

1 **Title Page**

2 **Title:** Frequency of alcohol drinking modifies the association between salt intake and
3 albuminuria: A 1-year observational study

4 **Authors:** Ryuichi Yoshimura,^{1,2} Ryohei Yamamoto,^{1,3,4} Maki Shinzawa,¹ Ryohei Tomi,¹
5 Shingo Ozaki,¹ Yoshiyuki Fujii,¹ Takafumi Ito,² Kazuaki Tanabe,⁵ Yasuaki Moriguchi,⁶
6 Yoshitaka Isaka,¹ and Toshiki Moriyama^{1,3,4}

7 **Affiliations:** ¹Department of Nephrology, Osaka University Graduate School of
8 Medicine, Suita, Japan; ²Division of Nephrology, Shimane University Hospital, Izumo,
9 Japan; ³Health and Counseling Center, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Japan; ⁴Health
10 promotion and regulation, Department of Health Promotion Medicine, Osaka University
11 Graduate School of Medicine, Toyonaka, Japan; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine IV,
12 Shimane University Faculty of Medicine, Izumo, Japan; ⁶Shionogi Health Insurance
13 Association, Osaka, Japan

14 **Corresponding author:** Ryohei Yamamoto, MD, PhD

15 Affiliation: Health and Counseling Center, Osaka University

16 Address: 1-17 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, 560-0043 Japan

17 E-mail: yamamoto@hacc.osaka-u.ac.jp

18 Tel: +81-6-6850-6002

1 Fax: +81-6-6850-6005

2 **Funding:** The present study was funded by Shionogi & Co., Ltd.

1 **Abstract and key words**

2 **Abstract:** Albuminuria is an important risk factor for end-stage kidney disease and
3 cardiovascular mortality. This 1-year observational study aimed to assess the effect
4 modification of alcohol drinking on the association between salt intake and albuminuria.
5 Overall, 448 employees at a pharmaceutical company in Japan who underwent annual
6 health checkups in both 2017 and 2018 were evaluated. The main exposure of interest
7 was drinking frequency at their first checkups categorized as rarely, occasionally, and
8 daily. To assess the association between the changes in salt intake and albuminuria, the
9 differences in salt intake estimated from single-spot urine specimens and the urinary
10 albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) between 2017 and 2018 were calculated for each
11 subject. A multivariable-adjusted linear regression model showed a significant
12 association between Δ salt intake and Δ Log UACR (per 1 g/day of Δ salt intake, adjusted
13 β 0.16 [95% confidence interval 0.14, 0.19]) and an effect modification between
14 drinking frequency and Δ salt intake (P for interaction = 0.088). The association between
15 Δ salt intake and Δ Log UACR was enhanced by drinking frequency in a dose-dependent
16 manner (per 1 g/day of Δ salt intake, adjusted β 0.13 [0.06, 0.19], 0.16 [0.12, 0.20], and
17 0.20 [0.13, 0.27] in rare, occasional, and daily drinkers, respectively). In conclusion, the
18 results of the present study indicated that salt-induced albuminuria was enhanced in

1 subjects with higher drinking frequency, suggesting that salt restriction may have a

2 stronger renoprotective effect in subjects with higher drinking frequency.

3 **Keywords:** alcohol drinking, albuminuria, salt intake

4

1 **Introduction**

2 Albuminuria, one of the essential characteristics of chronic kidney disease
3 (CKD),^{1, 2} is a strong prognostic factor for cardiometabolic diseases, including
4 hypertension,³ diabetes,⁴ and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs);^{5, 6} end-stage kidney
5 disease (ESKD);^{7, 8} and mortality.^{9, 10} Even urinary albumin levels within the upper limit
6 of normal are associated with CKD¹¹ and cardiometabolic diseases.^{12, 13} Because
7 reduction in urinary albumin is associated with a lower risk of ESKD^{14, 15} and
8 cardiovascular mortality,¹⁶ urinary albumin is a valid surrogate endpoint for CKD in
9 addition to glomerular filtration rate (GFR).¹⁷ Thus, albuminuria is one of the pivotal
10 therapeutic targets for preventing the incidence of ESKD¹⁸ and CVDs.¹⁹

11 Among dietary factors, including salt, protein,²⁰ fat,²¹ and sugar,²² salt plays a
12 pivotal role in the incidence of albuminuria.^{23, 24} Interestingly, previous cross-sectional
13 studies suggested that the association between salt intake and albuminuria was enhanced
14 in those with hypertension²⁵ and obesity,²⁶ suggesting that salt restriction may be more
15 renoprotective in these subjects. Aside from hypertensive and obese subjects, drinkers
16 may be potential candidates to benefit from salt restriction, as indicated by an enhanced
17 association between salt intake and stroke mortality in drinkers.²⁷ Although alcohol
18 drinking was identified as a risk factor for albuminuria in a large prospective cohort

1 study (i.e., the Australian Diabetes, Obesity, and Lifestyle (AusDiab) study),²⁸ few
2 studies have assessed the effect modification of alcohol drinking on the association
3 between salt intake and albuminuria.

