.1. Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ and $a, b \in S(P)$. If $a \rho_{\max} b$, then

$$aa^+ \rho bb^+ aa^+, bb^+ \rho aa^+ bb^+, a^+ a \rho a^+ a b^+ b, b^+ b \rho b^+ b a^+ a$$

for any $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$.

PROOF. Suppose that $a \rho_{\max} b$. Let $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$. Since $aea^+ \rho beb^+ aea^+$ for any $e \in P$ by Remark above, we have

$$aa^+ = a(a^+ a) a^+ \rho b(a^+ a) b^+ a(a^+ a) a^+ = ba^+ ab^+ aa^+,$$

so that

$$bb^+aa^+\rho(bb^+)(ba^+ab^+aa^+) = ba^+ab^+aa^+\rho aa^+.$$

By the similar argument, we have the remainder.

THEOREM 2.2. *For any \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$, ρ_{\max} is the greatest \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace coincides with $\text{tr } \rho$.*

PROOF. Obviously ρ_{\max} is an equivalence. Suppose that $a\rho_{\max}b$ and $c \in S(P)$. Let $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$, $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$, $c^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(c)$ and $e \in P$. Then, by Remark above,

$$acec^+a^+ = a(cec^+)a^+\rho b(cec^+)b^+a(cec^+)a^+ = bcec^+b^+acec^+a^+$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c^+a^+eacc^+b^+ebc &= c^+(a^+ea)cc^+b^+ebcc^+c \\ &\rho c^+a^+ea(b^+ebcc^+)(b^+ebcc^+)c \\ &= c^+(a^+eab^+eb)c \\ &\rho c^+a^+eac. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, $bcec^+b^+pacec^+a^+bcec^+b^+$ and $c^+b^+ebc\rho c^+b^+ebcc^+a^+eac$. Thus ρ_{\max} is right compatible. Similarly, ρ_{\max} is left compatible, so that ρ_{\max} is a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$.

Next we shall show that $\text{tr } \rho = \text{tr } \rho_{\max}$. Let $e, f \in E$. Suppose that $e\rho f$. Then $e\rho p\rho f\rho$ for every $p \in P$, which implies

$$((epe^+)\rho, (fpf^+)\rho) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ in } S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}},$$

where $e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$ and $f^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$. So we have

$$epe^+\rho fpf^+\rho epe^+, fpf^+\rho epe^+\rho fpf^+.$$

Dually, $e^+pepe^+pef^+ef$ and $f^+pf\rho f^+pfe^+pe$. Thus $e\rho_{\max}f$. Conversely, assume that $e\rho_{\max}f$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that, in $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$,

$$(e\rho)\mathcal{R}((e^+e)\rho)\mathcal{R}((f^+f)\rho)\mathcal{R}(f\rho), (e\rho)\mathcal{L}((e^+e)\rho)\mathcal{L}((f^+f)\rho)\mathcal{L}(f\rho)$$

for any $e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$ and $f^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$. Thus two idempotents $e\rho$ and $f\rho$ of $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ are \mathcal{H} -equivalent, so that $e\rho f$. Therefore $\text{tr } \rho = \text{tr } \rho_{\max}$.

Finally, let σ be any \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ such that $\text{tr } \sigma = \text{tr } \rho$. Suppose that $a, b \in S(P)$ and $a\sigma b$. Let $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$, $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$ and $e \in P$. Since $a\sigma b$, we have $((aea^+)\sigma, (beb^+)\sigma) \in \mathcal{R}$ in $S(P)/(\sigma)_{\mathcal{P}}$, so that

$$aea^+\sigma beb^+\sigma aea^+, beb^+\sigma aea^+\sigma beb^+.$$

Therefore we have

$$aea^+ pbeb^+ aea^+, beb^+ \rho aea^+ beb^+$$

since $\text{tr } \sigma = \text{tr } \rho$. Dually,

$$a^+ ea \rho a^+ eab^+ eb, b^+ eb \rho b^+ eba^+ ea.$$

Thus $a\rho_{\max}b$, and hence ρ_{\max} is the greatest \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace is $\text{tr } \rho$. So we have the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.3. *For any orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$, ρ_{\max} is the greatest orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace coincides with $\text{tr } \rho$.*

PROOF. Let ρ be an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. It is sufficient to show that ρ_{\max} is an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Let $e, f \in E$, $e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$, $f^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$ and $p \in P$. Since ρ is an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$, we have

$$(ef)p(f^+e^+)\rho(ef)^2p(f^+e^+)^2, (f^+e^+)p(ef)\rho(f^+e^+)^2p(ef)^2.$$

So $(ef, (ef)^2) \in \rho_{\max}$, and hence ρ_{\max} is an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$.

From now on, denote the maximum idempotent separating congruence on a semigroup T by μ_T .

