Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ., 20, pp. 1–7 Dec. 20, 1986

# Matrices of Clifford Semigroups, and a Generalization of Rees's Theorem<sup>1)</sup>

### Miyuki Yamada

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan (Received September 6, 1986)

Let S be a completely regular semigroup, and E(S) the partial subgroupoid of idempotents of S. Let  $\gamma$  be a relation on E(S). If  $\gamma$  is a congruence on E(S), that is, if  $\gamma$  is an equivalence relation on E(S) and if  $x\gamma y$  and  $u\gamma v$  satisfy  $xu\gamma yv$  (if both xu and yv are defined in E(S)), then S is called a CS-matrix. Firstly, several characterizations of a CS-matrix are given. Secondly, split CS-matrices are investigated. In particular, matrix representations of these semigroups are discussed.

## §1. Preliminary

Let P be a partial groupoid, and  $\gamma$  a relation on P as follows:

- (1.1)  $x \gamma y$  if and only if both xy and yx are defined in P, and xy = yx. If  $\gamma$  is a congruence on P, that is,
- (1.2) (1)  $x \gamma x$  for all  $x \in P$ ,
  - (2)  $x \gamma y$  implies  $y \gamma x$ ,
  - (3)  $x \gamma y, y \gamma z$  imply  $x \gamma z$ ,
  - (4) if  $x \gamma y$ ,  $u \gamma v$  and if both xu and yv are defined in P, then  $xu \gamma yv$ ,

then P is called  $\gamma$ -compatible. In a completely simple semigroup C, it is obvious that the partial groupoid E(C) of idempotents of C (with respect to the multiplication in C) is  $\gamma$ -compatible. If the partial groupoid E(S) of idempotents of a regular semigroup S is  $\gamma$ -compatible, then S is called  $\gamma$ -compatible. If a semigroup A is a rectangular band  $\Delta$  of subsemigroups  $\{A_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  of type  $\mathcal{T}$ , then we shall say that A is a matrix  $\Delta$  of semigroups  $\{A_{\delta} : \delta \in \Delta\}$  of type  $\mathcal{T}$ . If A is a matrix of semigroups of type  $\mathcal{T}$ , then A is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -semigroup matrix. For example, if A is a rectangular band of subgroups then A is called a group matrix. If A is a rectangular band of Clifford subsemigroups (that is, semilattices of groups), then A is called a Clifford semigroup matrix (abbrev.,

<sup>1)</sup> An abstract of this paper was announced in the Proceedings of 9th Symposium on Semigroups and Related Topics, Naruto University of Teacher Education, 1985.

a CS-matrix).<sup>2)</sup> Let B be a  $\gamma$ -compatible band, and  $\rho$  the least semilattice congruence on B. It is well-known that each  $\rho$ -class is a rectangular subband of B (therefore, B is a semilattice of rectangular bands). Now, it is also easy to see that  $\rho \cap \gamma = c_s$  (the identity congruence on B). Hence, B is isomorphic to a subdirect product of  $B/\rho$  and  $B/\gamma$ . Let  $e, f \in B$ . Since efee = eefe, efe  $\gamma e$ . Hence,  $B/\gamma$  is a rectangular band. Since  $B/\rho$  is a semilattice, B is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a semilattice and a rectangular band.

Further, it is easy to see that the converse also holds; that is, a band B is  $\gamma$ -compatible if and only if it is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a semilattice and a rectangular band. Accordingly, in this case B is a rectangular band of semilattices, that is, a semilattice matrix (abbrev., an SL-matrix). Of course, an SL-matrix is a normal band. In this paper, we shall investigate the structure of CS-matrices and that of split CS-matrices. If S is a completely regular semigroup, it is well-known that S is uniquely decomposed into a semilattice  $\Lambda$  of completely simple semigroups  $\{S_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  (see [1]). This decomposition is called the structure decomposition of S, and denoted by  $S \sim \Sigma\{S_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ .

