# The Equation $\Delta u = qu$ on an Infinite Network

Maretsugu YAMASAKI

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Shimane, Matsue, Japan (Received September 5, 1987)

We shall discuss the structure of the spaces of some distinguished solutions of the partial difference equation  $\Delta u = qu$  on an infinite network. The q-Green function of the network plays an important role in our study.

### Introduction

We shall study the partial difference equation  $\Delta u - qu = 0$   $(q \ge 0)$  on an infinite network. Our aim is to investigate the structure of the spaces of some distinguished solutions of this equation. As for the elliptic partial differential equation  $\Delta u - qu = 0$  on a Riemann surface, the investigation of this direction has been established in [1], [3], [4], [5] and [6]. Most of our results have counterparts in these papers.

We say that a function u on the set X of nodes is q-harmonic (resp. q-superharmonic) at x if  $\Delta_q u(x) = \Delta u(x) - q(x)u(x) = 0$  (resp.  $\Delta_q u(x) \le 0$ ), where  $\Delta$  is the discrete Laplacian. Minimum principles for q-harmonic or q-superharmonic functions will be studied in §2. In this paper, the energy  $E(u) = D(u) + \sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x)^2$  of u plays the role of the discrete Dirichlet integral D(u) in [8]. With the aid of the class of energy finite q-harmonic functions, we shall give in §3 a classification of infinite networks. The existence and some properties of q-Green function  $\tilde{g}_a$  of the network with pole at awill be shown in §4. We shall prove the fundamental inequality:  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)\tilde{g}_a(x) \le 1$ . This result has a counterpart in [1] and [4]. We shall be concerned with the equality  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)\tilde{g}_a(x) = 1$  and its application in §5. A similar equality will be studied in §6. We shall list some fundamental results of the q-Green potentials in §7. The dependence of the q-Green function on q will be studied in §8 as in [1].

## §1. Preliminaries

Let X be a countable set of nodes, Y be a countable set of arcs and K be the nodearc incidence function. We assume that the graph  $G = \{X, Y, K\}$  is connected and locally finite and has no self-loop.

A sequence  $\{G_n\}$   $(G_n = \{X_n, Y_n, K\} = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  of finite subgraphs of G is called an exhaustion of G if the following conditions are fulfilled:

(1.1) 
$$X_n \subset X_{n+1}, \quad Y_n \subset Y_{n+1}, \quad X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n.$$

(1.2) 
$$Y(x) = \{ y \in Y; K(x, y) \neq 0 \} \subset Y_{n+1} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in X_n.$$

Here Y(x) is the set of arcs which are incident to node x.

Let r be a strictly positive real function on Y and q be a non-negative real function on X. We call the trio  $N(q) = \{G, r, q\}$  an infinite network in this paper. We have studied the network N = N(0) in [7] and [8], i.e., the case where q = 0. Hereafter we use the notation N(q) only in the case  $q \neq 0$ .

For a subgraph  $G' = \langle X', Y' \rangle$  of G, we can associate subnetworks  $N'(q) = \{G', r', q'\}$  and N' = N'(0), where r' is the restriction of r to Y' and q' is the restriction of q to X'.

For notation and terminology, we mainly follow [7] and [8].

Denote by L(X) (resp. L(Y)) the set of all real functions on X (resp. Y). For  $u \in L(X)$ , the (discrete) derivative  $du \in L(Y)$ , the (discrete) Laplacian  $\Delta u \in L(X)$  and the (discrete) Dirichlet integral D(u) of u are defined by

$$du(y) = -r(y)^{-1} \sum_{x \in X} K(x, y)u(x),$$
  

$$\Delta u(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} K(x, y) [du(y)],$$
  

$$D(u) = \sum_{y \in Y} r(y) [du(y)]^{2}.$$

In this paper, we study the discrete analogues of the well-known properties of the solutions of the elliptic partial differential equation  $\Delta u = qu$  on a Riemann surface. In order to emphasize the analogy to the continuous case, we shall omit the adjective "discrete" in what follows.

We introduce the q-Laplacian  $\Delta_q u \in L(X)$  and the energy E(u) of  $u \in L(X)$  as follows:

$$\Delta_q u(x) = \Delta u(x) - q(x)u(x),$$
  
$$E(u) = D(u) + \sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x)^2$$

We say that  $u \in L(X)$  is q-superharmonic (resp. q-harmonic) on a subset A of X if  $\Delta_{a}u(x) \leq 0$  (resp.  $\Delta_{a}u(x)=0$ ) on A.

## § 2. Minimum principles

We shall study minimum principles related to  $\Delta_q$ . For our study, the following form of  $\Delta u$  is useful:

$$\Delta u(x) = -t(x)u(x) + \sum_{z \in X} t(z, x)u(z),$$

where  $t(z, x) = \sum_{y \in Y} |K(z, y)K(x, y)| r(y)^{-1}$  for  $z \neq x$ , t(x, x) = 0 and  $t(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} |K(x, y)| r(y)^{-1}$ . Note that t(x, z) = t(z, x) and  $t(x) = \sum_{z \in X} t(z, x)$ .

REMARK 2.1. If  $q \neq 0$ , then a constant function u is q-harmonic on X if and only if u=0.

For  $x \in X$ , denote by U(x) the set of all neighboring nodes of x, i.e.,  $U(x) = \bigcup \{e(y); y \in Y(x)\}$ , where e(y) is the set of end nodes of y. For a subset A of X, we put  $U(A) = \bigcup \{U(x); x \in A\}$ .

THEOREM 2.1. Let X' be a finite subset of X and let  $u \in L(X)$  be q-superharmonic on X'. If  $u \ge 0$  on X - X', then  $u \ge 0$  on X'.

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $m = \min \{u(x); x \in X'\} < 0$ . There exists  $x_0 \in X'$  such that  $u(x_0) = m$ . Since  $\Delta_a u(x_0) \le 0$ , we have

$$t(x_0)u(x_0) \le \sum_{x \in X} t(x, x_0)u(x) \le t(x_0)u(x_0) + q(x_0)u(x_0),$$

so that  $q(x_0)u(x_0) \ge 0$ . Thus  $q(x_0)=0$  and  $u(x)=u(x_0)$  on the set  $U(x_0)$ . Similarly we have  $u(x)=u(x_0)$  on  $U(U(x_0))$ . By repeating this argument a finite number of times, we see that  $u(x)=u(x_0)$  on U(X'). Since  $U(X') \cap (X-X') \ne \emptyset$ , we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore  $u \ge 0$  on X'.