COROLLARY 2.4 (compare with [7, Proposition 4.1]). *The maximum idempotent separating \mathcal{P} -congruence $\mu_{S(P)}$ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$ is given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{S(P)} = \{ & (a, b): \text{there exist } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a) \text{ and } b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b) \\ & \text{such that } aea^+ = beb^+ aea^+, beb^+ = aea^+ beb^+, \\ & a^+ ea = a^+ eab^+ eb, b^+ eb = b^+ eba^+ ea \text{ for all } e \in P\} \\ = \{ & (a, b): aea^+ = beb^+ aea^+, beb^+ = aea^+ beb^+, \\ & a^+ ea = a^+ eab^+ eb, b^+ eb = b^+ eba^+ ea \\ & \text{for any } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a), b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b) \text{ and } e \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let S be an orthodox semigroup and E the band of idempotents of S . Then it is easy to check that $S(E)$ is a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup with a C -set E in S . So we have immediately

COROLLARY 2.5 ([1, Theorem 4.2]). *Let ρ be a congruence on an orthodox semigroup S with the band E of idempotents of S . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\max} = \{ & (a, b): \text{there exist } a' \in V(a) \text{ and } b' \in V(b) \\ & \text{such that } aea' \rho beb' aea', beb' \rho aea' beb', \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& a'eapa'eab'eb, b'ebpb'eba'ea \text{ for any } e \in E\} \\
= & \{(a, b): aea'pbeb'aea', beb'paea'beb', \\
& a'eapa'eab'eb, b'ebpb'eba'ea \\
& \text{for any } a' \in V(a), b' \in (b) \text{ and } e \in E\}
\end{aligned}$$

is the greatest congruence on S whose trace coincides with $\text{tr } \rho$.

On the other hand, the minimum \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ with the same trace is given as follows:

THEOREM 2.6. *For any \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$, define a relation ρ_0 on $S(P)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0 = & \{(a, b): \text{there exist } x, y \in S(P)^{\dagger} \text{ and } e, f \in E \\
& \text{such that } a = xey, b = xfy \text{ and } epf\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\rho_{\min} = \rho_0'$, the transitive closure of ρ_0 , is the least \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace coincides with $\text{tr } \rho$. In other words, the least \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ with $\text{tr } \rho$ as its trace is the \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ generated by $\text{tr } \rho$.

PROOF. It is obvious that ρ_{\min} is a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace is $\text{tr } \rho$. Let σ be any \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ such that $\text{tr } \sigma = \text{tr } \rho$. Suppose that $a, b \in S(P)$ and $a\rho_0 b$. Then there exist $x, y \in S(P)^{\dagger}$ and $e, f \in E$ such that $a = xey$, $b = xfy$ and epf . Since $\text{tr } \sigma = \text{tr } \rho$, we have $e\sigma f$, so that $a\sigma b$. Hence $\rho_0 \subseteq \sigma$, and so $\rho_{\min} \subseteq \sigma$. Therefore ρ_{\min} is the least \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace is $\text{tr } \rho$. Thus we have the theorem.

The following corollary gives us the characterization, which is different from both [1, Theorem 4.1] and [6, Theorem 3.3], of the least congruence on an orthodox semigroup with the same trace.

COROLLARY 2.7. *For any congruence ρ on an orthodox semigroup S , the congruence generated by $\text{tr } \rho$ is the least congruence on S whose trace coincides with $\text{tr } \rho$.*

Several properties of ρ_{\max} and ρ_{\min} are presented.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ and $e \in E$. Then we have*

$$e\rho = e\rho_{\max} \cap \ker \rho.$$

PROOF. Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ and $e \in E$. Suppose that $a \in e\rho_{\max} \cap \ker \rho$. Then $a\rho_{\max} e$ and $a\rho f$ for some $f \in E$. Since $a\rho_{\max} e$, by using Lemma 2.1, in $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$,

$$(a\rho)\mathcal{R}((aa^+)\rho)\mathcal{R}((ee^+)\rho)\mathcal{R}(e\rho), (a\rho)\mathcal{L}((a^+a)\rho)\mathcal{L}((e^+e)\rho)\mathcal{L}(e\rho)$$

for any $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$. So two idempotents $a\rho = f\rho$ and $e\rho$ of $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ are \mathcal{H} -equivalent, and hence $a\rho e\rho$. Thus $e\rho_{\max} \cap \ker \rho \subseteq e\rho$. The reverse inclusion obviously holds.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *For any \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, $\rho = \rho_{\max}$ if and only if $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a fundamental \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup.*

PROOF. As was seen in Corollary 2.4, the maximum idempotent separating \mathcal{P} -congruence $\mu_{T(Q)}$ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $T(Q)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{T(Q)} = \{ & (a, b): aea^+ = beb^+aea^+, beb^+ = aea^+beb^+, \\ & a^+ea = a^+eab^+eb, b^+eb = b^+eba^+ea \\ & \text{for any } a^+ \in V_Q(a), b^+ \in V_Q(b) \text{ and } e \in Q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\rho = \rho_{\max}$. Then $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mu_{S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}}$ implies, for any $e \in P$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a\rho)(e\rho)(a^+\rho) &= (b\rho)(e\rho)(b^+\rho)(a\rho)(e\rho)(a^+\rho), \\ (b\rho)(e\rho)(b^+\rho) &= (a\rho)(e\rho)(a^+\rho)(b\rho)(e\rho)(b^+\rho), \\ (a^+\rho)(e\rho)(a\rho) &= (a^+\rho)(e\rho)(a\rho)(b^+\rho)(e\rho)(b\rho), \\ (b^+\rho)(e\rho)(b\rho) &= (b^+\rho)(e\rho)(b\rho)(a^+\rho)(e\rho)(a\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$. So, for any $e \in P$