Hereafter the terminology "a completely regular semigroup  $S \equiv \Sigma \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in A\}$ " means "S is a completely regular semigroup and has  $S \sim \Sigma \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in A\}$  as its structure decomposition". Further, it is also well-known that the least semilattice congruence  $\rho$  on a completely regular semigroup S induces the structure decomposition of S. Throughout the whole paper, if S is a completely regular semigroup,  $\rho_S$  and  $\gamma_S$  denote the least semilattice congruence on S and the  $\gamma$ -congruence on E(S) respectively. Every terminology and notation should be referred to [1], unless otherwise stated.

### §2. CS-matrices

Let S be a  $\gamma$ -compatible completely regular semigroup, and E(S) the set of all idempotents of S. Since  $\gamma$  is an equivalence relation on E(S), E(S) is decomposed into  $\gamma$ -equivalence classes  $\{E_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  (where each  $E_{\lambda}$  is a  $\gamma$ -class). Now, put  $S_{\lambda} = \{x \in S: xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\lambda} \text{ for some } x^* \in V(x)\}$ , where V(x) is the set of inverses of x.

**LEMMA 2.1.** (1) Each  $S_{\lambda}$  is a maximal Clifford subsemigroup of S.

(2)  $\mathscr{S} = \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is the set of all maximal Clifford subsemigroups, and  $S = \Sigma\{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  (where  $\Sigma$  denotes disjoint sum).

**PROOF.** It is obvious that each  $E_{\lambda}$  is a subsemilattice of S. Let  $x \in S_{\lambda}$ . Then,  $xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\lambda}$  for some  $x^* \in V(x)$ . For any  $e \in E_{\lambda}$ ,  $xex^*$  is an idempotent and  $xx^*xex^* = xex^* = xex^*xx^*$ . Hence,  $xx^*yxex^*$ , and accordingly  $xex^* \in E_{\lambda}$ . Therefore,  $xE_{\lambda}x^* \subset$ 

<sup>2)</sup> Recently, the structure of CS-matrices has been also studied by Pastijn and Petrich [3], and the paper [3] has appeared after the author announced the abstract of this paper in the above-mentioned proceedings of the symposium. Some parts of this paper overlap with results of [3], though proofs and approach are quite different.

 $E_{\lambda}$ . Similarly, we have  $x^*E_{\lambda}x \subset E_{\lambda}$ . Hence,  $S_{\lambda} = \{x \in S : xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\lambda}, xE_{\lambda}x^* \subset E_{\lambda}, x^*E_{\lambda}x \subset E_{\lambda} \text{ for some } x^* \in V(x)\}$ . Therefore,  $S_{\lambda}$  is a maximal regular subsemigroup having  $E_{\lambda}$  as the set of idempotents. Since  $E_{\lambda}$  is a semilattice,  $S_{\lambda}$  is an inverse semigroup. Now, let  $x \in S_{\lambda}$ . Then,  $xx^*$ ,  $x^*x \in E_{\lambda}$  for some  $x^* \in V(x)$ . Since S is completely regular, there exists the group inverse  $x^{-1}$  of x. Now,  $xx^*\gamma x^*x$  implies  $xx^*xx^{-1}\gamma x^*xxx^{-1} = x^*xx^{-1}x$ , and accordingly  $xx^{-1}\gamma x^*x$ . Therefore,  $xx^{-1} \in E_{\lambda}$ . Thus,  $x^{-1} \in S_{\lambda}$ . That is, x has the group inverse  $x^{-1}$  in  $S_{\lambda}$ . Consequently,  $S_{\lambda}$  is a union of groups, and accordingly  $S_{\lambda}$  is a Clifford semigroup.