COROLLARY. Let X' be a finite subset of X and let u and v be q-harmonic on X'. If  $u \ge v$  on X - X', then  $u \ge v$  on X'.

REMARK 2.2. Note that Theorem 2.1 also holds in case q=0. This is the usual minimum principle for superharmonic functions.

Let  $\{G_n\}(G_n = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  be an exhaustion of G and  $\Omega_n$  be the function on X defined by the conditions:

(2.1) 
$$\Omega_n$$
 is q-harmonic on  $X_n$ .

(2.2) 
$$\Omega_n = 1 \text{ on } X - X_n.$$

The uniqueness of  $\Omega_n$  follows from the Corollary of Theorem 2.1. The existence of  $\Omega_n$  can be shown by the aid of the optimal solution of the following extremum problem:

(2.3) Minimize 
$$E(u)$$
 subject to  $u=1$  on  $X_{n+1}-X_n$ .

We see by Theorem 2.1 that  $0 \le \Omega_{n+1} \le \Omega_n \le 1$  on X, so that the limit function  $\Omega$  of  $\{\Omega_n\}$  exists. It is easily seen that the function  $\Omega$  does not depend on the choice of an exhaustion of G. Note that  $\Omega$  is q-harmonic on X and  $0 \le \Omega \le 1$  on X. We call  $\Omega$  the q-harmonic measure of the ideal boundary of N(q).

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can prove

THEOREM 2.2. Let u be q-superharmonic on X. If  $u \ge 0$  on X and  $u(x_0)=0$  for some  $x_0 \in X$ , then u(x)=0 on X.

REMARK 2.3. We can not expect the following property in general unless q=0: If u is a non-constant q-superharmonic function on X, then u does not attain its minimum.

Next we prove a discrete analogue of Harnack's inequality.

THEOREM 2.3. Let a,  $b \in X$ . There exists a positive constant  $\alpha$  depend only on a and b such that  $\alpha^{-1}u(b) \le u(a) \le \alpha u(b)$  for every non-negative q-superharmonic function u on X.

**PROOF.** The condition  $\Delta_a u(a) \leq 0$  implies that

 $t(x, a)u(x) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} t(x, a)u(x) \leq [t(a) + q(a)]u(a),$ 

so that  $u(x) \le v(x, a)u(a)$  with v(x, a) = [t(a) + q(a)]/t(x, a) for every  $x \in U(a), x \ne a$ . There exists a path P from a to b with  $C_X(P) = \{x_k; k=0, 1, ..., n\}$   $(x_0 = a \text{ and } x_n = b)$ . Then  $u(x_k) \le v(x_k, x_{k-1})u(x_{k-1})$  for each k, so that  $u(b) \le c(b, a)u(a)$  with  $c(b, a) = \prod_{k=1}^n v(x_k, x_{k-1})$ . Taking  $\alpha = \max [c(a, b), c(b, a)]$ , we see that  $\alpha$  satisfies our requirement.

We say that  $u \in L(X)$  vanishes at the ideal boundary if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset X' of X such that  $|u(x)| < \varepsilon$  on X - X'.

THEOREM 2.4. If u is q-harmonic on X and vanishes at the ideal boundary, then u=0 on X.

**PROOF.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite subset X' of X such that  $|u(x)| < \varepsilon$  on X - X'. Consider an exhaustion  $\{G_n\}(G_n = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  of G. There exists  $n_0$  such that  $X' \subset X_n$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . We have  $|u(x)| \le \varepsilon \Omega_n(x)$  on X for all  $n \ge n_0$  by Theorem 2.1, so that  $|u(x)| \le \varepsilon \Omega(x) \le \varepsilon$  on X. Thus u = 0 on X.

THEOREM 2.5. Let X' be a finite subset of X,  $\varphi \in L(X)$  and let u and v satisfy the equations:  $\Delta_q u = \Delta v = \varphi$  on X'. If  $\varphi \leq 0$  on X' and if  $v \geq u \geq 0$  on X - X', then  $v \geq u$  on X.

**PROOF.** By Theorem 2.1,  $u \ge 0$  on X. Put f=v-u. Then  $\Delta f=-qu \le 0$  on X' and  $f\ge 0$  on X-X'. We have  $f\ge 0$  on X by Theorem 2.1 with q=0.

§ 3. The spaces E(N(q)) and  $E_0(N(q))$ 

Let us introduce some spaces of functions on X:

 $L_0(X) = \{ u \in L(X); \{ x \in X; u(x) \neq 0 \} \text{ is a finite set} \},\$  $E(N(q)) = \{ u \in L(X); E(u) < \infty \},\$  $D(N) = \{ u \in L(X); D(u) < \infty \} = E(N(0)),\$ 

The Equation  $\Delta u = qu$  on an Infinite Network

$$\mathbb{H}(N(q)) = \{ u \in L(X); \Delta_q u = 0 \text{ on } X \}.$$

Needless to say, we have  $L_0(X) \subset E(N(q)) \subset D(N)$ .

In case  $q \neq 0$ , we see easily that E(N(q)) is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product:

$$E(u, v) = D(u, v) + \sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x)v(x),$$

where  $D(u, v) = \sum_{y \in Y} r(y) [du(y)] [dv(y)]$  is the Dirichlet mutual integral of u and v. Denote by  $E_0(N(q))$  the closure of  $L_0(X)$  in E(N(q)) with respect to the norm  $[E(u)]^{1/2}$ and by  $\mathcal{D}_0(N)$  the closure of  $L_0(X)$  with respect to the norm  $[D(u)+u(b)^2]^{1/2}$ , where b is a fixed node of X.

We shall write  $N \in O_G$  if  $\mathcal{D}(N) = \mathcal{D}_0(N)$ , or equivalently  $1 \in \mathcal{D}_0(N)$  (cf. [7], [8]).

