Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ., 20, pp. 37–42 Dec. 20, 1986

## A Description of $q\bar{q}$ and $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ Mesons and High-energy Forward $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ Reaction

## Taketoshi INO

Department of Physics, Shimane University, Matsue 690 (Received September 6, 1986)

We have recently presented a model for  $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$  scattering, involving the  $q\bar{q}$  and  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  mesonic resonances. The model has a dual term involving the  $q\bar{q}$  mesons and a short-range correction term doing the  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  mesons. Here, we discuss how does the correction term modify Regge asymptotic behaviours of the dual term.

Experimental informations on the scalar mesons<sup>1)~5</sup> indicate that the meson spectrum can not be understood only by the quark-model  $q\bar{q}$  states. In fact, in addition to well-established scalar mesons S(975),  $\delta(980)$ ,  $\varepsilon(1300)$  and  $\kappa(1350)$ ,<sup>1)</sup> there are promising candidates for the scalar mesons,  $\varepsilon(\sim 800)$ ,<sup>2),3)</sup>  $\delta'(\sim 1300)^{4)}$  and an  $s\bar{s}$  component.<sup>5</sup> It may be reasonable to assign the  $\varepsilon(1300)$  and  $\kappa(1350)$  and  $\delta'(\sim 1300)$  and an  $s\bar{s}$  component in Ref. 5) to the  $q\bar{q}$  <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> states, because their masses are near to the masses of the f(1270),  $K^*(1430)$ ,  $A_2(1320)$  and  $f'(1525)^{1)}$  (which are assigned to the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>2</sub> states) and those of the D(1285),  $Q_A(1340)$ ,<sup>\*)</sup> A(1270) and  $E(1420)^{1)}$  (to the <sup>3</sup>P<sub>1</sub> ones).

The remaining low-lying S(975),  $\delta$ (980) and  $\epsilon$ (~800) do not, however, possess corresponding  $q\bar{q}$  states.

An interesting understanding of the low-lying  $\varepsilon(\sim 800)$ , S(975) and  $\delta(980)$  is to assign them to the lightest cryptoexotic  $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  nonet, supposing the existence of other components  $\kappa$  (and  $\bar{\kappa}$ ) with mass  $\simeq 900$  MeV.<sup>6</sup>) Following Jaffe<sup>6</sup>) who has studied the S-wave  $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  states in a semi-classical approximation to the MIT bag model, the states are analogous to the usual S-wave  $q\bar{q}$  mesons and have large widths in mesonic channels, because they preferentially decay by just falling apart into two  $q\bar{q}$  mesons. (They are not baryonium-like states,<sup>7</sup>) and they are often denoted by  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ , which we also use hereafter.) As has been also discussed by Jaffe, the lowest  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  nonet lies, in mass, lower than the  $q\bar{q}$  <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> nonet. The lightness of the lowest  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  nonet is mainly due to the short-range spin-spin force mediated by one-gluon exchange.

Recently we have made a discussion about the remaining problem: How is the scattering amplitude involving the  $q\bar{q}$  and  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  mesons written?<sup>8)</sup> We have paid attention to that the  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  states, i.e., the S-wave  $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  states are quite different from

<sup>\*)</sup> The observed I=1/2, S=1 axial-vector mesons are the Q(1280) and Q(1400).<sup>1)</sup> The  $Q_A$  is one of the two G eigenstates.

## Taketoshi INO

the  $l(\geq 1)$   $qq - \bar{q}\bar{q}$  (diquark-antidiquark) states.<sup>7),9)</sup> The  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  states are analogous to the usual S-wave  $q\bar{q}$  mesons, as already stated above. While, the  $l(\geq 1)$   $qq - \bar{q}\bar{q}$ ones are corresponding to elongated bag structures.<sup>7),9)</sup> Since their couplings to mesonic channels are suppressed by centrifugal barrier effects, only their couplings to baryon-antibaryon channels will be important.<sup>7),9)</sup> Therefore, as far as the meson-meson scattering is considered, only the  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  states are concerned in the shortrange correction to the dual amplitude involving the  $q\bar{q}$  resonances. As for the details of the correction term for the  $0^{-}0^{-}\rightarrow 0^{-}0^{-}$  scattering, only the  $0^+ q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  states contribute practically to the term. (The  $2^+ q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  states can not dissociate into two  $0^$ mesons.<sup>6),\*)</sup>) This is very important. The correction term never spoils the highenergy behaviour of the dual term. However, the correction term somewhat changes high-energy behaviours of the dual term. In this paper, we discuss high-energy behaviours of the amplitude composed of the dual and short-range correction terms.