(2) Let T be a maximal Clifford subsemigroup of S. For any  $e, f \in E(T)$  (the set of idempotents of T), ef=fe. Hence,  $e \gamma f$ , and accordingly  $e, f \in E_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore,  $E(T) \subset E_{\lambda}$ , and  $T \subset S_{\lambda}$ . Since T is a maximal Clifford subsemigroup,  $T = S_{\lambda}$ . Thus,  $\mathscr{S}$  is the set of all maximal Clifford subsemigroups of S. It is obvious that  $S = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Assume that  $x \in S_{\lambda} \cap S_{\delta}$ . Then, there exist  $x^*, x^* \in V(x)$  such that  $xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\lambda}$  and  $xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\delta}$ . Since  $xx^*\gamma x^*x$  and  $xx^*\gamma x^*x$ , we have  $xx^* = xxx^*\gamma x^*xx^*x = x^*x$ . Hence,  $xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\lambda} \cap E_{\delta}$ , and  $\lambda = \delta$ . Therefore,  $S_{\lambda} \cap S_{\delta} = \Box$  for  $\lambda \neq \delta$ .

As characterizations of a CS-matrix, we have the following<sup>3</sup>):

**THEOREM 2.2.** For a completely regular semigroup S, the following conditions (1)–(6) are equivalent:

- (1) S is the disjoint sum of maximal Clifford subsemigroups of S.
- (2) S is  $\gamma$ -compatible.
- (3) S is a matrix of Clifford semigroups, that is, S is a CS-matrix.

(4) For the least matrix congruence (that is, the least rectangular band congruence)  $\sigma_s$  on S, each  $\sigma_s$ -class is a Clifford subsemigroup.

- (5) S is an SL-matrix cryptogroup (that is, an SL-matrix of groups).
- (6) The relation  $\tau$  on S defined by

(2.1) 
$$x \tau y$$
 if and only if  $[x][y] = [y][x]$ 

is a matrix congruence, and [x][y] = [y][x] if and only if [xy][yx] = [yx][xy], where [u] denotes the identity of the maximal subgroup  $H_u$  containing u.

PROOF. (2)=(1) follows from Lemma 2.1, and (1)=(3) has been shown in Pastijn [2]. Further, it is easy to see that (3)=(2). (3)=(4): Since S is a CS-matrix, there exists a matrix congruence  $\eta_S$  on S such that each  $\eta_S$ -class is a Clifford subsemigroup. Let e, f be idempotents of a  $\eta_S$ -class. Then, ef=fe. Hence,  $e\sigma_S efe=fef\sigma_S f$ . Therefore,  $e\sigma_S f$ . Let  $x\eta_S$  be the  $\eta_S$ -class containing  $x \in S$ . For any  $y \in x\eta_S$ , there exists a unique inverse y' of y in  $x\eta_S$ . For  $a \in S$ , let  $\bar{a}$  be the  $\sigma_S$ -class containing a. Now,  $xx'\sigma_S x'x$  implies  $\overline{xx'}=\overline{x'x}$ , and hence  $\bar{x}=\bar{x'}$ , that is,  $x\sigma_S x'$ . Hence,  $xx'\sigma_S x$ .

<sup>3)</sup> Several other characterizations of a CS-matrix have been also given by [3].