$$\begin{aligned} aea^+\rho beb^+aea^+, \quad beb^+\rho aea^+beb^+, \\ a^+e a\rho a^+eab^+eb, \quad b^+eb\rho b^+eba^+ea, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(a, b) \in \rho_{\max} = \rho$, that is, $a\rho = b\rho$. Thus $\mu_{S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}}$ is the identity relation on $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is fundamental. Conversely, suppose that $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is fundamental. Let $a\rho_{\max}b$. Then $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mu_{S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}}$ from the definition of ρ_{\max} . Since $\mu_{S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}}$ is the equality relation on $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$, we have $a\rho = b\rho$, so that $\rho_{\max} \subseteq \rho$. Therefore $\rho = \rho_{\max}$.

For any \mathcal{P} -congruences ρ and σ on $S(P)$ such that $\rho \subseteq \sigma$, define a relation σ/ρ on $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ by

$$\sigma/\rho = \{(a\rho, b\rho): (a, b) \in \sigma\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.10. *For any \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, ρ_{\max}/ρ is the maximum idempotent separating \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

PROOF. Clear.

Let \mathcal{A} be the lattice of all \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$. Define a relation Θ on

\mathcal{A} as follows: for any $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\rho \Theta \sigma \text{ if and only if } \text{tr } \rho = \text{tr } \sigma.$$

It immediately follows from Theorems 2.2 and 2.6 that $\rho \Theta$, the Θ -class containing $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$, is the interval $[\rho_{\min}, \rho_{\max}]$ of \mathcal{A} .

PROPOSITION 2.11 (5, Theorem 5.1]). *If \mathcal{P} -congruences ρ and σ on $S(P)$ are Θ -equivalent, then $\rho\sigma = \sigma\rho$. Therefore, for any $\rho \in \mathcal{A}$, $\rho\Theta$ is a complete modular sublattice of \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. Suppose that $\text{tr } \rho = \text{tr } \sigma$. Let $(a, b) \in \rho\sigma$. Then there exists $c \in S(P)$ such that apc and $c\sigma b$. Choose $a^+ \in V_P(a)$, $b^+ \in V_P(b)$ and $c^+ \in V_P(c)$. Then $aa^+ \rho cc^+ aa^+$ and $b^+ b \sigma b^+ bc^+ c$, which imply that $aa^+ \sigma cc^+ aa^+$ and $b^+ b \rho b^+ bc^+ c$ since $\text{tr } \rho = \text{tr } \sigma$. Also $c^+ a \rho c^+ c$ and $cc^+ \sigma b c^+$. Then

$$a = (aa^+) a \sigma cc^+ aa^+ a = (cc^+) a \sigma b c^+ a,$$

$$b = b(b^+ b) \rho b b^+ bc^+ c = b(c^+ c) \rho b c^+ a,$$

and so $(a, b) \in \sigma\rho$. Hence $\rho\sigma \subseteq \sigma\rho$. Likewise $\sigma\rho \subseteq \rho\sigma$.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$, and let Γ be the lattice of all idempotent separating \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)/(\xi_{\min})_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then the mapping $\rho \mapsto \rho/\xi_{\min}$ is a complete isomorphism of $\xi\Theta$ onto Γ .*

PROOF. Clear.

§3. \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs

LEMMA 3.1. *Let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ and $a, b \in S(P)$. Then apb if and only if*

$$ab^+ \in \ker \rho, aa^+ \rho bb^+ aa^+, b^+ b \rho b^+ ba^+ a$$

for some $a^+ \in V(a)$ and $b^+ \in V(b)$,

PROOF. Noting that $\ker \rho$ is a \mathcal{P} -subset of $S(P)$ by Result 1.1 (iii), this is trivial from Result 1.2.

Let ξ be an equivalence on E . Then ξ is called a *normal equivalence* on E if it satisfies the following: for any $a \in S(P)$ and $e, f, g, h, i, j, k \in E$,

- (a) if $e\xi f$ and $aea^+, afa^+ \in E$ for some $a^+ \in V_P(a)$, then $aea^+ \xi afa^+$,
- (b) if $e\xi f, g\xi h$ and $eg, fh \in E$, then $eg\xi fh$,
- (c) if $\square \neq (e\xi)(f\xi) \cap E \subseteq h\xi$, $\square \neq (f\xi)(g\xi) \cap E \subseteq i\xi$ and $\square \neq (e\xi)(i\xi) \cap E \subseteq j\xi$ [$\square \neq (h\xi)(g\xi) \cap E \subseteq k\xi$], then $\square \neq (h\xi)(g\xi) \cap E$ [$\square \neq (e\xi)(i\xi) \cap E$] and $j\xi k$.