THEOREM 3.1.  $\mathbb{E}_0(N(q)) = \mathbb{D}_0(N) \cap \mathbb{E}(N(q)).$ 

PROOF. Since  $E_0(N(q)) \subset E(N(q))$  and  $E_0(N(q)) \subset D_0(N)$ , we have  $E_0(N(q)) \subset D_0(N) \cap E(N(q))$ . To prove the converse relation, let u be an element of  $D_0(N) \cap E(N(q))$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $L_0(X)$  such that  $D(u-f_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $f_n(x)$  converges pointwise to u(x) and  $|f_n(x)| \leq |u(x)|$  on X. Since  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x)^2 < \infty$ , we see that  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)[u(x) - f_n(x)]^2 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus  $E(u-f_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , i.e.,  $u \in E_0(N(q))$ . This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. If  $N \in O_G$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_0(N(q)) = \mathbb{E}(N(q))$ .

**PROOF.** If  $N \in O_G$ , then  $\mathbb{D}_0(N) = \mathbb{D}(N)$ , so that  $\mathbb{E}_0(N(q)) = \mathbb{D}(N) \cap \mathbb{E}(N(q)) \supset \mathbb{E}(N(q))$  by Theorem 3.1. Hence  $\mathbb{E}_0(N(q)) = \mathbb{E}(N(q))$ .

The converse of this result does not hold in general. But we have

COROLLARY 2. Assume that  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) < \infty$ . Then  $N \in O_G$  if and only if  $E_0(N(q)) = E(N(q))$ .

**PROOF.** Assume that  $E_0(N(q)) = E(N(q))$ . Since  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) < \infty$ , we have  $1 \in E(N(q)) = E_0(N(q)) \subset D_0(N)$ . Thus  $N \in O_G$ .

In case  $q \neq 0$ , we introduce the following distinguished subspaces of H(N(q)):

 $HD(N(q)) = H(N(q)) \cap D(N), \quad HE(N(q)) = H(N(q)) \cap E(N(q)).$ 

 $HB(N(q)) = \{ u \in H(N(q)); u \text{ is bounded on } X \}.$ 

It is easily seen that  $HE(N(q)) \subset HD(N(q))$  and that HE(N(q)) is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space E(N(q)).

LEMMA 3.1. HE(N(q)) is the orthogonal complement of  $E_0(N(q))$  in E(N(q)).

**PROOF.** For  $f \in L_0(X)$  and  $h \in HE(N(q))$ , we have

$$E(f, h) = D(f, h) + \sum_{x \in X} q(x)f(x)h(x)$$
$$= -\sum_{x \in X} [\Delta h(x)]f(x) + \sum_{x \in X} q(x)f(x)h(x)$$
$$= -\sum_{x \in X} [\Delta_q h(x)]f(x) = 0,$$

so that E(v, h)=0 for every  $v \in E_0(N(q))$  and  $h \in HE(N(q))$ . Conversely, suppose that  $h \in E(N(q))$  satisfies E(v, h)=0 for all  $v \in E_0(N(q))$ . Let  $\varepsilon_x$  be the characteristic function of the set  $\{x\}$ . Since  $\varepsilon_x \in L_0(X)$  and  $E(\varepsilon_x, h) = -\Delta_q h(x)$ , it follows that  $h \in HE(N(q))$ .

By a standard argument, we obtain

THEOREM 3.2. Every  $u \in E(N(q))$  is uniquely decomposed into u = v + h with  $v \in E_0(N(q))$  and  $h \in HE(N(q))$ .

COROLLARY.  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $E_0(N(q)) = E(N(q))$ .

THEOREM 3.3. If  $\inf \{q(x); x \in X\} > 0$ , then  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $h \in HE(N(q))$  and put  $c = \inf \{q(x); x \in X\}$ . Then

 $c \sum_{x \in X} h(x)^2 \leq \sum_{x \in X} q(x) h(x)^2 \leq E(h) < \infty$ 

so that h vanishes at the ideal boundary. Thus h=0 by Theorem 2.4.

The following result is due to Maeda [2]:

THEOREM 3.4. If  $N \in O_G$ , then  $HD(N(q)) = \{0\}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $u \in HD(N(q))$ . Since  $N \in O_G$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $f_n \in L_0(X)$ ,  $0 \le f_n \le 1$  on X,  $D(f_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $f_n$  converges pointwise to 1. For a positive integer m, we put  $u_m(x) = \max\{-m, \min[u(x), m]\}$ . Since  $u_m f_n \in L_0(X)$ , we have by Lemma 3.1

(3.1) 
$$0 = E(u_m f_n, u) = D(u_m f_n, u) + \sum_{x \in X} q(x) u_m(x) f_n(x) u(x).$$

For  $y \in Y$ , put  $e(y) = \{a(y), b(y)\}$  (the end of y). Then

 $(du_m f_n)(y) = f_n(b(y)) [du_m(y)] + u_m(a(y)) [df_n(y)],$ 

(3.2)  $D(u_m f_n, u) = \sum_{y \in Y} r(y) f_n(b(y)) [du_m(y)] [du(y)]$ 

 $+ \sum_{y \in Y} r(y) u_m(a(y)) \left[ df_n(y) \right] \left[ du(y) \right].$ 

We have

$$\left|\sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{Y}}r(\mathbf{y})u_m(a(\mathbf{y}))[df_n(\mathbf{y})][du(\mathbf{y})]\right| \le mD(f_n)^{1/2}D(u)^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0$$

36

as  $n \to \infty$ . Note that  $[du_m(y)][du(y)] \ge 0$  for all  $y \in Y$  and that  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)u_m(x)f_n(x)u(x) \ge 0$ . We deduce from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\sum_{y \in Y} r(y) \left[ du_m(y) \right] \left[ du(y) \right] = 0,$$

and hence  $[du_m(y)][du(y)]=0$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Since this is true for any m>0, we conclude that du(y)=0 on Y, or u is a constant function. Thus u=0 by Remark 2.1.