Let  $y \in x\eta_s$ . Then,  $x\eta_s = y\eta_s$ , and  $yy'\sigma_s y$ . Since  $yy'\eta_s xx'$ , we have  $yy'\sigma_s xx'$ , and  $x \sigma_S y$ . Therefore,  $y \in x \sigma_S$ . Thus,  $x \eta_S \subset x \sigma_S$ . Since  $\sigma_S$  is the least matrix congruence,  $\eta_s = \sigma_s$ . (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Obvious. (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5): It is easy to see that  $\sigma_s \cap \rho_s = \mathscr{H}_s$ , where  $\mathscr{H}_S$  is the Rees *H*-relation on *S*. Put  $S/\sigma_S = \Lambda$  and  $S/\rho_S = Y$ . Then, *S* is *H*compatible,<sup>4)</sup> and  $S/\mathscr{H}_S$  is isomorphic to a subdirect product  $\Lambda \otimes Y$  of  $\Lambda$  and Y (where  $\ll$  denotes a subdirect product). Since  $\Lambda \ll Y$  is an SL-matrix and since each  $\mathscr{H}_{s}$ -class is a subgroup of S, S is an SL-matrix cryptogroup.  $(5)\Rightarrow(3)$ : Obvious.  $(6)\Rightarrow(4)$ : It is obvious that each  $\sigma_s$ -class is a union of groups. Let  $e \sigma_s f$  for  $e, f \in E(S)$ . Hence,  $ef\sigma_s fe$ . Since  $\sigma_s$  is the least matrix congruence,  $ef\tau fe$ . Therefore, [ef][fe] = [fe][ef]and [e][f]=[f][e], that is, ef=fe. Thus, every  $\sigma_s$ -class is an inverse semigroup, and hence it is a Clifford subsemigroup. (5) $\Rightarrow$ (6): Let S be an SL-matrix  $\Lambda \otimes Y$  of groups  $\{H_i^{\alpha}: (\alpha, i) \in \Lambda \otimes Y\}$ , where  $\Lambda$ , Y are a rectangular band and a semilattice respectively, and  $\Lambda \otimes Y$  is a subdirect product of  $\Lambda$  and Y. It is obvious that each  $H_i^{\alpha}$  is an H-class of S. Suppose that [x][y] = [y][x] for  $x, y \in S$ . Then,  $(xy)\mathcal{H}_S = (yx)\mathcal{H}_S$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_S$ is the *H*-relation on *S*. Hence, [xy][yx] = [yx][xy]. Conversely, suppose that [xy][yx] = [yx][xy]. There exist  $H_i^{\lambda}$ ,  $H_j^{\delta}$  such that  $x \in H_i^{\lambda}$  and  $y \in H_j^{\delta}$ . Then,  $xy \in H_{ij}^{\lambda\delta}$  and  $yx \in H_{ji}^{\delta\lambda}$ . Hence,  $[xy][yx] \in H_{iji}^{\lambda\delta\lambda}$  and  $[yx][xy] \in H_{jij}^{\delta\lambda\delta}$ , and hence  $\lambda = \delta$ . For any  $\xi \in \Lambda$ ,  $S_{\xi} = \bigcup \{H_k^{\xi} : (\xi, k) \in \Lambda \otimes Y, k \in Y\}$  is a Clifford subsemigroup of S. Since  $[x] \in H_i^{\lambda}$  and  $[y] \in H_j^{\lambda}$  and since  $S_{\lambda}$  is a Clifford semigroup, [x][y] = [y][x]. Next, suppose that  $x \tau y$ . Then, [x][y] = [y][x], and hence  $x, y \in S_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \in A$ . Let  $\tau^*$  be the congruence on S which gives the decomposition of S into the Clifford subsemigroups  $\{S_{\xi}: \xi \in \Lambda\}$ . Then,  $\tau^*$  is a matrix congruence and satisfies  $\tau \subset \tau^*$ . Conversely, it is obvious that  $\tau^* \subset \tau$ . Accordingly,  $\tau = \tau^*$ . Thus,  $\tau$  is a matrix congruence.

From the theorem above, it is easy to see that a matrix decomposition (that is, a rectangular band decomposition) of a CS-matrix S into Clifford subsemigroups  $\{C_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is unique, and it is given by the least matrix congruence  $\sigma_S$  on S. In this case, each  $C_{\alpha}$  is a maximal Clifford subsemigroup of S. Further, it is also obvious that  $\sigma_S |E(S)|$  (the restriction of  $\sigma_S$  to  $E(S)| = \gamma_S$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let S be a CS-matrix, and  $\{E_{\lambda}: \lambda \in A\}$  the  $\gamma$ -classes of E(S). Then,  $E_{\lambda}SE_{\lambda}$  is a Clifford subsemigroup of S, and  $S = \bigcup \{E_{\lambda}SE_{\lambda}: \lambda \in A\}$ .

