

Note on Special Involutions in a Generalized Inverse Semigroup

Miyuki YAMADA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan
(Received September 3, 1983)

A unary operation $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ on a semigroup S is called a special involution if it satisfies (1) $(x^*)^* = x$, (2) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and (3) $xx^*x = x$ for all $x, y \in S$. It has been shown by [5] that every special involution in a regular semigroup S is determined by the p -system in S . In this paper, we shall determine all the p -systems in a generalized inverse semigroup S , and accordingly all the special involutions in S . Further, we shall investigate the cardinality of the set of p -systems in S .

§1. Preliminary

A regular semigroup S equipped with a unary operation $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ is called a *special *-regular semigroup* if it satisfies (1) $(x^*)^* = x$, (2) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and (3) $xx^*x = x$ for all $x, y \in S$. The unary operation $*$ is called a *special involution* in S . If a regular semigroup S admits at least one special involution, then S is called *specialy involutive*. We sometimes denote by $(S, \#)$ a special $*$ -regular semigroup S equipped with a special involution $\#$. In the previous paper [5], the concept of a p -system in a regular semigroup S has been introduced. A subset P of the set $E(S)$ of idempotents of S is called a *p -system* in S if P satisfies the following (1)–(3):

- (C.1) (1) For any $x \in S$, there exists a unique $x^\# \in V(x)$ (the set of inverses of x) such that $xx^\#, x^\#x \in P$.
(2) For the operation $\#$ defined above, $x^\#Px \subset P$ for any $x \in S$.
(3) $P^2 \subset E(S)$.

It has been shown by [5] that in this case $(S, \#)$ is a special $*$ -regular semigroup. Further, it has been proved that a regular semigroup admits a special involution if and only if it has at least one p -system. The operation $\#$ above is called the *special involution determined by P* , and denoted by $*_P$. Conversely, if $(S, *)$ is a special $*$ -regular semigroup then the set of projections of $(S, *)$, that is, the set $P = \{e \in E(S) : e^* = e\}$ is a p -system in S (see [5]). We denote this P by P_* . Now, it is easy to see that the set of projections of a special $*$ -regular semigroup $(S, *_P)$, where P is a p -system in S , is P , and the special involution in S determined by P_* , where $*$ is a special involution in S , is $*$. Let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ be the set of all p -systems in a regular semigroup S , and $\mathcal{I}(S)$ the set of all possible special involutions in S . It is obvious that $\mathcal{P}(S) = \square$ if and only if

$\mathcal{P}(S) = \square$. Let $f: \mathcal{P}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(S)$ and $g: \mathcal{S}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ be the mappings defined by $Pf = *_P$ and $*g = P_*$ respectively. Then, since $Pfg = P$ and $*gf = *$, fg and gf are the identity mappings on $\mathcal{P}(S)$ and $\mathcal{S}(S)$ respectively. Hence, $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = |\mathcal{S}(S)|$ ($|\cdot|$ means cardinality). In [5], it has been shown that for a generalized inverse semigroup S , P is a p-system in S if and only if P is a p-system in the normal band $E(S)$. Therefore, in this case $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = |\mathcal{P}(E(S))| = |\mathcal{S}(E(S))| = |\mathcal{S}(S)|$. In the following sections, we shall investigate the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ of a normal band S (hence, a generalized inverse semigroup S).

Remark. Let S be a generalized inverse semigroup, and $*$, $\#$ special involutions in S . It has been proved by [2] and [5] that $(S, *)$ and $(S, \#)$ are $*$ -isomorphic, that is, there exists an isomorphism $f: S \rightarrow S$ such that $x*f = (xf)^{\#}$ for all $x \in S$.

§2. Normal bands with special involution

Let S be a normal band. By Scheiblich [2] and the author [5], S admits a special involution if and only if S is isomorphic to the spined product $L \otimes L^d$ of a left normal band L and its dual semigroup L^d .

Note. That is, $L^d = L$ as set, and for any $x, y \in L^d$, $x \circ y = yx$ (the product of x, y in L), where \circ means the multiplication in L^d .

Let Y be a semilattice, and A, B bands which are semilattice Y of rectangular bands $\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ and a semilattice Y of rectangular bands $\{B_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ respectively (in this case, we say that A, B have the structure decompositions $A \sim \Sigma\{A_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ and $B \sim \Sigma\{B_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ respectively). Then, $C = \Sigma\{A_\alpha \times B_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$, where \times means "direct product" and Σ means "disjoint sum", becomes a subsemigroup of $A \times B$. This C is called the spined product of A and B , and denoted by $A \otimes B$.