### §4. *q*-Green function

In case  $q \neq 0$ , we say that a function  $u \in L(X)$  is the q-Green function of N(q) with pole at  $a \in X$  if it satisfies the condition

(4.1) 
$$u \in \mathbb{E}_0(N(q))$$
 and  $\Delta_q u(x) = -\varepsilon_q(x)$  on  $X$ ,

where  $\varepsilon_a(x)=0$  if  $x \neq a$  and  $\varepsilon_a(a)=1$ . The uniqueness of the q-Green function follows from Lemma 3.1. Hereafter we denote by  $\tilde{g}_a$  the q-Green function of N(q) with pole at a. The existence of  $\tilde{g}_a$  is assured by

**THEOREM 4.1.** There exists a unique q-Green function  $\tilde{g}_a$  of N(q) with pole at a.

PROOF. There exists  $b \in X$  such that q(b) > 0. For any  $x \in X$ , we can find a constant  $M_x$  such that  $|u(x)| \le M_x [D(u) + |u(b)|^2]^{1/2}$  for all  $u \in D(N)$  (cf. [7]). Let  $M'_x = M_x [1+q(b)^{-1}]^{1/2}$ . Then we have  $|u(x)| \le M'_x [E(u)]^{1/2}$  for all  $u \in E(N(q))$ . Therefore u(a) is a continuous linear functional on both  $E_0(N(q))$  and E(N(q)) for every  $a \in X$ . By F. Riesz's theorem, there exists a reproducing kernel  $\varphi_a$  of  $E_0(N(q))$ , i.e.,  $\varphi_a \in E_0(N(q))$  and

(4.2)  $E(\varphi_a, u) = u(a)$  for every  $u \in E_0(N(q))$ .

Since  $\varepsilon_x \in \mathbb{E}_0(N(q))$ , we have by (4.2)

$$\varepsilon_x(a) = D(\varepsilon_x, \varphi_a) + \sum_{z \in X} q(z)\varepsilon_x(z)\varphi_a(z)$$
$$= -\Delta \varphi_a(x) + q(x)\varphi_a(x) = -\Delta_a \varphi_a(x)$$

Namely  $\varphi_a$  is the q-Green function of N(q) with pole at a.

COROLLARY.  $\tilde{g}_a(a) = E(\tilde{g}_a) > 0.$ 

REMARK 4.1. In case q=0, the harmonic Green function  $g_a$  of N with pole at a is defined by the condition:

(4.3)  $g_a \in \mathcal{D}_0(N)$  and  $\Delta g_a(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x)$  on X.

The harmonic Green function  $g_a$  exists if and only if  $N \notin O_G$  (cf. [8]).

By (4.2), we obtain the following fundamental properties of  $\tilde{g}_a$ :

THEOREM 4.2.  $\tilde{g}_a(x) = \tilde{g}_x(a)$  for every  $a, x \in X$ ,

LEMMA 4.1. The function  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{g}_a/\tilde{g}_a(a)$  is the unique optimal solution of the extremum problem:

# (4.4) Minimize E(u) subject to $u \in E_0(N(q))$ and u(a) = 1.

Let T be a normal contraction of the real line R, i.e.,  $|Ts_1 - Ts_2| \le |s_1 - s_2|$  for every  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . For every  $u \in L(X)$ , we define  $Tu \in L(X)$  by (Tu)(x) = Tu(x) for  $x \in X$ . Since  $D(Tu) \le D(u)$  and  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)(Tu(x))^2 \le \sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x)^2$ , we have  $E(Tu) \le E(u)$  for every  $u \in E(N(q))$ . In our study, we often use the following normal contractions: (1) Ts = |s|, (2)  $Ts = \max(s, 0)$ , (3)  $Ts = \min(s, c)$  (c > 0).

LEMMA 4.2. Let T be a normal contraction of the real line. If  $u \in E_0(N(q))$ , then  $Tu \in E_0(N(q))$ .

PROOF. Let  $u \in E_0(N(q))$ . Then  $u \in D_0(N)$  and we proved in [9] that  $Tu \in D_0(N)$ . Since  $Tu \in E(N(q))$  by the above observation, we see by Theorem 3.1 that  $Tu \in E_0(N(q))$ .

THEOREM 4.3.  $0 < \tilde{g}_a(x) \leq \tilde{g}_a(a)$  on X.

**PROOF.** Let  $\tilde{u}$  be the function defined in Lemma 4.1. Since  $E(\max(\tilde{u}, 0)) \le E(\tilde{u})$  and  $E(\min(\tilde{u}, 1)) \le E(\tilde{u})$ , we have  $\max(\tilde{u}, 0) = \tilde{u}$  and  $\min(\tilde{u}, 1) = \tilde{u}$  by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, and hence  $0 \le \tilde{u} \le 1$  on X. We see by Theorem 2.2 that  $\tilde{u} > 0$  on X.

Let  $G' = \langle X', Y' \rangle$  be a finite subgraph of G and  $a \in X'$ . The q-Green function  $\tilde{g}'_a$  of N'(q) with pole at a is defined by the condition:

(4.5) 
$$\Delta_a \tilde{g}'_a(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x)$$
 on  $X'$  and  $\tilde{g}'_a(x) = 0$  on  $X - X'$ .

The existence and uniqueness of the q-Green function of N'(q) can be shown by the standard argument as above. Note that  $\tilde{g}'_a$  is characterized by the relation:

(4.6)  $E(u, \tilde{g}'_a) = u(a)$  for all  $u \in L(X)$  such that u = 0 on X - X'.

Furthermore we see that  $\tilde{u}' = \tilde{g}'_a/\tilde{g}'_a(a)$  is the optimal solution of the extremum problem:

(4.7) Minimize 
$$E(u)$$
 subject to  $u(a)=1$  and  $u=0$  on  $X-X'$ .

By the same reasoning as above, we obtain the following properties of  $\tilde{g}'_a$ :

(4.8) 
$$\tilde{g}'_a(x) = \tilde{g}'_x(a)$$
 for every  $a, x \in X'$ ,

$$(4.9) \qquad 0 < \tilde{g}'_a(x) \le \tilde{g}'_a(a) \quad \text{on} \quad X'$$

**REMARK** 4.2. The harmonic Green function  $g'_a$  of N' with pole at  $a \in X'$  is

defined by the condition:

(4.10) 
$$\Delta g'_a(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x)$$
 on X' and  $g'_a(x) = 0$  on  $X - X'$ .

We have  $0 \le \tilde{g}'_a(x) \le g'_a(x)$  on X by Theorem 2.5.