PROOF. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , let  $S_{\lambda} = \{x \in S : xx^*, x^*x \in E_{\lambda} \text{ for some } x^* \in V(x)\}$ . As was shown above,  $S_{\lambda}$  is a maximal Clifford subsemigroup. Hence, it is a  $\sigma_S$ -class. Therefore, we can consider  $\Lambda$  as a rectangular band and S as a matrix  $\Lambda$  of the maximal Clifford subsemigroups  $\{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is a rectangular band,  $E_{\lambda}SE_{\lambda} \subset S_{\lambda}$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Conversely, let  $x \in S_{\lambda}$ . Then, there exists a group inverse  $x^{-1}$  of x in  $S_{\lambda}$ . Hence,  $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x \in E_{\lambda}$ , and hence  $x = xx^{-1}xx^{-1}x \in E_{\lambda}SE_{\lambda}$ . Hence,  $E_{\lambda}SE_{\lambda} = S_{\lambda}$ .

To consider a description of all possible CS-matrices, we need only to construct all

<sup>4)</sup> A semigroup S is said to be H-compatible if Green's H-relation is a congruence on S.

possible *SL*-matrices  $\Omega$  of groups  $\{N_{\omega}: \omega \in \Omega\}$  for a given *SL*-matrix  $\Omega$  and given groups  $\{H_{\omega}: \omega \in \Omega\}$ . This can be obtained as a special case of Schein's theorem of [4] which has given a construction of bands of unipotent monoids (see also [5]). However, we omit to state it here again.

## §3. Split CS-matrices

Let  $M \equiv \Sigma\{M_{\lambda}: \lambda \in A\}$  be a CS-matrix, and  $\rho_M$  the congruence which gives the structure decomposition  $M \sim \Sigma\{M_{\lambda}: \lambda \in A\}$ . We can consider  $M/\rho_M = A$  by identifying each  $\rho_M$ -class  $M_{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda \in A$ . Let f be the natural homomorphism of M onto  $M/\rho_M = A$ ; that is, the homomorphism f such that  $xf = \lambda$  if  $x \in M_{\lambda}$ . If there exists a homomorphism g of  $A = M/\rho_M$  into M such that  $gf = \varepsilon_A$  (the identity mapping on A), then M is called *split*. Let  $M \equiv \{M_{\lambda}: \lambda \in A\}$  be a CS-matrix. Then, there exists an SL-matrix  $(L \times R) \otimes A$ , where L, R are a left zero semigroup, a right zero semigroup,  $L \times R$  the direct product of L and R and  $(L \times R) \otimes A$  a subdirect product of  $L \times R$  and A, such that M is an SL-matrix  $(L \times R) \otimes A$  of groups  $\{H_{(i,j)}^{\alpha}: ((i, j), \alpha) \in (L \times R) \otimes A\}$  (where each  $H_{(i,j)}^{\alpha}$  is an H-class of M). In this case, it is easy to see that  $M_{\lambda} = \Sigma\{H_{(i,j)}^{\lambda}: (i, j) \in I_{\lambda}\}$ , where  $I_{\lambda} = \{(i, j): ((i, j), \lambda) \in (L \times R) \otimes A\}$ , for each  $\lambda \in A$ .