Let ξ be a normal equivalence on E . Define a partial binary operation \circ on E/ξ as follows: for any $e, f, g \in E$,

$$e\xi \circ f\xi = g\xi, \quad \text{where} \quad \square \neq (e\xi)(f\xi) \cap E \subseteq g\xi.$$

It is easy to verify that the partial binary operation \circ is well-defined. The partial groupoid E/ξ satisfies the following:

- (w) if $e\xi \circ f\xi, f\xi \circ g\xi$ and $e\xi \circ (f\xi \circ g\xi) [(e\xi \circ f\xi) \circ g\xi]$ are defined in E/ξ , then $(e\xi \circ f\xi) \circ g\xi [e\xi \circ (f\xi \circ g\xi)]$ is defined in E/ξ and $(e\xi \circ f\xi) \circ g\xi = e\xi \circ (f\xi \circ g\xi)$. In this case, the element $e\xi \circ (f\xi \circ g\xi) (= (e\xi \circ f\xi) \circ g\xi)$ is simply denoted by $e\xi \circ f\xi \circ g\xi$.

Let K be a weakly closed full \mathcal{P} -subset of $S(P)$ and ξ a normal equivalence on E . Then the pair (ξ, K) is called a \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$ if it satisfies the following: for any $a, b, c \in S(P)$, $c^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(c)$, $e, f, g \in E$ and $p \in P$,

- (C1) $a \in K$ implies $a^+ a \xi a^+ a^+ a a$ for any $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$,
 (C2) $a e f b \in K$ and $e \xi \circ f \xi = (a^+ a) \xi$ for some $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ imply $ab \in K$,
 (C3) $ab^+ \in K$ and $aa^+ \xi bb^+ aa^+, b^+ b \xi b^+ ba^+ a$ for some $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$ imply $apb^+ \in K$ and $apa^+ \xi bpb^+ apa^+, b^+ pb \xi b^+ pba^+ pa$,
 (C4) $a, b \in K, aa^+ \xi ee^+ aa^+, ee^+ \xi aa^+ ee^+, a^+ a \xi a^+ ae^+ e, e^+ e \xi e^+ ea^+ a, bb^+ \xi ff^+ bb^+, ff^+ \xi bb^+ ff^+, b^+ b \xi b^+ bf^+ f, f^+ f \xi f^+ fb^+ b$ and $e \xi \circ f \xi = g \xi$ for some $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a), b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b), e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$ and $f^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$ imply $ab \in K$,
 (C5) $ap \in K$ and $aa^+ \xi paa^+, p \xi pa^+ a$ for some $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ imply $cac^+ \in K$.

For any \mathcal{P} -congruence pair (ξ, K) for $S(P)$, define a relation $\kappa_{(\xi, K)}$ on $S(P)$ as follows:

$$(4) \quad \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = \{(a, b): ab^+ \in K \text{ and } aa^+ \xi bb^+ aa^+, bb^+ \xi aa^+ bb^+, a^+ a \xi a^+ ab^+ b, b^+ b \xi b^+ ba^+ a \text{ for some } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a) \text{ and } b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)\}.$$

The following lemma enables us to substitute ‘‘some’’ in the definition above by ‘‘any’’.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let (ξ, K) be a \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$ and $a, b \in S(P)$. Suppose that $(a, b) \in \kappa_{(\xi, K)}$. Then $ab^* \in K$ and*

$$aa^* \xi bb^* aa^*, bb^* \xi aa^* bb^*, a^* a \xi a^* ab^* b, b^* b \xi b^* ba^* a$$

for any $a^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$. Further

$$aa^*\xi ab^*ba^*, bb^*\xi ba^*ab^*, a^*a\xi a^*bb^*a, b^*b\xi b^*aa^*b$$

for any $a^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$.

PROOF. Suppose that $(a, b) \in \kappa_{(\xi, K)}$. Then $aa^+\xi bb^+aa^+$ and $ab^+ \in K$ for some $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$. Choose $a^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$ and $b^* \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$. Since K is a \mathcal{P} -subset of $S(P)$, $ab^+ \in K$ implies $ab^* \in K$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} aa^* &= (aa^+)aa^*\xi bb^+aa^+aa^* \\ &= bb^*(bb^+aa^+)aa^*\xi bb^*aa^+aa^* = bb^*aa^* \end{aligned}$$

since ξ is a normal equivalence on E . Similarly, $bb^*\xi aa^*bb^*$, $a^*a\xi a^*ab^*b$ and $b^*b\xi b^*ba^*a$. The second statement is easily verified.