THEOREM 4.4. Let  $\{G_n\}$   $(G_n = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  be an exhaustion of G and  $a \in X_1$ . Denote by  $\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}$  the q-Green function of  $N_n(q)$  with pole at a. Then  $0 \leq \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x) \leq \tilde{g}_a^{(n+1)}(x) \leq \tilde{g}_a(x)$  on X and  $\{\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}\}$  converges pointwise to  $\tilde{g}_a$ .

**PROOF.** Put  $u = \tilde{g}_a^{(n+1)} - \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}$  and  $v = \tilde{g}_a - \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}$ . Then *u* and *v* are *q*-harmonic on  $X_n$  and non-negative on  $X - X_n$ . Thus *u* and *v* are non-negative on *X* by Theorem 2.1. Therefore  $0 \le \tilde{g}_a^{(n+1)}(x) \le \tilde{g}_a^{(x+1)}(x) \le \tilde{g}_a(x)$  on *X*. For m > n, we have

$$\begin{split} E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}, \ \tilde{g}_{a}^{(m)}) &= \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}(a) = E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}, \ \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}) \le \tilde{g}_{a}(a), \\ E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(m)} - \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}) &= E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(m)}) - 2E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(m)}, \ \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}) + E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}) \\ &= E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(m)}) - E(\tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}). \end{split}$$

It follows that  $\{\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}\}\$  is a Cauchy sequence in the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{E}_0(N(q))$ . There exists  $f \in \mathbb{E}_0(N(q))$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{g}_a^{(n)} - f) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $\{\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}\}\$  converges pointwise to f, we see that  $\Delta_q f(x) = -\varepsilon_q(x)$  on X, so that  $f = \tilde{g}_q$ .

COROLLARY.  $E(\tilde{g}_a^{(n)} - \tilde{g}_a) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$ 

THEOREM 4.5.  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) \tilde{g}_a(x) \leq 1$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $f_n$  be the characteristic function of  $X_n$ . Since  $\Delta \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x) = q(x)\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x) - \varepsilon_a(x)$  on  $X_n$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} q(x) \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}(x) = 1 + \sum_{x \in X} f_{n}(x) \left[ \varDelta \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}(x) \right] = 1 - D(f_{n}, \tilde{g}_{a}^{(n)}).$$

Denote by  $Z_n$  the set of all  $y \in Y$  which connects  $X_n$  and  $X - X_n$ , i.e.,  $y \in Z_n$  if and only if  $e(y) = \{x, x'\}$  with  $x \in X_n$  and  $x' \in X - X_n$ . For  $y \in Z_n$ , let x(y) be the node such that  $x(y) \in e(y)$  and  $x(y) \in X_n$ . We have for  $y \in Z_n$ 

$$df_n(y) = -r(y)^{-1}K(x(y), y),$$
  
$$d\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(y) = -r(y)^{-1}K(x(y), y)\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x(y)),$$

so that

$$\begin{split} D(f_n, \, \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}) &= \sum_{y \in Y} r(y) \left[ df_n(y) \right] \left[ d\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(y) \right] \\ &= \sum_{y \in Y} r(y)^{-1} K(x(y), \, y)^2 \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x(y)) \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) \tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x) \le 1$ . Our assertion follows from Theorem 4.4.

§5. The equality  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) \tilde{g}_a(x) = 1$ 

We are concerned with the inequality in Theorem 4.5.

LEMMA 5.1. Let  $\{G_n\}$   $(G_n = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  be an exhaustion of G and  $\Omega_n$  be the function defined in §2, i.e.,  $\Omega_n$  is q-harmonic on  $X_n$  and  $\Omega_n = 1$  on  $X - X_n$ . Then  $\Omega_n(x) = 1 - \sum_{z \in X_n} q(z) \tilde{g}_z^{(n)}(x)$ .

**PROOF.** Put  $u(x) = 1 - \sum_{z \in X_n} q(z) \tilde{g}_z^{(n)}(x)$ . For  $x \in X_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_q u(x) &= \Delta_q \mathbf{1}(x) - \sum_{z \in X_n} q(z) \Delta_q \tilde{g}_z^{(n)}(x) \\ &= -q(x) - \sum_{z \in X_n} q(z) \left[ -\varepsilon_z(x) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{g}_{z}^{(n)}(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in X - X_n$  and  $z \in X_n$ , we see that u = 1 on  $X - X_n$ . Thus  $u = \Omega_n$ .

By Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.1, we obtain

THEOREM 5.1. Let  $\Omega$  be the q-harmonic measure of the ideal boundary of N(q). Then  $\Omega(x) = 1 - \sum_{z \in X} q(z) \tilde{g}_z(x)$ .

THEOREM 5.2.  $\Omega = 0$  if and only if  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $u \in HB(N(q))$  and  $|u(x)| \le c$  on X. By the Corollary of Theorem 2.1, we have  $|u(x)| \le c\Omega_n(x)$  on X, and hence  $|u(x)| \le c\Omega(x)$  on X. Thus  $\Omega = 0$  implies  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ . Since  $\Omega \in HB(N(q))$ , the converse is clear.

By Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we have

THEOREM 5.3.  $\sum_{z \in X} q(z)\tilde{g}_z(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$  if and only if  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ .

REMARK 5.1. If  $\sum_{z \in X} q(z)\tilde{g}_z(a) = 1$  for some  $a \in X$ , then we see by Theorem 2.2 that  $\sum_{z \in X} q(z)\tilde{g}_z(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

THEOREM 5.4. If  $N \in O_G$ , then  $\sum_{z \in X} q(z)\tilde{g}_z(a) = 1$  for every  $a \in X$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $N \in O_G$ , there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $L_0(X)$  such that  $0 \le f_n \le 1$ ,  $D(f_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise to 1 (cf. [7]). We have

$$\sum_{z \in X} f_n(z) q(z) \tilde{g}_a(z) = \sum_{z \in X} f_n(z) \left[ \Delta \tilde{g}_a(z) + \varepsilon_a(z) \right]$$
$$= -D(f_n, \tilde{g}_a) + f_n(a).$$

Since  $0 \le f_n(z) \le 1$  on X and  $\sum_{z \in X} q(z) \tilde{g}_q(z) \le 1$ , we obtain

The Equation  $\Delta u = qu$  on an Infinite Network

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{z\in X}f_n(z)q(z)\tilde{g}_a(z)=\sum_{z\in X}q(z)\tilde{g}_a(z).$$

On the other hand, we have

 $|D(f_n, \tilde{g}_a)| \le D(f_n)^{1/2} D(\tilde{g}_a)^{1/2} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$ 

Thus we obtain the desired equality.