4 The aim of the present 1-year observational study was to evaluate the clinical
5 impact of drinking frequency on the association between salt intake and albuminuria in
6 448 employees of a pharmaceutical company in Japan. The present study provides deep
7 insight into the mechanism of the deleterious effect of alcohol drinking on the kidney
8 and stresses the clinical value of salt restriction in drinkers.

9

1 **Methods**

2 **Study Design and Participants**

3 This 1-year observational study included 507 employees at a pharmaceutical
4 company who underwent annual health checkups in both 2017 and 2018 and gave
5 informed consent to participate in the present study. We excluded 55 (10.8%) employees
6 who had a positive answer to the question “Do you take antihypertensive medications
7 now?”, regardless of blood pressure levels; 3 (0.6%) employees with self-reported
8 kidney disease, who answered “I have been diagnosed with kidney disease”; and 1
9 (0.2%) female who was pregnant in either 2017 or 2018. The present study finally
10 included 448 (88.4%) employees without current use of antihypertensive drugs or a
11 history of kidney disease. Because of the prospective nature of the present study, the
12 sample size was dependent on the number of employees of the company.

13 The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of SHIONOGI &
14 CO., LTD., Health and Counseling Center, Osaka University and Osaka University
15 Hospital.

16 **Measurements**

17 Baseline demographic, physical, and laboratory data in 2017 included age; sex;
18 drinking frequency; smoking status; current treatment for hypertension, dyslipidemia,

1 and diabetes; history of kidney disease; body mass index (= body weight [kg]/height
2 [m]²); systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP); hemoglobin A1c; serum
3 concentration of total cholesterol, triglycerides, and creatinine; and urine concentration
4 of albumin, sodium, and creatinine. Urinary levels of albumin, sodium, and creatinine
5 were measured using single-spot urine specimens. Albuminuria was assessed using the
6 urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR), which was calculated as follows: UACR
7 (mg/gCr) = (urinary albumin [mg/dL]/urinary creatinine [mg/dL]) × 1000. Tanaka's
8 equation²⁹ was used to estimate the 24-hour sodium excretion: estimated 24-hour
9 sodium excretion [mEq/day] = 21.98 × (urinary sodium [mEq/L]/[urinary creatinine
10 [mg/dL] × 10] × 14.89 × body weight [kg] + 16.14 × height [cm] – 2.04 × age [year] –
11 2244.45)^{0.392}. Salt intake (g/day) was calculated by multiplying the 24-hour sodium
12 excretion (mEq/day) by 0.0585. Estimated GFR (eGFR) was calculated using a three-
13 variable equation modified for Japanese patients: eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) = 194 × age
14 (year)^{-0.287} × serum creatinine (mg/dL)^{-1.094} × 0.739 (if female).³⁰

15 Drinking frequency, smoking status, and current treatment for dyslipidemia and
16 diabetes were assessed using self-reported standard questionnaires. Drinking frequency
17 was determined by the question “How often do you drink alcoholic beverages?” with
18 responses of rarely, occasionally, or daily. Smoking status was classified into non-

1 smokers, past smokers, and current smokers, according to the question “Do you
2 smoke?” with possible answers “I do not smoke,” “I quit smoking,” or “I smoke”.
3 Current treatments for dyslipidemia and diabetes were assessed based on positive
4 answers to the question, “Do you take a lipid-lowering drug now?” and “Do you take an
5 antidiabetic drug now?”

6 The outcome measures of the present study were the differences in UACR
7 between 2017 and 2018. We calculated Δ UACR and Δ Log UACR as follows:

8
$$\Delta\text{UACR [mg/gCr]} = \text{UACR in 2018} - \text{UACR in 2017}$$

9
$$\Delta\text{Log UACR [log mg/gCr]} = \text{Log UACR in 2018} - \text{Log UACR in 2017}$$

10 To evaluate the association between the changes in salt intake and albuminuria, we
11 calculated the difference in salt intake between 2017 and 2018 as follows:

12
$$\Delta\text{Salt intake (g/day)} = \text{salt intake in 2018} - \text{salt intake in 2017}$$

13 In addition, the participants were divided into tertile groups according to Δ salt intake.

14 Drinking frequency was also ascertained in 2018 to assess how the baseline drinking
15 frequency reflected the drinking frequency during the follow-up period.