Now we can determine \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$ by \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $S(P)$ be a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup. For any \mathcal{P} -congruence pair (ξ, K) for $S(P)$, $\kappa_{(\xi, K)}$ is a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ such that $\text{tr } \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = \xi$ and $\ker \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = K$. Conversely, for any \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, $(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$ is a \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$ and $\rho = \kappa_{(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)}$.*

PROOF. Let (ξ, K) be a \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$ and $\kappa_{(\xi, K)} = \kappa$. Obviously, κ is reflexive and symmetric. Suppose that $a\kappa b$ and $b\kappa c$. Let $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$, $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$ and $c^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(c)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ab^+ \in K, x^+ = ba^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(x), y = bc^+ \in K, \\ y^+ &= cb^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(y), e = bb^+, f = cc^+. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$ and $f \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$. Then, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} xx^+e &= ab^+ba^+bb^+\xi aa^+bb^+\xi bb^+ = e, \\ exx^+ &= bb^+ab^+ba^+\xi bb^+aa^+\xi aa^+\xi xx^+, \\ x^+xe &= ba^+ab^+bb^+ = x^+x, \\ ex^+x &= bb^+ba^+ab^+ = x^+x\xi bb^+ = e \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} yy^+f &= bc^+cb^+cc^+\xi bb^+cc^+\xi cc^+ = f, \\ fyy^+ &= cc^+bc^+cb^+\xi cc^+bb^+\xi bb^+\xi yy^+, \\ y^+yf &= cb^+bc^+cc^+ = y^+y, \\ fy^+y &= cc^+cb^+bc^+ = y^+y\xi cc^+ = f. \end{aligned}$$

Also $e\xi \circ f\xi = (bb^+)\xi \circ (cc^+)\xi = (cc^+)\xi = f\xi$. So, by (C4), we have $xy = ab^+bc^+ \in K$. Since $ab^+bc^+ = a(a^+ab^+b)c^+ \in K$ and $a^+a\xi a^+ab^+b$, we have $ac^+ \in K$ by using (C2). Further, since ξ is a normal equivalence on E ,

$$aa^+cc^+\xi bb^+(aa^+bb^+)cc^+\xi bb^+bb^+cc^+ = bb^+cc^+\xi cc^+.$$

Similarly, $aa^+\xi cc^+aa^+$, $a^+a\xi a^+ac^+c$ and $c^+c\xi c^+ca^+a$. Thus κc , so that κ is transitive.

To prove that κ is left compatible, suppose that akb and $c \in S(P)$. Choose $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$, $b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b)$ and $c^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(c)$. Set

$$x = ab^+ \in K, \quad x^+ = ba^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(x).$$

Then

$$x = xbb^+ \in K,$$

$$bb^+xx^+ = bb^+ab^+ba^+\xi bb^+aa^+\xi aa^+\xi xx^+ \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2}),$$

$$bb^+x^+x = bb^+ba^+ab^+ = ba^+ab^+\xi bb^+ \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2}),$$

so that $cxc^+ = cab^+c^+ \in K$ by (C5). It immediately follows from (C3) that $b^+c^+cb\xi b^+c^+cba^+c^+ca$. Since $ba^+ \in K$ and $a^+a\xi a^+ab^+b$, $bb^+\xi aa^+bb^+$, we have $a^+c^+ca\xi a^+c^+cab^+c^+cb$, again by (C3). Moreover, in the partial groupoid E/ξ satisfying (w),

$$\begin{aligned} & (c^+c)\xi \circ (bb^+)\xi \circ (c^+c)\xi \circ (aa^+)\xi \\ &= [(c^+c)\xi \circ (bb^+)\xi] \circ [(c^+c)\xi \circ (bb^+)\xi] \circ (aa^+)\xi \\ &= (c^+c)\xi \circ [(bb^+)\xi \circ (aa^+)\xi] \\ &= (c^+c)\xi \circ (aa^+)\xi, \end{aligned}$$

so that $c^+caa^+\xi c^+cbb^+c^+caa^+$, which implies $caa^+c^+\xi cbb^+c^+caa^+c^+$ since ξ is a normal equivalence on E . Likewise $cbb^+c^+\xi caa^+c^+cbb^+c^+$. Hence we have $cakcb$, and thus κ is left compatible. Also it is easy to prove that κ is right compatible by using (C3). Therefore κ is a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$.

Next we show that $\xi = \text{tr } \kappa$. Let $e, f \in E$ and $e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e)$, $f^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$. Suppose that $e\xi f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (ff^+ee^+)\xi &= (ff^+)\xi \circ e\xi \circ e^+\xi \\ &= (ff^+)\xi \circ f\xi \circ e^+\xi = f\xi \circ e^+\xi = (ee^+)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $ff^+\xi ee^+ff^+$, $e^+e\xi e^+ef^+f$, $f^+f\xi f^+fe^+e$. Since K is full, $ee^+ff^+ \in K$. Also $e^+\xi \circ f\xi = e^+\xi \circ e\xi = (e^+e)\xi$. Therefore, by (C2), $ef^+ \in K$, so that ekf . Conversely, suppose that ekf . Then, since

$$(ee^+)\xi = (ff^+)\xi \circ (ee^+)\xi,$$

we have

$$e\xi = (ff^+)\xi \circ e\xi$$

by multiplying $e\xi$ from the right. So

$$f\xi \circ e\xi = [f\xi \circ (ff^+)\xi] \circ e\xi = (ff^+)\xi \circ e\xi = e\xi.$$

By the similar argument, $f\xi \circ e\xi = f\xi$. Therefore $e\xi = f\xi$, that is, $e\xi f$. Hence $\xi = \text{tr } \kappa$.