LEMMA 3.1. M splits if and only if

(3.1) there exists  $(i, j) \in L \times R$  such that  $H^{\alpha}_{(i, j)}$  exists in M for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that M satisfies (3.1). There exists  $(i, j) \in L \times R$  such that  $H^{\alpha}_{(i,j)}$  exists in M for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Let  $C_{(i,j)} = \bigcup \{H^{\alpha}_{(i,j)} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ . Then,  $C_{(i,j)}$  is a Clifford subsemigroup. Let  $e_{(i,j)}^{\alpha}$  be the idempotent of  $H_{(i,j)}^{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Now, define f:  $\Lambda \to M$  by  $\alpha f = e^{\alpha}_{(i,j)}$ . Since  $C_{(i,j)}$  is a Clifford semigroup, f is a homomorphism. On the other hand, the mapping  $h: M \to A$  defined by  $M_{\alpha}h = \{\alpha\}$  is a homomorphism of M onto  $\Lambda$ . The congruence induced by h is  $\rho_M$ . Since  $fh = \varepsilon_A$  (the identity mapping on  $\Lambda$ ), M splits. Conversely, suppose that M splits. Then, there exists a surjective homomorphism  $f: M/\rho_M \to M$  such that  $fh = \varepsilon_{M/\rho_M}$ , where h is the natural homomorphism of M onto  $M/\rho_M$ . If we identify an element  $M_\lambda$  of  $M/\rho_M$  with  $\lambda$ , then we can consider h and f as a surjective homomorphism of M onto  $\Lambda$  and a homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  into M such that  $fh = \varepsilon_{\Lambda}$ . For every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , let  $\alpha f = e_{\alpha}$ . Then,  $e_{\alpha} \in M_{\alpha}$ . Hence, there exists  $(u, v) \in L \times R$  such that  $e_{\alpha} = e_{(u,v)}^{\alpha}$  and  $((u, v), \alpha) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$ . For  $\beta \in \Lambda$ , similarly there exists  $(s, k) \in L \times R$  such that  $((s, k), \beta) \in (L \times R) \otimes A$  and  $e_{\beta} = e_{(s,k)}^{\beta}$ . Now,  $e_{\alpha}e_{\beta} = (\alpha f)(\beta f) = (\alpha\beta)f = (\beta\alpha)f = (\beta f)(\alpha f) = e_{\beta}e_{\alpha}$ . Hence,  $e_{(u,v)}^{\alpha}e_{(s,k)}^{\beta} = e_{(s,k)}^{\beta}e_{(u,v)}^{\alpha}$ . Since  $e^{\alpha}_{(u,v)}e^{\beta}_{(s,k)}$ ,  $e^{\alpha}_{(s,k)}e^{\alpha}_{(u,v)}$  are idempotents contained in  $H^{\alpha\beta}_{(u,k)}$ ,  $H^{\alpha\beta}_{(s,v)}$  respectively, u = s and k = v. Thus, (u, v) = (s, k). Consequently,  $H^{\lambda}_{(u, v)}$  exists for every  $\lambda \in A$ .

Now, let  $M \sim \Sigma\{M_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the above-mentioned split CS-matrix. Then, M is an SL-matrix  $(L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$  of H-classes  $\{H_{(i,j)}^{\alpha} : ((i,j), \alpha) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda\}$ .

#### Miyuki Yamada

Further, there exists  $(i, j) \in L \times R$  such that  $H^{\alpha}_{(i, j)}$  exists for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Denote (i, j) by (1, 1), and put  $C_{(1,1)} = \bigcup \{H^{\alpha}_{(1,1)} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ . It is obvious that  $C_{(1,1)}$  is a Clifford subsemigroup of M. Let  $I_{\alpha} = \{(i, j) \in L \times R : ((i, j), \alpha) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda\}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Then,  $M_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{H^{\alpha}_{(s,k)} : (s, k) \in I_{\alpha}\}$ . For any  $x \in H^{\alpha}_{(s,k)}$ , x is uniquely written in the form  $x = e^{\alpha}_{s1}ue^{\alpha}_{1k}$ ,  $u \in H^{\alpha}_{(1,1)}$ , where  $e^{\alpha}_{sk}$  is the identity of  $H^{\alpha}_{(s,k)}$  for every  $((s, k), \alpha) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$  (see [1]). For  $x = e^{\delta}_{i1}ue^{\delta}_{1j} \in H^{\delta}_{(i,j)}$ ,  $y = e^{\eta}_{s1}ve^{\eta}_{1k} \in H^{\eta}_{(s,k)}$ ,  $u \in H^{\delta}_{(1,1)}$  and  $v \in H^{\eta}_{(1,1)}$ ,