From the above, if a normal band S admits a special involution then we can assume that $S = L \otimes L^d$, where L is a left normal band and L^d its dual semigroup. If L is a semilattice Y of left zero semigroups $\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$, that is, if L has the structure decomposition $L \sim \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$, then L^d is a right normal band and has the structure decomposition $L^d \sim \Sigma\{L_\alpha^d: \alpha \in Y\}$, where L_α^d is the dual semigroup of L_α , and $L \otimes L^d$ is a semilattice Y of square bands (see [5]) $\{L_\alpha \times L_\alpha^d: \alpha \in Y\}$, that is, $L \otimes L^d$ has the structure decomposition $L \otimes L^d \sim \Sigma\{L_\alpha \times L_\alpha^d: \alpha \in Y\}$. Hereafter, the notion "a band $B \equiv \Sigma\{B_\gamma: \gamma \in Y_1\}$ " means that B is a band which is a semilattice Y_1 of rectangular bands $\{B_\gamma: \gamma \in Y_1\}$. Of course, each L_α and L_α^d above are a left zero semigroup and a right zero semigroup respectively. Now, let $L \otimes L^d \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha \times L_\alpha^d: \alpha \in Y\}$ be a normal band which admits a special involution, where L is a left normal band. Let \cdot and \circ be the multiplications in L and L^d respectively. Then, of course $x \cdot y = y \circ x$ for all $x, y \in L$ (hence, for $x, y \in L^d$). Now, it is easy to see that $P = \{(i, i) \in L_\alpha \times L_\alpha^d: \alpha \in Y\}$ is a p-system in $L \otimes L^d$. In general, if F is a p-system in $L \otimes L^d$ then F is the set of projections in $(L \otimes L^d, *_P)$. Hence, each L -class [each R -class] contains a unique element of F .

Therefore, there exists a bijection $\tau: L \rightarrow L$ such that $L_\alpha \tau = L_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Y$ and $F = \{(i, i\tau) : i \in L_\alpha, \alpha \in Y\}$ (see also [5]). It is easily seen that $*_F$ is defined by τ as follows: $(i, j)^*_F = (j\tau^{-1}, i\tau)$. Since F satisfies (C.1), (2), $(i, j)(u, u\tau)(j\tau^{-1}, i\tau) \in F$ (where $(u, u\tau) \in L_\beta \times L_\beta^d \cap F$). Hence, $(i \cdot u)\tau = i\tau \cdot u\tau$, that is, τ is an automorphism. Thus, we have the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$ be a left normal band, and $S = L \otimes L^d$ the spined product of L and its dual semigroup L^d . Let $\tau: L \rightarrow L$ be an automorphism of L such that $L_\alpha \tau = L_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Y$. Then, S is a normal band which admits a special involution, and $F = \{(i, i\tau) : i \in L_\alpha, \alpha \in Y\}$ is a p -system in S . Further, every p -system in S is obtained in this way.*

PROOF. The latter half was seen above. The first half: Let τ be an automorphism of L such that $L_\alpha \tau = L_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Y$. We need only to show that $F = \{(i, i\tau) : i \in L_\alpha, \alpha \in Y\}$ satisfies (C.1), (1), (2). For all elements $(i, j) \in L_\alpha \times L_\alpha^d (\alpha \in Y)$, let $(i, j)^* = (j\tau^{-1}, i\tau)$. Then, $(i, j)(j\tau^{-1}, i\tau) = (i, i\tau) \in F$ and $(j\tau^{-1}, i\tau)(i, j) = (j\tau^{-1}, j) \in F$. Hence, $(i, j)(i, j)^*, (i, j)^*(i, j) \in F$ and $(i, j)^* \in V((i, j))$. If there exists $(u, v) \in V((i, j))$ such that $(u, v)(i, j), (i, j)(u, v) \in F$, then $j = u\tau$ and $v = i\tau$. Hence $(u, v) = (j\tau^{-1}, i\tau)$. Thus, (C.1), (1) is satisfied. (C.1), (2): For any $(i, j) \in L_\alpha \times L_\alpha^d (\alpha \in Y)$ and for any $(u, u\tau) \in L_\beta \times L_\beta^d (\beta \in Y)$, $(i, j)(u, u\tau)(j\tau^{-1}, i\tau) = (i \cdot u \cdot j\tau^{-1}, j\tau^{-1} \cdot i\tau) = (i \cdot u, i\tau \cdot u\tau) = (i \cdot u, (i \cdot u)\tau) \in F$.

From the result above, the problem of determining all p -systems in $S = L \otimes L^d$ is reduced to that of determining all automorphisms $f: L \rightarrow L$ satisfying

$$(C.2) \quad L_\alpha f = L_\alpha \text{ for every } \alpha \in Y.$$

An automorphism f of L satisfying (C.2) is called a Y -restricted automorphism or more simply a restricted automorphism on $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$. The set of all restricted automorphisms on $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$ is clearly a group with respect to the usual resultant composition. We denote it by G_L , and call it the group of restricted automorphisms on $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$.