Finally, we proceed to prove that $K = \ker \kappa$. Suppose that $a \in \ker \kappa$. Then $a \in K$ for some $e \in E$. Let $a^+ \in V_p(a)$ and $e^+ \in V_p(e)$. Set

$$x = ae^+ \in K, x^+ = ea^+ \in V_p(x), f = ee^+.$$

By using Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} xx^+f &= ae^+ea^+ee^+\xi aa^+ee^+\xi ee^+ = f, \\ fxx^+ &= ee^+ae^+ea^+\xi ee^+aa^+\xi aa^+\xi xx^+, \\ x^+xf &= ea^+ae^+ee^+ = x^+x, \\ fx^+x &= ee^+ea^+ae^+ = x^+x\xi ee^+ = f, \\ f\xi \circ e\xi &= (ee^+)\xi \circ e\xi = e\xi, \end{aligned}$$

so that $xe = ae^+e \in K$ by (C4). Also set

$$\begin{aligned} y &= ae^+e \in K, y^+ = e^+ea^+ \in V_p(y), z = a^+a = z^+, \\ g &= a^+ae^+e \in E, g^+ = e^+ea^+a \in V_p(g). \end{aligned}$$

From Result 1.1 (iv), $z^+ \in V_p(z)$. Then, by simple calculations,

$$\begin{aligned} yy^+\xi ee^+yy^+, ee^+\xi yy^+ee^+, y^+y\xi y^+ye^+e, e^+e\xi e^+ey^+y, \\ zz^+\xi gg^+zz^+, gg^+\xi zz^+gg^+, z^+z\xi z^+zg^+g, g^+g\xi g^+gz^+z. \end{aligned}$$

Further, $(ee^+)(e^+ea^+ae^+e) \in P^2 \subseteq E$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [(ee^+)(e^+ea^+ae^+e)]\xi &= (ee^+)\xi \circ (e^+ea^+ae^+e)\xi \\ &= [(ee^+)\xi \circ (e^+e)\xi] \circ (a^+ae^+e)\xi \\ &= e\xi \circ g\xi. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $yz = ae^+ea^+a \in K$ by (C4). Since

$$ae^+ea^+a = a(a^+ae^+e)a^+a \in K, a^+a\xi a^+ae^+e,$$

it follows from (C2) that $a = a(a^+a) \in K$. Thus $\ker \kappa \subseteq K$. Conversely, suppose that $a \in K$. Let $a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a)$. Then $a^+ \in K$ since K is a \mathcal{P} -subset of $S(P)$. By (C1), we have

$$aa^+ \xi aaa^+ a^+, a^+ a \xi a^+ a^+ aa.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} e &= aa^+ a^+ a \in E, e^+ = a^+ aaa^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e), \\ x &= a^+ a^+ \in K, x^+ = aa \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a^+ a^+). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} aa^+ \xi ee^+ aa^+, ee^+ \xi aa^+ ee^+, a^+ a \xi a^+ ae^+ e, e^+ e \xi e^+ ea^+ a, \\ xx^+ \xi e^+ exx^+, e^+ e \xi xx^+ e^+ e, x^+ x \xi x^+ xee^+, ee^+ \xi ee^+ x^+ x, \end{aligned}$$

and $e \xi \circ e^+ \xi = (ee^+) \xi$, so that $a(a^+ a^+) \in K$ by (C4). Thus aka^2 , and hence $K \subseteq \ker \kappa$. Therefore $K = \ker \kappa$.

Conversely, let ρ be a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Then $(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$ is a \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$, and it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\rho = \kappa_{(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)}$. Thus we have the theorem.

Let \bar{C} be the set of \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs for $S(P)$. Define an order \leq on \bar{C} by

$$(\xi_1, K_1) \leq (\xi_2, K_2) \text{ if and only if } \xi_1 \subseteq \xi_2, K_1 \subseteq K_2.$$

COROLLARY 3.4. *The mappings*

$$(\xi, K) \longmapsto \kappa_{(\xi, K)}, \rho \longmapsto (\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$$

are mutually inverse order-preserving mappings of \bar{C} onto A and of A onto \bar{C} , respectively. Therefore \bar{C} forms a complete lattice.

§4. Orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences

For a given orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, the maximum orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ_{\max} on $S(P)$ whose trace is $\text{tr } \rho$ was presented in Corollary 2.3. On the other hand, we have

THEOREM 4.1. *For any orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$, define a relation ρ_0 on $S(P)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 = \{ (a, b) : \text{there exist } x, y, u, v \in S(P)^1 \text{ and } e, f \in E \\ \text{such that } a = xey, b = uf, v, x\eta u, y\eta v \text{ and } \rho f \}, \end{aligned}$$

where η is the least orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Then $\rho_{\min} = \rho_0^t$, the transitive closure of ρ_0 , is the least orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace coincides with $\text{tr } \rho$.