16 **Statistical Analysis**

17 The baseline characteristics, Δ salt intake, and Δ UACR of the participants were
18 compared according to drinking frequency (rare, occasional, and daily) and tertiles of

1 Δ salt intake (first, second, and third) using ANOVA, the Kruskal-Wallis test, or the chi-
2 square test, as appropriate. Reproducibility of the baseline drinking frequency 1 year
3 after the baseline visit was evaluated using weighted Cohen's kappa statistics. Kappa
4 statistics of <0.40, 0.41–0.60, 0.61–0.80, and 0.81–1.00 indicated fair, moderate,
5 substantial, and almost perfect reproducibility, respectively.³¹

6 The association between Δ salt intake and Δ Log UACR was evaluated using
7 simple linear regression models and multivariable linear regression models adjusted for
8 the conventional risk factors for albuminuria at the baseline checkup, including age, sex,
9 smoking status, drinking frequency, current treatment for dyslipidemia and diabetes,
10 body mass index, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, triglycerides, hemoglobin
11 A1c, eGFR, UACR, and salt intake. Effect modification between Δ salt intake and the
12 baseline drinking frequency was evaluated by incorporating their interaction term into
13 the multivariable-adjusted model. P for interaction <0.10 was regarded as statistically
14 significant. To clarify the interaction between Δ salt intake and drinking frequency, the
15 association of Δ salt intake (a continuous variable [per 1 g/day] or a categorical variable
16 of first [T1], second [T2], and third [T3] tertiles) and Δ Log UACR was assessed in 3
17 subgroups stratified according to drinking frequency using multivariable-adjusted linear
18 regression models.

1 Continuous variables are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation or median
2 (interquartile range), as appropriate, and categorical variables as number (proportion).
3 Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$, unless otherwise specified. All statistical
4 analyses were performed using Stata, version 16.1 (Stata Corp, www.stata.com).

1 **Results**

2 The baseline characteristics of the 448 participants stratified by the 3 categories
3 of drinking frequency are shown in Table 1. Rare drinkers had lower body mass index,
4 whereas daily drinkers were likely to be older and have higher levels of blood pressure
5 and UACR. Compared with rare drinkers, the prevalence of current smokers was higher
6 among those who drank more frequently. With respect to the reproducibility of the
7 drinking frequency in 2017 and 2018, the weighted kappa statistic was 0.88, suggesting
8 that the baseline drinking frequency in 2017 reflected the drinking frequency in 2018.
9 Regarding the 1-year changes in salt intake and albuminuria, Δ salt intake and Δ UACR
10 were 0.1 ± 2.0 g/day and 1 (-9, 14) mg/gCr, respectively. Drinking frequency was not
11 associated with either Δ salt intake or Δ UACR.

12 Differences in the baseline characteristics according to the tertiles of Δ salt
13 intake are listed in Table 2. Those in the first tertile were more likely to have higher
14 eGFR. Compared with those in the first tertile, those in the second and third tertiles had
15 lower levels of baseline UACR and salt intake.

16 Unadjusted linear regression models showed a significant association between
17 Δ salt intake and Δ Log UACR (Table 3). After adjusting for clinically relevant factors,
18 Δ salt intake was still significantly associated with Δ Log UACR (per 1 g/day of Δ salt

1 intake, adjusted β 0.16 [95% confidence interval 0.14, 0.19], $P < 0.001$), indicating that a
2 1 g/day increase in salt intake resulted in an $e^{0.16} = 1.17$ times increase in UACR.

3 Because of a significant interaction between drinking frequency and Δ salt
4 intake in an adjusted model including Δ Log UACR as a dependent variable (P for
5 interaction = 0.088 in Table 3), we evaluated the association between Δ salt intake and
6 Δ Log UACR according to the category of drinking frequency separately. The significant
7 association between Δ salt intake and Δ Log UACR increased in drinkers with higher
8 frequency (per 1 g/day of Δ salt intake, adjusted β 0.13 [0.06, 0.19], $P < 0.001$ in rare
9 drinkers; 0.16 [0.12, 0.20], $P < 0.001$ in occasional drinkers; 0.20 [0.13, 0.27], $P < 0.001$
10 in daily drinkers) (Table 3).

11 To clarify any dose-dependent association between Δ salt intake and Δ Log
12 UACR, we calculated the multivariable-adjusted β of tertiles (T1, T2, and T3) of Δ salt
13 intake in each category of drinking frequency (Figure 1). With reference to the first
14 tertile of Δ salt intake, the adjusted β for the third tertile was 0.51 [0.21, 0.80] ($P =$
15 0.001) in rare drinkers, 0.60 [0.39, 0.80] ($P < 0.001$) in occasional drinkers, and 0.88
16 [0.57, 1.19] ($P < 0.001$) in daily drinkers, indicating that compared with the UACR of
17 the individuals in the first tertile of Δ salt intake, the UACR of the individuals in the
18 third tertile of Δ salt intake increased by factors of $e^{0.51} = 1.66$, $e^{0.60} = 1.81$, and $e^{0.88} =$

1 2.40 in rare, occasional, and daily drinkers, respectively. These results suggested that
2 compared with rare drinkers, the association between Δ salt intake and Δ Log UACR was
3 enhanced by approximately 1.5 times in daily drinkers (multivariable-adjusted β of
4 Δ salt intake [per Δ 1 g/day], $0.20/0.13 = 1.5$ in Table 3; multivariable-adjusted β of T3
5 vs. T1, $2.40/1.66 = 1.4$ in Figure 1).