$$(3.2) xy = e_{i_1}^{\delta} u e_{1j}^{\delta} e_{s_1}^{\eta} v e_{1k}^{\eta} = e_{i_1}^{\delta} u e_{11}^{\delta\eta} p_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)} e_{11}^{\delta\eta} v e_{1k}^{\eta}, \text{ where } e_{1j}^{\delta} e_{s_1}^{\eta} = p_{is}^{(\delta,\eta)} \in H_{(1,1)}^{\delta\eta}$$

Now,

$$(3.2) = e_{i1}^{\delta} e_{i1}^{\delta\eta} u e_{11}^{\delta\eta} p_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)} e_{11}^{\delta\eta} v e_{1k}^{\delta\eta} e_{1k}^{\eta}.$$

Next,  $(e_{i1}^{\delta}e_{i1}^{\delta\eta})^2 = e_{i1}^{\delta}e_{i1}^{\delta\eta} = e_{i1}^{\delta}e_{i1}^{\delta\eta}$ . Hence,  $e_{i1}^{\delta}e_{i1}^{\delta\eta}$  is an idempotent of  $H_{(i,1)}^{\delta\eta}$ , and hence  $e_{i1}^{\delta\eta}e_{i1}^{\delta\eta} = e_{i1}^{\delta\eta}$ . Thus,  $(3.2) = e_{i1}^{\delta}(ue_{11}^{\delta\eta}p_{js}^{\delta,\eta})e_{11}^{\delta\eta}v)e_{1k}^{\delta\eta}$ . Put  $e_{11}^{\delta\eta}p_{js}^{\delta,\eta}e_{11}^{\delta\eta} = q_{js}^{\delta,\eta}$ . Then,  $q_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)} \in H_{(1,1)}^{\delta\eta}$  and  $q_{11}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = e_{11}^{\alpha\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ . Further,  $(3.2) = e_{i1}^{\delta\eta}(uq_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)}v)e_{1k}^{\delta\eta}$ . It is easy to see that  $uq_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)}v \in C_{(1,1)}$  and the product of  $u, q_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)}$  and v can be obtained in the semigroup  $C_{(1,1)}$ . Hence, if we rewrite x, y in the form  $x = [u]_{ij}^{\delta}, y = [v]_{sk}^{\eta}$ , then

$$xy = [u]_{ij}^{\delta} [v]_{sk}^{\eta} = [uq_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)}v]_{ik}^{\delta\eta}$$

and  $M = \{ [u]_{ij}^{\delta} : ((i, j), \delta) \in (L \times R) \otimes A, u \in H_{(1,1)}^{\delta} \}$ . Since  $([u_{ij}^{\delta}][v]_{sk}^{\eta}][t]_{mn}^{\xi} = [u]_{ij}^{\delta}([v]_{sk}^{\eta}[t]_{mn}^{\xi})$ . we have

$$\left[uq_{is}^{(\delta,\eta)}vq_{km}^{(\delta\eta,\xi)}t\right]_{in}^{\delta\eta\xi} = \left[uq_{is}^{(\delta,\eta\xi)}vq_{km}^{(\eta,\xi)}t\right]_{in}^{\delta\eta\xi}$$

Hence,

$$(3.3) \qquad q_{js}^{(\delta\eta)} v q_{km}^{(\delta\eta,\xi)} = q_{js}^{(\delta,\eta\xi)} v q_{km}^{(\eta,\xi)} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_{11}^{\eta}.$$