By Theorems 5.3 and 5.4, we obtain

THEOREM 5.5. If  $N \in O_G$ , then  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ .

This result has a counter part in [2] and [4].

We give an example which shows  $HB(N(q)) \neq \{0\}$ :

EXAMPLE 5.1. Let us consider the infinite graph  $G = \{X, Y, K\}$  shown as in the following figure, where  $X = \{x_n; n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$  and  $Y = \{y_n; n = 1, 2, ...\}$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \xrightarrow{y_1} & 0 \xrightarrow{y_2} & 0 \xrightarrow{y_3} & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{y_n} & 0 \xrightarrow{y_{n+1}} & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{y_{n+1}} \\ x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_n & x_{n+1} \end{array}$$

Here  $K(x_n, y_n) = 1$  and  $K(x_{n-1}, y_n) = -1$  for every positive integer *n* and K(x, y) = 0for any other pair. Let  $q \in L^+(X)$ ,  $q \neq 0$  and let  $r \in L(Y)$  be strictly positive. Then  $N(q) = \{G, r, q\}$  is an infinite network. For simplicity, we put  $q_n = q(x_n)$ ,  $r_n = r(y_n)$ ,  $u_n = u(x_n)$  and  $w_n = -du(y_n) = r_n^{-1}(u_n - u_{n-1})$ .

Note that  $\Delta u(x_0) = w_1$  and  $\Delta u(x_n) = w_{n+1} - w_n$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Thus  $u \in H(N(q))$  implies that  $w_1 = q_0 u_0$  and  $w_{n+1} - w_n = q_n u_n$ . It follows that

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + r_{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k u_k$$

for  $n \ge 0$ . In case  $u_0 \ge 0$ , we have  $u_{n+1} \ge u_n \ge u_0$ , so that

$$u_n + \alpha_n u_0 \le u_{n+1} \le (1 + \alpha_n) u_n$$
 with  $\alpha_n = r_{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n q_k$ .

Therefore  $(1 + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k) u_0 \le u_{n+1} \le [\prod_{k=0}^{n} (1 + \alpha_k)] u_0$ . It is well-known that  $\{\prod_{k=0}^{n} (1 + \alpha_k)\}$  converges if and only if  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty$ . We see that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded if and only if  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty$ . In case  $u_0 < 0$ , we may consider  $\{-u_n\}$  and obtain the same result. Since  $u_0 > 0$  implies  $u_n > 0$  for all n, we conclude that  $HB(N(q)) \ne \{0\}$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty$ . As special choices of  $\{r_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$ , we give three examples:

(1)  $r_n = 1$  and  $q_n = 1$ . In this case  $\alpha_n = n + 1$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$ .

(2)  $r_n = 2^{-n}$  and  $q_n = 1$ . In this case  $\alpha_n = (n+1)2^{-(n+1)}$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k < \infty$ .

(3)  $r_n = 2^{-n}$  and  $q_n = 2^n$ . In this case  $\alpha_n = 1 - 2^{-(n+1)}$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k = \infty$ .

Note that  $N \in O_G$  in (1) and that  $N \notin O_G$  in (2) and (3).

## §6. The case where $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$

We introduce the reproducing kernel of E(N(q)). Let  $a \in X$ . Then u(a) is a

continuous linear functional on E(N(q)) (cf. the proof of Theorem 4.1). By F. Riesz's theorem, we can find a unique  $\tilde{k}_a \in E(N(q))$  such that

(6.1)  $E(\tilde{k}_a, u) = u(a)$  for every  $u \in E(N(q))$ .

The following properties of  $\tilde{k}_a$  can be shown by the same reasoning as in §4:

(6.2) 
$$\Delta_q \tilde{k}_a(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x)$$
 on X.

(6.3)  $\tilde{k}_a(b) = \tilde{k}_b(a)$  for every  $a, b \in X$ .

(6.4)  $0 \le \tilde{k}_a(x) \le \tilde{k}_a(a)$  on X.

THEOREM 6.1.  $0 < \tilde{g}_a(x) \leq \tilde{k}_a(x)$  on X.

**PROOF.** Let  $\{G_n\}$  be an exhaustion of G and let  $\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}$  be the q-Green function of  $N_n(q)$  with pole at a. We have  $\tilde{g}_a^{(n)}(x) \leq \tilde{k}_a(x)$  on X by Theorem 2.1, and hence  $\tilde{g}_a(x) \leq \tilde{k}_a(x)$  on X.

In order to obtain more fine properties of  $\tilde{k}_a$ , we consider an exhaustion  $\{G_n\}$   $(G_n = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  of G and the quantities  $D_n(u, v)$ ,  $E_n(u, v)$  and  $E_n(u)$  defined by

 $D_n(u, v) = \sum_{y \in Y_n} r(y) [du(y)] [dv(y)],$  $E_n(u, v) = D_n(u, v) + \sum_{x \in X_n} q(x)u(x)v(x), \quad E_n(u) = E_n(u, u).$ 

Let  $a \in X_n$  and  $q \neq 0$  on  $X_n$ . Then  $L(X_n)$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product  $E_n(u, v)$ . Thus there exists a unique  $\tilde{k}_a^{(n)} \in L(X_n)$  such that

(6.5) 
$$E_n(\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}, u) = u(a)$$
 for every  $u \in L(X_n)$ .

We can show the following properties similarly:

(6.6)  $\Delta_q^{(n)} \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(x) = -\varepsilon_a(x) \quad \text{on} \quad X_n,$ 

where  $\Delta_q^{(n)}u(x) = \sum_{y \in Y_n} K(x, y)[du(y)] - q(x)u(x)$  and this is the q-Laplacian of  $u \in L(X_n)$  on the network  $N_n(q)$ .