PROOF. Obviously, ρ_0 is reflexive, symmetric and compatible, so that $\rho_{\min} = \rho_0^t$ is a \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Let $e, f \in E$ and $e^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(e), f^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(f)$. Then we have

$$(ef)^2 = e(ef^+e^+)(ef)^2, ef = e(ef^+e^+)(ef), (ef, (ef)^2) \in \eta.$$

Thus $((ef)^2, ef) \in \rho_0$, and $((ef)^2, ef) \in \rho_{\min}$. Hence ρ_{\min} is an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Obviously, ρ_{\min} is the least orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose trace is $\text{tr } \rho$.

Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the lattice of all orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$, and define a relation Θ_1 on \mathcal{A}_1 as follows: for any $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_1$,

$$\rho \Theta_1 \sigma \text{ if and only if } \text{tr } \rho = \text{tr } \sigma.$$

Of course, the results corresponding to Propositions 2.8–2.12 hold.

We now proceed to the next stage.

Let ξ be a normal equivalence on E . Then ξ is called an *orthodox normal equivalence* on E if it satisfies

$$(d) \quad (e\xi)(f\xi) \cap E \neq \square \quad \text{for any } e, f \in E.$$

In this case, E/ξ is a band under

$$e\xi \circ f\xi = g\xi, \quad \text{where } \square \neq (e\xi)(f\xi) \cap E \subseteq g\xi.$$

Let K be both a \mathcal{P} -subset and a \mathcal{P} -full, \mathcal{P} -self-conjugate subsemigroup of $S(P)$ (therefore K is full). Also let ξ be an orthodox normal equivalence on E . Then the pair (ξ, K) is called an *orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair* for $S(P)$ if it satisfies the conditions (C1), (C3) in §3 and

$$(C2)' \quad aeb \in K \text{ and } e\xi a^+ a \text{ for some } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a) \text{ imply } ab \in K,$$

for any $a, b \in S(P)$ and $e \in E$.

For any orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair (ξ, K) for $S(P)$, define a relation $\kappa_{(\xi, K)}$ on $S(P)$ by (4) in §3. Of course, we can substitute “some” in (4) by “any”.

Now we have

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $S(P)$ be a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup. For any orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair (ξ, K) for $S(P)$, $\kappa_{(\xi, K)}$ is an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ such that $\text{tr } \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = \xi$ and $\ker \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = K$. Conversely, for any orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, $(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$ is an orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$ and $\rho = \kappa_{(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)}$.*

Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ be the set of orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs for $S(P)$, and \mathcal{A}_1 the lattice

of all orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$. Define an order \leq on \bar{C}_1 by

$$(\xi_1, K_1) \leq (\xi_2, K_2) \text{ if and only if } \xi_1 \subseteq \xi_2, K_1 \subseteq K_2.$$

Then we have

COROLLARY 4.3. *The mappings*

$$(\xi, K) \longmapsto \kappa_{(\xi, K)}, \quad \rho \longmapsto (\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$$

are mutually inverse order-preserving mappings of \bar{C}_1 onto A_1 and of A_1 onto \bar{C}_1 , respectively. Therefore \bar{C}_1 forms a complete lattice.

§5. Strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences

Firstly, strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup with the same \mathcal{P} -trace are discussed.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let ρ be a strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$. Then we have the following:*

(i) *The greatest strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ_{\max} on $S(P)$ whose \mathcal{P} -trace coincides with $\mathcal{P}\text{-tr } \rho$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\max} &= \{(a, b): \text{there exist } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a) \text{ and } b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b) \\ &\quad \text{such that } aea^+ pbeb^+, a^+ eapb^+ eb \text{ for all } e \in P\} \\ &= \{(a, b): aea^+ pbeb^+, a^+ eapb^+ eb \\ &\quad \text{for any } a^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(a), b^+ \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(b) \text{ and } e \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Define a relation ρ_0 on $S(P)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= \{(a, b): \text{there exist } x, y, u, v \in S(P)^1 \text{ and } e, f \in P \\ &\quad \text{such that } a = xey, b = ufv, x\tau u, y\tau v \text{ and } epf\} \end{aligned}$$

where τ is the least strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Then $\rho_{\min} = \rho_0^t$, the transitive closure of ρ_0 , is the least strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ whose \mathcal{P} -trace coincides with $\mathcal{P}\text{-tr } \rho$.

PROOF. Obvious.

Let A_2 be the lattice of all strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$. Define a relation Θ_2 on A_2 as follows: for any $\rho, \sigma \in A_2$,

$$\rho \Theta_2 \sigma \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{P}\text{-tr } \rho = \mathcal{P}\text{-tr } \sigma.$$

Several properties of ρ_{\max} and ρ_{\min} are introduced without proof.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let ρ be a strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ and $e \in P$. Then we have*

$$e\rho = e\rho_{\max} \cap \mathcal{P}\text{-ker } \rho.$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *For any strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on $S(P)$, $\rho = \rho_{\max}$ if and only if $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a fundamental orthodox $*$ -semigroup.*

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let ρ be a strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$. Then ρ_{\max}/ρ is the maximum idempotent separating \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)/(\rho)_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let $\xi \in \Lambda_2$, and let Γ_2 be the lattice of all idempotent separating \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)/(\xi_{\min})_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then the mapping $\rho \mapsto \rho/\xi_{\min}$ is a complete isomorphism of $\xi\Theta_2$ onto Γ_2 .*

These propositions hold for strong \mathcal{P} -congruences on $S(P)$.