1 **Discussion**

2 Few studies have assessed the effect modification of alcohol drinking on the
3 association between salt intake and albuminuria. The present study showed that drinking
4 frequency enhanced the association between salt intake and albuminuria, suggesting that
5 salt restriction may be more effective in reducing albuminuria in drinkers than non-
6 drinkers. One of the advantages of the present study was its longitudinal study design,
7 in contrast to the previous cross-sectional studies suggesting the enhanced association
8 between salt intake and albuminuria in subjects with hypertension²⁵ and obesity.²⁶ The
9 results of the present study provided clinically useful evidence to identify the subjects
10 vulnerable to salt-induced albuminuria, which is one of the risk factors for
11 cardiometabolic disease,^{3, 4} ESKD,^{7, 8} and cardiovascular mortality.^{9, 10}

12 The AusDiab study, a prospective study with a 5-year follow-up, reported that
13 alcohol drinking was a modifiable risk factor for albuminuria,²⁸ but evidence on the
14 clinical impact of alcohol drinking on the association between salt intake and
15 albuminuria remains limited. The effect modification of alcohol drinking on the
16 association between salt intake and albuminuria in the present study may be explained
17 by the deleterious effect of alcohol drinking on the kidney.^{28, 32} One of the potential
18 mechanisms by which alcohol drinking enhanced the association between salt intake

1 and albuminuria may be salt sensitivity. In our previous study that used the same cohort
2 as the present study, drinking frequency modified the association of salt intake and
3 blood pressure, suggesting that alcohol drinking enhanced salt sensitivity.³³ An Italian
4 trial reported that urinary albumin levels significantly increased after salt loading in salt-
5 sensitive subjects but not in salt-resistant subjects.³⁴ The results of these studies might
6 suggest that subjects with alcohol-induced salt sensitivity were more vulnerable to
7 albuminuria. A similar modification between alcohol drinking and salt intake was
8 reported in a large Japanese cohort study that showed an enhanced association between
9 salt intake and stroke mortality in heavy drinkers.²⁷ Alcohol drinking may be one of the
10 key predictors of salt-induced noncommunicable diseases.

11 One of the major pathophysiologies of salt sensitivity is the impairment of NO-
12 dependent vascular relaxation by decreasing NO in the vascular endothelium due to the
13 suppression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS).³⁵ Alcohol suppresses the
14 expression of eNOS³⁶ and impairs endothelial function,³⁷ leading to salt sensitivity.³³ An
15 interesting association between salt sensitivity and salt-induced albuminuria was
16 reported in an Italian trial. The change in albuminuria after salt loading (low-sodium
17 diet of 20 mEq/day to high-sodium diet of 250 mEq/day) was significantly larger in 12
18 subjects with salt sensitivity than in 10 subjects without salt sensitivity, suggesting that

1 salt sensitivity enhanced the association between salt intake and albuminuria.³⁴

2 Collectively, these results indicate that alcohol-enhanced salt sensitivity possibly
3 augments salt-induced albuminuria. Further studies are essential to clarify the
4 association between alcohol consumption and albuminuria.

5 The present study has several limitations. First, only a single spot urine
6 specimen was measured in 2017 and 2018 in the present study; therefore, the estimation
7 of salt intake in each subject was less accurate than those based on multiple
8 measurements of 24-hour urine specimens. To evaluate Δ salt intake and Δ UACR more
9 precisely, multiple measurements of 24-hour urine specimens are necessary. Second, the
10 association between salt intake and albuminuria was not strictly measured in each
11 subject using an interventional method of salt loading.³⁴ Because the present study
12 assessed the association between changes in salt intake and albuminuria in a certain
13 group of subjects, not in each subject, the results of the present study might be biased.
14 The effect modification of drinking frequency on the association between salt intake and
15 albuminuria should be assessed in detail using the interventional method of salt loading.
16 Third, the generalizability of the results of the present study should be verified in
17 different cohorts. In this study, the mean estimated salt intake at the baseline visit was
18 8.4 g/day, which was lower than that identified in previous studies.^{38, 39} A Japanese

1 study of 2,073 healthy adults reported an average salt intake of 10.6 g/day estimated
2 using spot urine specimens.⁴⁰ Fourth, self-reported drinking frequency might be biased.
3 Several studies have shown that alcohol consumption was likely to be underreported.⁴¹,
4 ⁴² Given that daily drinkers who underreported their drinking frequency were
5 misclassified as occasional or rare drinkers, the association between Δ salt intake and
6 Δ UACR in occasional and rare drinkers was potentially enhanced, and therefore, the
7 interaction between drinking frequency and Δ salt intake was attenuated, leading to no
8 significant P value for the interaction. If we could control this underreporting bias, the
9 association between Δ salt intake and Δ UACR in daily drinkers would be stronger than
10 that in rare drinkers, leading to a smaller P value for the interaction between drinking
11 frequency and Δ salt intake compared with the P value for the interaction observed in the
12 present study. Fifth, lifestyle modification during the follow-up period might affect the
13 effect of drinking frequency on salt-induced albuminuria, leading to biased results in the
14 present study. If some daily drinkers switched to occasional or rare drinkers during the
15 observational period, therefore attenuating the association between Δ salt intake and
16 Δ UACR, the difference in the association between Δ salt intake and Δ UACR among
17 rare, occasional, and daily drinkers at the baseline checkup would be reduced, resulting
18 in a weaker interaction between drinking frequency and Δ salt intake. Similar to the