(6.7)  $\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(b) = \tilde{k}_b^{(n)}(a) \text{ for every } a, b \in X_n.$ 

(6.8)  $0 \leq \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(x) \leq \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(a) \quad \text{on} \quad X_n.$ 

LEMMA 6.1.  $\sum_{x \in X_n} q(x) \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(x) = 1.$ 

**PROOF.** Since  $1 \in L(X_n)$  and d1(y)=0 on  $Y_n$ , we have by (6.5)

$$1 = E_n(\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}, 1) = D_n(\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}, 1) + \sum_{x \in X_n} q(x)\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{x \in X_n} q(x)\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(x).$$

LEMMA 6.2.  $\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{k}_a(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**PROOF.** For  $m \ge n \ge j$ , we have  $E_n(\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}, \tilde{k}_a^{(m)}) = \tilde{k}_a^{(m)}(a)$  and

(6.9) 
$$E_{j}(\tilde{k}_{a}^{(n)} - \tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)}) \leq E_{n}(\tilde{k}_{a}^{(n)} - \tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)})$$
$$= E_{n}(\tilde{k}_{a}^{(n)}) - 2E_{n}(\tilde{k}_{a}^{(n)}, \tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)}) + E_{n}(\tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)})$$
$$\leq \tilde{k}_{a}^{(n)}(a) - 2\tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)}(a) + E_{m}(\tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)})$$
$$= \tilde{k}_{a}^{(n)}(a) - \tilde{k}_{a}^{(m)}(a).$$

It follows that  $\{\tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(a)\}\$  is a decreasing sequence, so that the restriction of  $\tilde{k}_a^{(m)}$  to  $X_j$  converges pointwise to a function  $\tilde{u}$  on  $X_j$ . Since j is arbitrary, we obtain a function  $\tilde{u} \in L(X)$  such that  $\Delta_q \tilde{u}(x) = -\varepsilon_q(x)$  on X and

(6.10) 
$$E_n(\tilde{u} - \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} E_n(\tilde{k}_a^{(m)} - \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}) \le \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(a) - \tilde{u}(a).$$

Since  $E_n(\tilde{u} - \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}) = E_n(\tilde{u}) - 2\tilde{u}(a) + \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}(a)$ , we have  $E_n(\tilde{u}) \le \hat{u}(a)$  by (6.10), so that  $E(\tilde{u}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E_n(\tilde{u}) \le \tilde{u}(a) < \infty$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{u} \in E(N(q))$ . We shall show that  $E(\tilde{u}, v) = v(a)$  for every  $v \in E(N(q))$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that  $E_n(\tilde{u} - \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}) < \varepsilon^2$  for all  $n \ge n_0$  by (6.10). Since  $E_n(\tilde{u}, v) \to E(\tilde{u}, v)$  as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists  $n_1$  such that  $|E_n(\tilde{u}, v) - E(\tilde{u}, v)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ . For  $n \ge \max\{n_0, n_1\}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |E(\tilde{u}, v) - v(a)| &= |E(\tilde{u}, v) - E_n(k_a^{(n)}, v)| \\ &\leq |E_n(\tilde{u}, v) - E(\tilde{u}, v)| + |E_n(\tilde{u} - \tilde{k}_a^{(n)}, v)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + [E_n(\tilde{u} - \tilde{k}_a^{(n)})]^{1/2} [E_n(v)]^{1/2} \\ &\leq (1 + [E(v)]^{1/2})\varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $E(\tilde{u}, v) = v(a)$ , and hence  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{k}_a$ .

By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, we obtain

THEOREM 6.2.  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) \tilde{k}_a(x) \leq 1$  for every  $a \in X$ .

THEOREM 6.3. If  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ , then  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ . Then  $q \neq 0$  and  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x)\tilde{g}_a(x) = 1$  by Theorem 5.3, so that  $q(x)[\tilde{k}_a(x) - \tilde{g}_a(x)] = 0$  by Theorems 6.1 and 6.2. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that  $\tilde{k}_a(x) = \tilde{g}_a(x)$  on X for every  $a \in X$ . Let  $h \in HE(N(q))$ . For any  $a \in X$ , we have by Lemma 3.1

$$h(a) = E(\tilde{k}_a, h) = E(\tilde{g}_a, h) = 0.$$

Namely  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}.$ 

43

THEOREM 6.4. Assume that  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) < \infty$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $N \in O_G$ .

(b)  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}.$ 

(c)  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}.$ 

**PROOF.** By Theorems 5.5 and 6.3, we see that (a) implies (b) and that (b) implies (c) without the assumption  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) < \infty$ . Assume that  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$ . Then  $E_0(N(q)) = E(N(q))$  by the Corollary of Theorem 3.2, and hence  $N \in O_G$  by the Corollary 2 of Theorem 3.1.

REMARK 6.1. In case  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) = \infty$ ,  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$  does not imply  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$  in general. In fact, consider the network defined in Example 5.1 and let u be q-harmonic on X with  $u(x_0) > 0$ . Then we have  $u(x_{n+1}) \ge (1+\alpha_n) \ge u(x_0)$ , so that  $E(u) \ge \sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x)^2 \ge \sum_{x \in X} q(x)u(x_0)^2 = \infty$ . Hence  $HE(N(q)) = \{0\}$ . On the other hand, we can choose r and q in such a way that  $HB(N(q)) \ne \{0\}$ .

# §7. *q*-Green potentials

We define the q-Green potential  $\tilde{G}\mu$  of  $\mu \in L^+(X)$  and the mutual q-Green potential energy  $\tilde{G}(\mu, \nu)$  of  $\mu, \nu \in L^+(X)$  by

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{G}\mu(x) = \sum_{a \in X} \tilde{g}_a(x)\mu(x) \,, \\ & \tilde{G}(\mu, v) = \sum_{x \in X} \left[ \tilde{G}\mu(x) \right] v(x) \,. \end{split}$$

We call  $\tilde{G}(\mu, \mu)$  the q-Green potential energy of  $\mu$ . Let us put

$$M(\tilde{G}) = \{ \mu \in L^+(X); \ \tilde{G}\mu \in L(X) \},\$$
$$E(\tilde{G}) = \{ \mu \in L^+(X); \ \tilde{G}(\mu, \mu) < \infty \}.$$

Denote by  $SH^+(N(q))$  the set of all non-negative q-superharmonic functions on X.