Conversely, let L, R and  $\Lambda$  be a left zero semigroup, a right zero semigroup and a semilattice respectively. Let  $(L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$  be an SL-matrix, where  $\otimes$  denotes a subdirect product, and assume that there exists  $(s, k) \in L \times R$  such that  $((s, k), \alpha) (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

Denote (s, k) by (1, 1). Let  $C(\Lambda)$  be a semilattice  $\Lambda$  of groups  $\{H_{(1,1)}^{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ . Of course,  $C(\Lambda)$  is a Clifford semigroup. Put  $M = \{[u]_{ij}^{\delta}: u \in H_{(1,1)}^{\delta}, ((i, j), \delta) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda\}$ . For  $\delta, \eta, j, s$  such that  $((1, j), \delta), ((s, 1), \eta) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$ , let  $q_{js}^{(\delta, \eta)}$  be an element of  $H_{(1,1)}^{\delta\eta}$ . Put  $Q = \{q_{js}^{(\delta,\eta)}: ((1, j), \delta), ((s, 1), \eta) \in (L \times R) \otimes \Lambda\}$ . Assume that Q satisfies (3.3) for  $((1, j), \delta), ((s, 1), \eta), ((1, k), \eta)$  and  $((m, 1), \xi)$  of  $(L \times R) \otimes \Lambda$  and the following (3.4):

(3.4)  $q_{11}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = e_{11}^{\alpha\beta}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ , where  $e_{11}^{\tau}$  is the identity of  $H_{(1,1)}^{\tau}$ .

In this case, if multiplication is defined in M by

$$[u]_{ii}^{\delta}[v]_{sk}^{\eta} = [uq_{is}^{(\delta,\eta)}v]_{ik}^{\delta\eta},$$

then *M* becomes a split *CS*-matrix. The set *Q* above is called *the sandwich matrix* of *M* over the Clifford semigroup  $C(\Lambda)$ , and the split *CS*-matrix *M* above is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}((L \times R) \otimes \Lambda; C(\Lambda); Q)$ .

Now, it follows from the results above that:

THEOREM 3.2.  $\mathcal{M}((L \times R) \otimes \Lambda; C(\Lambda); Q)$  is a split CS-matrix. Conversely, every split CS-matrix can be obtained in this way.

REMARK. In Theorem 3.2, consider the case where  $\Lambda$  consists of a single element  $\alpha$  and  $C(\Lambda)$  is a group  $H^{\alpha}_{(1,1)}$ . Then,  $Q = \{q_{js}^{(\alpha,\alpha)} : (j,s) \in R \times L\}$ . Denote  $q_{js}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$  simply by  $q_{js}$ , and  $[u]^{\alpha}_{ij}$  simply by  $[u]_{ij}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}((L \times R) \otimes \{\alpha\}; H^{\alpha}_{(1,1)}; Q) = \{[u]_{ij}: (i, j) \in L \times R\}$  and

$$[u]_{ij}[v]_{ks} = [uq_{jk}v]_{is}.$$

That is, it is the regular Rees  $L \times R$ -matrix semigroup with sandwich matrix Q over the group  $H^{\alpha}_{(1,1)}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{M}((L \times R) \rtimes \Lambda; C(\Lambda); Q)$  in Theorem 3.2 is a generalization of the concept of a regular Rees matrix semigroup.

#### References

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The algebraic theory of semigroups, I, II, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961 and 1967.
- [2] F. J. Pastijn, Rectangular bands of inverse semigroups, Simon Stevin 56 (1982), 1-97.
- [3] F. J. Pastijn and Mario Petrich, Rees matrix semigroups over inverse semigroups, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 102A (1986), 61–90.
- [4] B. M. Schein, Bands of unipotent monoids, Semigroup Forum 6 (1973), 75-79.
- [5] M. Yamada, Construction of a certain class of regular semigroups with special involution, Proceedings of the 1984 Marquette Conference on Semigroups, 1984, 229-240.