1 fourth limitation described above, if we could control for lifestyle modification during
2 the follow-up period, the interaction between drinking frequency and Δ salt intake would
3 be stronger. Sixth, regression to the mean phenomenon might affect the results of the
4 present study. Because baseline salt intake and Δ salt intake were comparable among
5 rare, occasional, and daily drinkers (Table 1), regression to the mean phenomenon had
6 little influence on the difference in Δ salt intake among the 3 groups. Although there was
7 a statistically significant difference in baseline UACR among the 3 groups, the
8 difference in Δ UACR among the 3 groups was not significantly different, suggesting
9 that regression to the mean phenomenon due to the difference in baseline UACR among
10 the 3 groups did not affect Δ UACR. Accordingly, regression to the mean phenomenon
11 in Δ salt intake and Δ UACR did not seem to result in a critical bias in the present study.

12 In conclusion, the findings of the present study showed that a higher frequency
13 of alcohol drinking enhanced the effect of salt intake on albuminuria. These results
14 indicate that drinkers would obtain a higher benefit from salt restriction in regard to
15 reducing albuminuria. Well-designed randomized controlled trials are needed to validate
16 our findings.

- 1 **Acknowledgments:** None.
- 2 **Conflict of Interest:** TM and YI are employed as occupational physicians by Shionogi
- 3 & Co., Ltd.; YM is an employee of Shionogi & Co., Ltd. and acts as a managing
- 4 director of Shionogi Health Insurance Association; and the other authors declare no
- 5 conflict of interest.

1 **References**

- 2 1. Japanese Society of Nephrology. Essential points from Evidence-based Clinical
3 Practice Guidelines for Chronic Kidney Disease 2018. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2019;
4 **23**: 1–15.
- 5 2. Ashitani A, Ueno T, Nakashima A, Doi S, Yamane K, Masaki T. High-normal
6 albuminuria and incident chronic kidney disease in a male nondiabetic
7 population. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2018; **22**: 835–842.
- 8 3. Brantsma AH, Bakker SJ, de Zeeuw D, de Jong PE, Gansevoort RT. Urinary
9 albumin excretion as a predictor of the development of hypertension in the
10 general population. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; **17**: 331–335.
- 11 4. Halimi JM, Bonnet F, Lange C, Balkau B, Tichet J, Marre M. Urinary albumin
12 excretion is a risk factor for diabetes mellitus in men, independently of initial
13 metabolic profile and development of insulin resistance. The DESIR study. *J*
14 *Hypertens* 2008; **26**: 2198–2206.
- 15 5. Matsushita K, Coresh J, Sang Y, Chalmers J, Fox C, Guallar E, et al. Estimated
16 glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria for prediction of cardiovascular
17 outcomes: a collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet*
18 *Diabetes Endocrinol* 2015; **3**: 514–525.
- 19 6. Matsushita K, Ballew SH, Coresh J, Arima H, Ärnlöv J, Cirillo M, et al.
20 Measures of chronic kidney disease and risk of incident peripheral artery disease:
21 a collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet Diabetes*
22 *Endocrinol* 2017; **5**: 718–728.
- 23 7. Carrero JJ, Grams ME, Sang Y, Ärnlöv J, Gasparini A, Matsushita K, et al.
24 Albuminuria changes are associated with subsequent risk of end-stage renal
25 disease and mortality. *Kidney Int* 2017; **91**: 244–251.
- 26 8. Inaguma D, Imai E, Takeuchi A, Ohashi Y, Watanabe T, Nitta K, et al. Risk
27 factors for CKD progression in Japanese patients: findings from the Chronic
28 Kidney Disease Japan Cohort (CKD-JAC) study. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2017; **21**:
29 446–456.
- 30 9. Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, Woodward M, Levey AS, de Jong
31 PE, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with
32 all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a
33 collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2010; **375**: 2073–2081.
- 34 10. Konta T, Kudo K, Sato H, Ichikawa K, Ikeda A, Suzuki K, et al. Albuminuria is
35 an independent predictor of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the