We list the following results that can be proved by the same reasoning as in the case where q=0 (cf. [8]):

LEMMA 7.1.  $\Delta_{q}\tilde{G}\mu = -\mu$  for every  $\mu \in M(\tilde{G})$ .

THEOREM 7.1 (Riesz's decomposition). Every  $u \in SH^+(N(q))$  can be decomposed uniquely in the form:  $u = \tilde{G}\mu + h$ , where  $\mu \in M(\tilde{G})$  and h is non-negative and q-harmonic on X. In this decomposition,  $\mu = -\Delta_q u$  and h is the greatest q-harmonic minorant of u.

LEMMA 7.2. If  $\mu \in E(\tilde{G})$ , then  $\tilde{G}\mu \in E_0(N(q))$  and  $E(\tilde{G}\mu) = \tilde{G}(\mu, \mu)$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(N(q)) = \{ u \in \mathbb{E}_0(N(q)); \Delta_a u(x) \le 0 \text{ on } X \}.$$

THEOREM 7.2.  $\mathbb{P}(N(q)) = \{ \tilde{G}\mu; \mu \in E(\tilde{G}) \}.$ 

As an application of the above theory, we obtain another proof which shows that (b) implies (a) in Theorem 6.3.

THEOREM 7.3. If  $\sum_{x \in X} q(x) < \infty$ , then  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$  implies  $N \in O_G$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $HB(N(q)) = \{0\}$ . Then  $\sum_{z \in X} q(z)\tilde{g}_z(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$  by Theorem 5.3. Let us put  $v = \sum_{z \in X} q(z)\tilde{g}_z(x)$ . Then  $v = \tilde{G}\mu$  with  $\mu = -\Delta_q v = q \in L^+(X)$  and  $\tilde{G}(\mu, \mu) = \sum_{x \in X} q(x) < \infty$ , i.e.,  $\mu \in E(\tilde{G})$ . Thus  $v \in E_0(N(q)) \subset D_0(N)$  by Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 3.1. Therefore  $1 \in D_0(N)$  and hence  $N \in O_G$ .

## § 8. Dependence of the q-Green function on q

In order to study the dependence of the q-Green function  $\tilde{g}_a$  of the network N(q) on q, denote it by  $\tilde{g}_a^{(q)}$  in this section.

THEOREM 8.1. Let  $q_1, q_2 \in L^+(X)$ . If  $q_1 \leq q_2$  on X, then

(8.1) 
$$\tilde{g}_{a}^{(q_{1})}(x) - \tilde{g}_{a}^{(q_{2})}(x) = \sum_{z \in X} [q_{2}(z) - q_{1}(z)] \tilde{g}_{a}^{(q_{1})}(z) \tilde{g}_{x}^{(q_{2})}(z).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\{G_n\}$   $(G_n = \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle)$  be an exhaustion of G and let  $a, x \in X_n$ . Denote by  $u_n$  the  $q_1$ -Green function of  $N_n(q_1)$  with pole at a and by  $v_n$  the  $q_2$ -Green function on  $N_n(q_2)$  with pole at x. Then we have by (4.6)

$$u_n(x) = D(u_n, v_n) + \sum_{z \in X} q_2(x)u_n(z)v_n(z),$$
  
$$v_n(a) = D(u_n, v_n) + \sum_{z \in X} q_1(z)u_nv_n(z),$$

so that

(8.2) 
$$u_n(x) - v_n(a) = \sum_{z \in X} [q_2(z) - q_1(z)] u_n(z) v_n(z).$$

Since  $q_1 \le q_2$  on X and  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  increase to  $\tilde{g}_a^{(q_1)}$  and  $\tilde{g}_x^{(q_2)}$  by Theorem 4.4, we obtain (8.1) by letting  $n \to \infty$  in (8.2).

COROLLARY 1. If 
$$q_2 \ge q_1 \ge 0$$
, then  $\tilde{g}_a^{(q_1)}(x) \ge \tilde{g}_a^{(q_2)}(x)$  on X.

COROLLARY 2. If  $N \notin O_G$ , then

(8.3) 
$$g_a(x) - \tilde{g}_a^{(q)}(x) = \sum_{z \in X} q(x) g_a(z) \tilde{g}_x^{(q)}(z)$$

THEOREM 8.2. If  $\{q_n\}$  increases to q, then  $\{\tilde{g}_a^{(q_n)}\}$  decreases to  $\tilde{g}_a^{(q)}$ .

**PROOF.** Put  $u_n = \tilde{g}_a^{(q_n)}$ ,  $v = \tilde{g}_x^{(q)}$  and  $u = \tilde{g}_a^{(q)}$ . We have by Theorem 8.1

$$0 \le u_n(x) - u(x) = \sum_{z \in X} [q(z) - q_n(z)] u_n(z) v(z).$$

Since  $\{[q(z)-q_n(z)]u_n(z)\}$  decreases to 0 for all z, we have the assertion.

#### References

- F-Y. Maeda, Boundary value problems for the equation *Au-qu=0* with respect to an ideal boundary, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A-I, 32 (1968), 85-146.
- F-Y. Maeda, Classification theory of nonlinear functional-harmonic spaces, Hiroshima Math. J. 7 (1977), 147-152.
- [3] M. Nakai, The space of non-negative solutions of the equation  $\Delta u = pu$  on a Riemann surface, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 12 (1960), 151–178.
- [4] M. Ozawa, Classification of Riemann surfaces, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep. 3 (1952), 63-76.
- [5] M. Ozawa, Some classes of positive solutions of  $\Delta u = Pu$  on Riemann surfaces, I, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 4 (1954), 121–126.
- [6] M. Ozawa, A set of capacity zero and the equation  $\Delta u = Pu$ , Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 12 (1960), 76-81.
- [7] M. Yamasaki, Parabolic and hyperbolic infinite networks, Hiroshima Math. J. 7 (1977), 135-146.
- [8] M. Yamasaki, Discrete potentials on an infinite network, Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ. 13 (1979), 31-44.
- [9] M. Yamasaki, Discretre Dirichlet potentials on an infinite network, RIMS Kokyuroku 610 (1987), 51-66.