§3. The restricted automorphisms G_L

Let $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$ be a left normal band. Then, it is well known that L is a strong semilattice Y of $\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$. Hence, there exists a family of homomorphisms $(\phi_\beta^\alpha : \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y)$, where each ϕ_β^α is a homomorphism of L_α into L_β (this is just a mapping), such that

- (C.3) (1) $\phi_\alpha^\alpha =$ the identity mapping on L_α for all $\alpha \in Y$,
 (2) $\phi_\beta^\alpha \phi_\gamma^\beta = \phi_\gamma^\alpha$ for $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$,
 and (3) the multiplication \cdot in L is given by

$$x \cdot y = (x\phi_{\xi\delta}^\xi) \cdot (y\phi_{\xi\delta}^\xi) = x\phi_{\xi\delta}^\xi \quad \text{for } x \in L_\xi, y \in L_\delta.$$

Hereafter, we shall call $\{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ the characteristic family of homomorphisms for $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$.

Now,

LEMMA 2. $G_L \ni \tau$ if and only if τ is a bijection on L such that

- (1) $L_\alpha \tau = L_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in Y$, and
- (2) $\tau \phi_\beta^\alpha = \phi_\beta^\alpha \tau$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in Y$ with $\alpha \geq \beta$.

PROOF. To prove "if" part, it is need only to show that τ is a homomorphism. Let $x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\beta$. Then, $(x \cdot y)\tau = (x\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha)\tau = x\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha\tau = x\tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha = x\tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha \cdot y\tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\beta = x\tau \cdot y\tau$. Hence, τ is a homomorphism. The "only if" part: For $x \in L_\alpha$ and $y \in L_\beta$, $(x \cdot y)\tau = x\tau \cdot y\tau$. Hence, $(x\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha \cdot y\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\beta)\tau = x\tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha \cdot y\tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\beta$, and accordingly $x\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha\tau = x\tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha$. Therefore, $\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha\tau = \tau\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha$.

If a bijection τ on L satisfies (1) of Lemma 2, then τ is called a restricted bijection on $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$. If τ further satisfies (2) of Lemma 2, then τ is said to be compatible with $\Phi = \{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$.

Now, we have the following:

THEOREM 3. Let $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ be a left normal band, and let $S = L \otimes L^d$. Let $\Phi = \{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ be the characteristic family of homomorphisms for $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$, and G_L the set of all restricted bijections on $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ which are compatible with Φ . Then, G_L is a group, and $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = |G_L|$.

Examples. 1. If L is a left zero semigroup, G_L is the group of all bijections on L , that is, G_L is the symmetric group on L . Therefore, $S = L \otimes L^d (= L \times L^d)$ is a square band (see [5]), and $\mathcal{P}(S)$ coincides with the cardinality of the symmetric group on the set L .

2. Let Y be a semilattice consisting of α, β and 0 such that $\alpha\beta = 0$ and 0 is a zero element. Let L be a left normal band whose structure decomposition is $L \sim \Sigma\{L_\xi: \xi \in Y\}$, where $L_\alpha = \{a\}$, $L_\beta = \{b\}$ and $L_0 = \{e, f\}$. Let $\{\phi_\eta^\xi: \xi \geq \eta, \xi, \eta \in Y\}$ be the characteristic family of homomorphisms for $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\xi: \xi \in Y\}$, where $L_\alpha\phi_0^\alpha = e$ and $L_\beta\phi_0^\beta = f$. Then, in this case $G_L = 1$, and hence $L \otimes L^d$ has a unique p-system.

COROLLARY 4. Let $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ be a left normal band. Then, the following two conditions are equivalent.

- (1) A restricted automorphism on $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ is unique (hence, it is the identity mapping on L),
- (2) A restricted bijection on L which is compatible with the characteristic family of homomorphisms for $L \equiv \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ is unique (hence, it is the identity mapping on L).

In this case, $L \otimes L^d$ has a unique p-system.

§4. The group of restricted bijections

Let $S = \{S_i: i \in I\}$ be a collection of sets S_i , $i \in I$, and $S = \Sigma\{S_i: i \in I\}$ the disjoint sum of all S_i . If a bijection $f: S \rightarrow S$ satisfies $S_i f = S_i$ for all $i \in I$, then f is called a *I-restricted bijection* or more simply a *restricted bijection on $S = \Sigma\{S_i: i \in I\}$* . The set $G(S)$ of all restricted bijections on $S = \Sigma\{S_i: i \in I\}$ forms a group with respect to the usual resultant composition. Now, let Y be a semilattice, and L_α a left zero semigroup for each $\alpha \in Y$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$. For each pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in Y \times Y$ with $\alpha \geq \beta$, let ϕ_β^α be a mapping (hence, a homomorphism) of L_α into L_β . If the collection $\Phi = \{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ satisfies the conditions (C.3), (1) and (2), then it is well known that $L = \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ (disjoint sum) becomes a left normal band under the multiplication defined by

$$x \cdot y = x\phi_\beta^\alpha \quad \text{for } x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\beta.$$