Next, the concept of strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs is introduced.

An orthodox normal equivalence ξ on E is called a *strong orthodox normal equivalence* on E if it satisfies

$$(e) \quad e\xi p \text{ for any } e \in E \text{ and } p \in P \text{ implies } e^+ \xi p \text{ for any } e^+ \in V_p(e).$$

LEMMA 5.6. *Let ξ be a strong orthodox normal equivalence on E . Then $aa^+ \xi aa^*$ and $a^+ a \xi a^* a$ for any $a \in S(P)$ and $a^+, a^* \in V_p(a)$.*

PROOF. Let $a \in S(P)$ and $a^+, a^* \in V_p(a)$. Then $aa^+ \xi aa^+$, $aa^* \in V_p(aa^+)$ and $aa^+ \in P$. So $aa^+ \xi aa^*$ by using (e). Likewise, $a^+ a \xi a^* a$.

Let K be both a \mathcal{P} -subset and a \mathcal{P} -full, \mathcal{P} -self-conjugate subsemigroup of $S(P)$. Also let ξ be a strong orthodox normal equivalence on E . Then the pair (ξ, K) is called a *strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair* for $S(P)$ if it satisfies the conditions (C1) in §3 and

$$(C2)'' \quad aeb \in K \text{ and } e\xi a^+ a \text{ for some } a^+ \in V_p(a) \text{ imply } ab \in K,$$

$$(C3)'' \quad ab^+ \in K \text{ and } aa^+ \xi bb^+, a^+ a \xi b^+ b \text{ for some } a^+ \in V_p(a) \text{ and } b^+ \in V_p(b) \text{ imply} \\ aeb^+ \in K \text{ and } aea^+ \xi beb^+, a^+ ea \xi b^+ eb,$$

for any $a, b \in S(P)$ and $e \in P$.

For any strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair (ξ, K) for $S(P)$, define a relation $\kappa_{(\xi, K)}$ on $S(P)$ as follows:

$$\kappa_{(\xi, K)} = \{(a, b): aa^+ \xi bb^+, a^+ a \xi b^+ b \text{ and } a^+ b, ab^+ \in K \text{ for some } a^+ \in V_p(a) \text{ and} \\ b^+ \in V_p(b)\}.$$

REMARK. We can substitute “some” by “any”.

Now we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.7. *Let $S(P)$ be a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup. For any strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair (ξ, K) for $S(P)$, $\kappa_{(\xi, K)}$ is a strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence on $S(P)$ such that $\text{tr } \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = \xi$ and $\ker \kappa_{(\xi, K)} = K$. Conversely, for any strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence ρ on a \mathcal{P} -regular semigroup $S(P)$, $(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$ is a strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pair for $S(P)$ and $\rho = \kappa_{(\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)}$.*

Let \bar{C}_2 be the set of strong orthodox \mathcal{P} -congruence pairs for $S(P)$. Define an order \leq on \bar{C}_2 by

$$(\xi_1, K_1) \leq (\xi_2, K_2) \text{ if and only if } \xi_1 \subseteq \xi_2, K_1 \subseteq K_2.$$

COROLLARY 5.8. *The mappings*

$$(\xi, K) \longmapsto \kappa_{(\xi, K)}, \quad \rho \longmapsto (\text{tr } \rho, \ker \rho)$$

are mutually inverse order-preserving mappings of \bar{C}_3 onto A_3 and of A_3 onto \bar{C}_3 , respectively. Therefore \bar{C}_3 forms a complete lattice.

References

- [1] R. Feigenbaum, "Kernels of orthodox semigroup homomorphisms", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **22** (Series A) (1976), 234–245.
- [2] Gracinda M.S. Gomes, "Orthodox congruences on regular semigroups", *Semigroup Forum* **37** (1988), 149–166.
- [3] J.H. Howie, "An introduction to semigroup theory", *Academic Press, London*, 1976.
- [4] T. Imaoka and Y. Okamoto, "Congruences on \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups", *Proc. of the 1990 International Symposium on the Semigroup Theory and its Related Fields* (1990), 109–123.
- [5] F. Pastijn and M. Petrich, "Congruences on regular semigroups", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1986), 607–633.
- [6] J. Meakin, "Idempotent-equivalent congruences on orthodox semigroups", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **11** (1971), 221–241.
- [7] M. Yamada and M.K. Sen, " \mathcal{P} -regularity in semigroups", *Mem. Fac. Sci., Shimane Univ.* **21** (1987), 47–54.
- [8] M. Yamada and M.K. Sen, " \mathcal{P} -regular semigroups", *Semigroup Forum* **39** (1989), 157–178.