- 1 Japanese population: the Takahata study. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2013; **17**: 805–810.
- 2 11. Okubo A, Nakashima A, Doi S, Doi T, Ueno T, Maeda K, et al. High-normal
3 albuminuria is strongly associated with incident chronic kidney disease in a
4 nondiabetic population with normal range of albuminuria and normal kidney
5 function. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2020; **24**: 435–443.
- 6 12. Munakata M, Hattori T, Konno S. Relationship between subtle urinary albumin
7 excretion and risk of incident hypertension: modification by glomerular filtration
8 rate. *Hypertens Res* 2017; **40**: 994–998.
- 9 13. Park SK, Seo MH, Ryoo JH, Kim MG, Choi JM, Shin H, et al. Urinary albumin
10 excretion within the normal range predicts the development of diabetes in Korean
11 men. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2015; **109**: 427–433.
- 12 14. Heerspink HJL, Greene T, Tighiouart H, Gansevoort RT, Coresh J, Simon AL, et
13 al. Change in albuminuria as a surrogate endpoint for progression of kidney
14 disease: a meta-analysis of treatment effects in randomised clinical trials. *Lancet*
15 *Diabetes Endocrinol* 2019; **7**: 128–139.
- 16 15. Coresh J, Heerspink HJL, Sang Y, Matsushita K, Arnlov J, Astor BC, et al.
17 Change in albuminuria and subsequent risk of end-stage kidney disease: an
18 individual participant-level consortium meta-analysis of observational studies.
19 *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2019; **7**: 115–127.
- 20 16. Schmieder RE, Schutte R, Schumacher H, Böhm M, Mancina G, Weber MA, et al.
21 Mortality and morbidity in relation to changes in albuminuria, glucose status and
22 systolic blood pressure: an analysis of the ONTARGET and TRANSCEND
23 studies. *Diabetologia* 2014; **57**: 2019–2029.
- 24 17. Levey AS, Gansevoort RT, Coresh J, Inker LA, Heerspink HL, Grams ME, et al.
25 Change in albuminuria and GFR as end points for clinical trials in early stages of
26 CKD: A scientific workshop sponsored by the National Kidney Foundation in
27 collaboration with the US Food and Drug Administration and European
28 Medicines Agency. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2020; **75**: 84–104.
- 29 18. Lambers Heerspink HJ, Gansevoort RT. Albuminuria is an appropriate
30 therapeutic target in patients with CKD: The Pro View. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*
31 2015; **10**: 1079–1088.
- 32 19. Parving HH, Persson F, Rossing P. Microalbuminuria: a parameter that has
33 changed diabetes care. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2015; **107**: 1–8.
- 34 20. Lin J, Hu FB, Curhan GC. Associations of diet with albuminuria and kidney
35 function decline. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; **5**: 836–843.
- 36 21. Lin J, Judd S, Le A, Ard J, Newsome BB, Howard G, et al. Associations of

- 1 dietary fat with albuminuria and kidney dysfunction. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; **92**:
2 897–904.
- 3 22. Lin J, Curhan GC. Associations of sugar and artificially sweetened soda with
4 albuminuria and kidney function decline in women. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011;
5 **6**: 160–166.
- 6 23. Forman JP, Scheven L, de Jong PE, Bakker SJ, Curhan GC, Gansevoort RT.
7 Association between sodium intake and change in uric acid, urine albumin
8 excretion, and the risk of developing hypertension. *Circulation* 2012; **125**: 3108–
9 3116.
- 10 24. He FJ, Marciniak M, Visagie E, Markandu ND, Anand V, Dalton RN, et al.
11 Effect of modest salt reduction on blood pressure, urinary albumin, and pulse
12 wave velocity in white, black, and Asian mild hypertensives. *Hypertension* 2009;
13 **54**: 482–488.
- 14 25. du Cailar G, Ribstein J, Mimran A. Dietary sodium and target organ damage in
15 essential hypertension. *Am J Hypertens* 2002; **15**: 222–229.
- 16 26. Verhave JC, Hillege HL, Burgerhof JG, Janssen WM, Gansevoort RT, Navis GJ,
17 et al. Sodium intake affects urinary albumin excretion especially in overweight
18 subjects. *J Intern Med* 2004; **256**: 324–330.
- 19 27. Ikehara S, Iso H, Date C, Kikuchi S, Watanabe Y, Inaba Y, et al. Salt preference
20 and mortality from stroke and coronary heart disease for Japanese men and
21 women: the JACC study. *Prev Med* 2012; **54**: 32–37.
- 22 28. White SL, Polkinghorne KR, Cass A, Shaw JE, Atkins RC, Chadban SJ. Alcohol
23 consumption and 5-year onset of chronic kidney disease: the AusDiab study.
24 *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009; **24**: 2464–2472.
- 25 29. Tanaka T, Okamura T, Miura K, Kadowaki T, Ueshima H, Nakagawa H, et al. A
26 simple method to estimate populational 24-h urinary sodium and potassium
27 excretion using a casual urine specimen. *J Hum Hypertens* 2002; **16**: 97–103.
- 28 30. Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, Yasuda Y, Tomita K, Nitta K, et al. Revised
29 equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. *Am J Kidney Dis*
30 2009; **53**: 982–992.
- 31 31. Kundel HL, Polansky M. Measurement of observer agreement. *Radiology* 2003;
32 **228**: 303–308.
- 33 32. Kimura Y, Yamamoto R, Shinzawa M, Isaka Y, Iseki K, Yamagata K, et al.
34 Alcohol consumption and incidence of proteinuria: a retrospective cohort study.
35 *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2018; **22**: 1133–1142.
- 36 33. Yoshimura R, Yamamoto R, Shinzawa M, Tomi R, Ozaki S, Fujii Y, et al.