First, for the  $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$  scattering, we state details of the present model. For the  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  scattering, the present model is illustrated by the quark diagram in Fig. 1. A uniquely determined  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  dual resonance amplitude recently obtained by Munakata, Sakamoto and the author<sup>10</sup> is taken as the dual term. It is obtained, starting with the most general Veneziano-type amplitude in terms of the exchange-degenerate  $\rho - f$  Regge trajectory, which has just the degree of freedom to provide an arbitrary residue at each of parents and their daughters, and restricting the most general Veneziano-type amplitude by two conditions; the local duality scheme<sup>11</sup> involving the harmonic-oscillator spectrum of  $SU(6) \otimes O(3)_L$  multiplets, and an asymptotic convergence condition. It is

$$F(s, t) = -\lambda(1-\beta^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)! (2n-1+\beta)(2n-3+\beta)} \times \left(\frac{\Gamma(n-\alpha_s)\Gamma(n-\alpha_t)}{\Gamma(n-\alpha_s-\alpha_t)} + \frac{(1-\beta)}{2} \frac{\Gamma(n-\alpha_s)\Gamma(n-\alpha_t)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha_s-\alpha_t)}\right).$$
(1)

Here,  $\alpha_s \equiv \alpha' s + \alpha_0$  is the  $\rho - f$  trajectory, and  $\lambda$  is a constant, and  $\beta$  is  $\beta \equiv 2 - 3\alpha_0 - 4\alpha' m_{\pi}^2$ . As for the correction term, we consider only the lightest multiplet for simplicity, although there are four  $0^+ q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  multiplets.<sup>6)</sup> (It is noted that the heavier multiplets ( $9^*$  and  $36^*$ ) couple only weakly to  $0^-0^-$  channels.<sup>6)</sup>) The lightest multiplet is a nonet, to which the  $\epsilon(\sim 800)$ ,  $\kappa(\sim 900)$ ,  $\delta(980)$  and S(975) are assigned. We note also that the  $\delta(980)$  and S(975) components of the lightest nonet, whose quark contents are  $\{u\bar{d}s\bar{s}$  etc. \} and  $s\bar{s}(u\bar{u}+d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ , are forbidden to decay into  $\pi\pi$  by the OZI rule. We tentatively write down the  $\epsilon(\sim 800)$  exchange amplitude in the narrow-width approximation which is used to construct the dual term,

$$\frac{g_{\varepsilon(\sim800)\pi\pi}^2 m_{\varepsilon(\sim800)}^2}{m_{\varepsilon(\sim800)}^2 - s} + \frac{g_{\varepsilon(\sim800)\pi\pi}^2 m_{\varepsilon(\sim800)}^2}{m_{\varepsilon(\sim800)}^2 - t}.$$
 (2)

<sup>\*)</sup> The decay products of the  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  mesons must be S-wave  $q\bar{q}$  mesons in relative S waves.<sup>6</sup>)

A Description of  $q\bar{q}$  and  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  Mesons and High-energy Forward  $\pi^{-}\pi^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}$  Reaction 39

The whole amplitude A(s, t) for  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  scattering in the present model is Eq. (1) plus Eq. (2). With input

$$m_{\pi} = 0.140 \text{ GeV}, \quad \alpha' = 0.888 \text{ (GeV})^{-2}, \quad \alpha_0 = 0.475, \quad \lambda = -0.743,$$
 (3)

and  $m_{\epsilon(\sim 800)} = 0.8$  GeV and the kinematics in  $\pi\pi$  scattering, the present model reproduces experiments on partial decay widths and S-wave scattering lengths fairly well and satisfies Adler's PCAC consistency condition<sup>12</sup> and the Adler-Weisberger sum rule.<sup>13</sup> (It is noted that  $\lambda$  is adjusted by observed  $\rho \rightarrow 2\pi$  width.)



Fig. 1. The present model for  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  scattering. The dual term involves  $q\bar{q}$  resonances, and its Regge behaviour reflects the long-range confining force. The correction to it involves the  $J^P = 0^+$  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  states, i.e., the S-wave  $0^+ qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$  states and has a fixed and short force range.

Now, we discuss high-energy behaviours of the amplitudes in the present model. The charge-exchange  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$  amplitude in the present model is

$$A(\pi^{-}\pi^{+} \longrightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}) = \frac{1}{2} [F(t, u) - F(s, t) - F(s, u)] - \frac{g_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)\pi\pi}^{2}m_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)}^{2}}{m_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)}^{2} - s}, \quad (4)$$

and its dual term has the asyptotic form

$$\frac{1}{2} [F(t, u) - F(s, t) - F(s, u)]$$

$$\xrightarrow[s \to \infty, t \text{ fixed}]{} \frac{1}{2} [\lambda \Gamma(1 - \alpha_t) I(\alpha_t) \alpha_s^{\alpha_t} - \lambda \Gamma(1 - \alpha_t) e^{-i\pi\alpha_t} I(\alpha_t) \alpha_s^{\alpha_t}].$$
(5)

Here,

$$I(\alpha_{t}) = -\frac{(1-\beta^{2})}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_{t})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n-\alpha_{t})}{(n-1)! (2n-1+\beta)(2n-3+\beta)}$$
  
=  $F\left(1-\alpha_{t}, \frac{\beta-1}{2}, \frac{\beta+3}{2}; 1\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+\beta}{2}\right)\Gamma(1+\alpha_{t})}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\beta}{2}+\alpha_{t}\right)}, \text{ (Re } (3+\beta) > 0, \text{ Re } (1+\alpha_{t}) > 0).$   
(6)

In Eq. (6), F(a, b, c; z) is Gauss's hypergeometric function.

In Fig. 2, we compare the high-energy behaviour of amplitude (4) with that of its dual term, at a dipion mass of 1.8 GeV and in the forward direction  $0 \ge t \ge -1$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup> where the Born amplitude may be dominant.



Fig. 2. Comparison of the  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$  am-plitude in the present model with its dual term at a high energy.

Similar comparisons are made for the  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  and  $\pi^+\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+$  scattering

A Description of  $q\bar{q}$  and  $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$  Mesons and High-energy Forward  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$  Reaction 41 in Figs. 3 and 4. As for the  $\pi^+\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+$  amplitude in the present model, it is

$$0.1 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{-}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{-}\pi^{+} \\ M_{\pi\pi}\pi^{=}1.8 \text{ GeV} \\ -\cdots : |dual + cor.|^{2} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ M_{\pi\pi}\pi^{=}1.8 \text{ GeV} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ M_{\pi\pi}\pi^{=}1.8 \text{ GeV} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ M_{\pi\pi}\pi^{=}1.8 \text{ GeV} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ M_{\pi\pi}\pi^{=}1.8 \text{ GeV} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ M_{\pi\pi}\pi^{=}1.8 \text{ GeV} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} 10 \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dual|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dua|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \Rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ -\cdots : |dua|^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi^{+}\pi^{+}$$

$$A(\pi^{+}\pi^{+} \longrightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{+}) = F(t, u) + \frac{g_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)\pi\pi}^{2}m_{(\varepsilon\sim 800)}^{2}}{m_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)}^{2} - t} + \frac{g_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)\pi\pi}^{2}m_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)}^{2}}{m_{\varepsilon(\sim 800)}^{2} - u}.$$
 (7)



Fig. 4. Comparison of the  $\pi^+\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+$ amplitude in the present model with its dual term at a high energy.

From Figs. 2, 3 and 4, we see the following:

(a) In the charge-exchange  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$  process, the high-energy behaviour of the dual term is a little modified by the  $\varepsilon(\sim 800)$  contribution. At higher energies, such a feature is retained, because the  $\varepsilon(\sim 800)$  appears only in the s-channel. Therefore, it will be difficult to find effects of the  $\varepsilon(\sim 800)$  on this process at high energies, although the diffraction scattering is absent from this process.

(b) In the  $\pi^+\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+$  scattering, the high-energy behaviour of the dual term is considerably modified by the  $\varepsilon(\sim 800)$  exchange. Such modification becomes larger at higher energies. However, the diffraction scattering seems to dominate at higher energies ( $M_{\pi\pi} > 2 \text{ GeV}$ ), judging from experiments on  $\pi^-\pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  scattering at the dipion masses up to 3.5 GeV.<sup>14</sup>)

## References

- 1) Particle Date Group Tables 1984, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56 (1984), S1.
- 2) N. M. Cason et al., Phys. Rev. D28 (1983), 1586.
- 3) G. Mennessier, Z. Phys. C16 (1983), 241.
- 4) A. D. Martin et al., Phys. Lett. 74B (1978), 417.
- 5) A. Etkin et al., Phys. Rev. D25 (1982), 2446.
- 6) R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977), 267.
- 7) L. Montanet, G. C. Rossi and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rep. 63C (1980), 149.
- 8) T. Ino, to be published.
- 9) R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978), 1444.
- 10) T. Ino, Y. Munakata and J. Sakamoto, Prog. Theor, Phys. 73 (1985), 143.
- 11) T. Ino, Prog. Theor. Phys. 61 (1979), 1863; 62 (1979), 1177; 71 (1984), 864.
- 12) S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. 137 (1965), B1022.
- S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965), B736.
   W. I. Weisberger, Phys. Rev. 143 (1966), 1302.
- 14) C. Bromberg et al., Phys. Rev. D29 (1984), 588.