- 1 Drinking frequency modifies an association between salt intake and blood
2 pressure: A cohort study. *J Clin Hypertens* 2020; **22**: 649–655.
- 3 34. Bigazzi R, Bianchi S, Baldari D, Sgherri G, Baldari G, Campese VM.
4 Microalbuminuria in salt-sensitive patients. A marker for renal and
5 cardiovascular risk factors. *Hypertension* 1994; **23**: 195–199.
- 6 35. Kurtz TW, DiCarlo SE, Pravenec M, Morris RC. Changing views on the
7 common physiologic abnormality that mediates salt sensitivity and initiation of
8 salt-induced hypertension: Japanese research underpinning the vasodysfunction
9 theory of salt sensitivity. *Hypertens Res* 2019; **42**: 6–18.
- 10 36. Husain K, Ferder L, Ansari RA, Lalla J. Chronic ethanol ingestion induces aortic
11 inflammation/oxidative endothelial injury and hypertension in rats. *Hum Exp*
12 *Toxicol* 2011; **30**: 930–939.
- 13 37. Oda N, Kajikawa M, Maruhashi T, Iwamoto Y, Kishimoto S, Matsui S, et al.
14 Endothelial function is impaired in relation to alcohol intake even in the case of
15 light alcohol consumption in Asian men; Flow-mediated Dilation Japan (FMD-J)
16 Study. *Int J Cardiol* 2017; **230**: 523–528.
- 17 38. Takimoto H, Saito A, Htun NC, Abe K. Food items contributing to high dietary
18 salt intake among Japanese adults in the 2012 National Health and Nutrition
19 Survey. *Hypertens Res* 2018; **41**: 209–212.
- 20 39. Takahashi N, Tanabe K, Adachi T, Nakashima R, Sugamori T, Endo A, et al.
21 Awareness of salt restriction is not reflected in the actual salt intake in Japanese
22 hypertensive patients. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 2015; **37**: 388–392.
- 23 40. Uechi K, Asakura K, Masayasu S, Sasaki S. Within-country variation of salt
24 intake assessed via urinary excretion in Japan: a multilevel analysis in all 47
25 prefectures. *Hypertens Res* 2017; **40**: 598–605.
- 26 41. Stockwell T, Zhao J, Sherk A, Rehm J, Shield K, Naimi T. Underestimation of
27 alcohol consumption in cohort studies and implications for alcohol's contribution
28 to the global burden of disease. *Addiction* 2018; **113**: 2245–2249.
- 29 42. Garnett C, Crane D, West R, Michie S, Brown J, Winstock A. Normative
30 misperceptions about alcohol use in the general population of drinkers: a cross-
31 sectional survey. *Addict Behav* 2015; **42**: 203–206.

32
33

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of 448 participants stratified by drinking frequency

Clinical characteristics	Drinking frequency at baseline visit			P
	Rare	Occasional	Daily	
Number	91	235	122	
Baseline characteristics				
Age (year)*	44 (38, 51)	43 (39, 49)	50 (41, 54)	<0.001
Male (n [%])	57 (62.6)	166 (70.6)	92 (75.4)	0.129
Smoking status (n [%])*				
Non-smoker	81 (89.0)	183 (77.9)	68 (55.7)	<0.001
Past smoker	7 (7.7)	33 (14.0)	38 (31.1)	
Current smoker	3 (3.3)	19 (8.1)	16 (13.1)	
Current treatment for				
Dyslipidemia (n [%])	2 (2.2)	6 (2.6)	3 (2.5)	0.983
Diabetes mellitus (n [%])	0 (0.0)	2 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0.402
Body mass index (kg/m ²)*	21.5 ± 2.5	22.4 ± 2.9	22.2 ± 2.7	0.033
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)*	116 ± 11	117 ± 11	121 ± 12	0.008
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)*	73 ± 9	74 ± 9	78 ± 10	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	202 ± 30	203 ± 31	204 ± 35	0.893
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	69 (48, 94)	69 (53, 100)	70 (50, 104)	0.484
Hemoglobin A1c (%)	5.3 ± 0.2	5.3 ± 0.3	5.3 ± 0.3	0.124
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	79 ± 12	79 ± 11	77 ± 11	0.365
UACR (mg/gCr)*	29 (19, 49)	25 (18, 49)	36 (24, 64)	0.001
Salt intake (g/day)	8.1 ± 1.7	8.3 ± 1.9	8.6 ± 1.7	0.106
Drinking frequency 1 year after the baseline visit†				
Rare (n [%])	83 (91.2)	6 (2.6)	1 (0.8)	
Occasional	8 (8.8)	220 (93.6)	14 (11.5)	
Daily	0 (0.0)	9 (3.8)	107 (87.7)	
Changes in salt intake and albuminuria over a 1-year period				
ΔSalt intake (g/day)	0.1 ± 1.8	0.0 ± 2.1	-0.4 ± 2.0	0.206
ΔUACR (mg/gCr)	-1 (-12, 14)	2 (-6, 14)	-1 (-16, 12)	0.104

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, median (25%, 75%), or n (%)

*P < 0.05

†The weighted kappa statistics was 0.88 for reproducibility of drinking frequency at the baseline visit and 1 year after the baseline visit.

Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of 448 participants stratified by tertiles of changes in salt intake (Δ salt intake)

Clinical characteristics	Δ Salt intake (g/day)			P
	First tertile -1.9 (-2.8, -1.4)	Second tertile -0.1 (-0.5, +0.3)	Third tertile +1.9 (+1.4, +2.8)	
Number	150	149	149	
Baseline characteristics				
Age (year)	46 (39, 52)	46 (40, 52)	44 (38, 50)	0.067
Male (n [%])	112 (74.7)	103 (69.1)	100 (67.1)	0.334
Drinking frequency (n [%])				
Rare	27 (18.0)	29 (19.5)	35 (23.5)	0.324
Occasional	74 (49.3)	80 (53.7)	81 (54.4)	
Daily	49 (32.7)	40 (26.8)	33 (22.1)	
Smoking status (n [%])				
Non-smoker	106 (70.7)	109 (73.2)	117 (78.5)	0.555
Past smoker	31 (20.7)	27 (18.1)	20 (13.4)	
Current smoker	13 (8.7)	13 (8.7)	12 (8.1)	
Current treatment for				
Dyslipidemia (n [%])	2 (1.3)	6 (4.0)	3 (2.0)	0.294
Diabetes mellitus (n [%])	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.7)	0.606
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	22.2 \pm 2.7	21.9 \pm 2.8	22.3 \pm 2.8	0.445
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	119 \pm 12	117 \pm 11	118 \pm 11	0.192
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	76 \pm 10	74 \pm 9	74 \pm 9	0.286
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	201 \pm 32	205 \pm 33	203 \pm 29	0.472
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	74 (54, 101)	65 (49, 93)	70 (52, 102)	0.105
Hemoglobin A1c (%)	5.3 \pm 0.3	5.3 \pm 0.3	5.3 \pm 0.3	0.643
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)*	81 \pm 11	77 \pm 12	77 \pm 11	0.002
UACR (mg/gCr)*	39 (22, 73)	29 (21, 49)	22 (15, 39)	0.001
Salt intake (g/day)*	9.5 \pm 1.6	8.2 \pm 1.5	7.4 \pm 1.5	<0.001

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation, median (25%, 75%), or n (%)

*P <0.05

Abbreviations: eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; UACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio

Table 3. Changes in salt intake (Δ salt intake [per 1 g/day]) and changes in urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (Δ Log UACR [log mg/gCr])

	N	Unadjusted model		Adjusted model*		P for interaction [†]
		β (95% CI)	P	β (95% CI)	P	
Overall	448	0.18 (0.15, 0.20)	<0.001	0.16 (0.14, 0.19)	<0.001	0.088
Drinking frequency						
Rare	91	0.13 (0.07, 0.20)	<0.001	0.13 (0.06, 0.19)	<0.001	
Occasional	235	0.18 (0.14, 0.21)	<0.001	0.16 (0.12, 0.20)	<0.001	
Daily	122	0.20 (0.14, 0.26)	<0.001	0.20 (0.13, 0.27)	<0.001	

*Adjusted for age (year), sex, smoking status (non-, past, vs current smoking), drinking frequency (rare, occasional, vs daily), current treatment for dyslipidemia and diabetes, body mass index (kg/m²), systolic blood pressure (mmHg), total cholesterol (mg/dL), triglyceride (log mg/dL), hemoglobin A1c (%), estimated glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73 m²), UACR (log mg/gCr) and salt intake (g/day) at the baseline visit

[†]P for interaction between Δ salt intake and drinking frequency in the adjusted model

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; UACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio

Figure legends

Figure 1. Drinking frequency modifies the association between changes in salt intake (Δ salt intake) and the urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (Δ Log UACR). Adjusted β values were calculated using a linear regression model adjusted for age (year), sex, smoking status (non-smoking, past smoking, vs current smoking), current treatment for dyslipidemia and diabetes, body mass index (kg/m^2), systolic blood pressure (mmHg), total cholesterol (mg/dL), triglycerides (log mg/dL), hemoglobin A1c (%), estimated glomerular filtration rate ($\text{mL}/\text{min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$), UACR (log mg/gCr) and salt intake (g/day) at the baseline visit. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range

Figure 1