Of course, $L(\cdot)$ is a strong semilattice Y of $\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ and has $\{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ as its characteristic family. This $L(\cdot)$ is called *the left normal s-composition of $\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ determined by Φ* , and denoted by $L(\Phi)$. The system Φ above is called a *transitive system of homomorphisms for $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$* . Let $T(\mathcal{L})$ be the set of all transitive systems of homomorphisms for $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$. For any $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ and any $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, where $\Phi = \{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$, let $\Phi^\tau = \{\tau^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha\tau: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ (where $x \tau^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha\tau = x(\tau|L_\alpha)^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha(\tau|L_\beta)$ ($\tau|L_\xi$ means the restriction of τ to L_ξ)).

THEOREM 5. *Let Y be a semilattice, and $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ a collection of left zero semigroups L_α . Let $\Phi = \{\phi_\beta^\alpha: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ be a transitive system of homomorphisms for \mathcal{L} , and τ a restricted bijection on $L = \Sigma\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$ such that $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$, then L becomes a left normal band under the multiplication defined by $x \cdot y = x\phi_{\alpha\beta}^\alpha$ for $x \in L_\alpha, y \in L_\alpha$, and $L \otimes L^d$ is a special *-regular semigroup with respect to the operation $*$ defined by $(i, j)^* = (j\tau^{-1}, i\tau)$. Further, every specially involutive normal band $L \otimes L^d$ and every special involution $*$ in $L \otimes L^d$ can be obtained in this way.*

PROOF. Obvious from the discussion above.

For any $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ above and for any $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$,

LEMMA 6. Φ^τ is a transitive system of homomorphisms for $\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$.

PROOF. For any $x \in L_\alpha$, $x(\tau^{-1}\phi_\alpha^\alpha\tau) = (x\tau^{-1})\tau = x$. Hence, $\tau^{-1}\phi_\alpha^\alpha\tau$ is the identity mapping on L_α . Next, for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$ with $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$ and for any $x \in L_\alpha$, $x(\tau^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha\tau)(\tau^{-1}\phi_\gamma^\beta\tau) = x\tau^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha\phi_\gamma^\beta\tau = x(\tau^{-1}\phi_\gamma^\alpha\tau)$. Hence, $(\tau^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha\tau)(\tau^{-1}\phi_\gamma^\beta\tau) = \tau^{-1}\phi_\gamma^\alpha\tau$. Thus $\Phi^\tau = \{\tau^{-1}\phi_\beta^\alpha\tau: \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in Y\}$ is a transitive system of homomorphisms for $\{L_\alpha: \alpha \in Y\}$. For some $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$, we have $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$ for all $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$. (Of course, if τ is the identity mapping on L then $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ and $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$). $H(\mathcal{L}) = \{\tau \in G(\mathcal{L}): \Phi^\tau = \Phi$ for all $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})\}$ is a subgroup of $G(\mathcal{L})$.

LEMMA 7. $H(\mathcal{L})$ is a normal subgroup of $G(\mathcal{L})$.

PROOF. Let $\eta \in H(\mathcal{L})$ and $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$. For any $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, $\Phi^{\tau^{-1}\eta\tau} = ((\Phi^{\tau^{-1}})^\eta)^\tau = \Phi^{\tau^{-1}\tau} = \Phi$. Hence, $\tau^{-1}\eta\tau \in H(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore, we can consider the factor group $\overline{G(\mathcal{L})} = G(\mathcal{L})/H(\mathcal{L})$. We shall denote the coset containing $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ by $\bar{\tau}$. For $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, we define $\Phi^{\bar{\tau}}$ by $\Phi^{\bar{\tau}} = \Phi^\tau$. It is obvious that this is well defined. If $\Phi^{\bar{\tau}} = \Phi^{\bar{\delta}}$ for all $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, then $\bar{\tau} = \bar{\delta}$. Therefore, we can regard $\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}$ as a permutation group on $T(\mathcal{L})$. For any $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, let $\overline{F_\Phi(\mathcal{L})}$ be the fixed group of Φ . Hereafter, let Y be a semilattice, $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$ a collection of left zero semigroups and $L = \Sigma\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$ (disjoint sum).

Obviously,

THEOREM 8. If $|H(\mathcal{L})| > 1$, then $L \otimes L^d$ has at least two p -systems for any left normal s -composition L of $\{L_\alpha : \alpha \in Y\}$.

COROLLARY 9. If $|H(\mathcal{L})| |\overline{F_\Phi(\mathcal{L})}| > 1$, then $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has at least two p -systems. In this case, $|\mathcal{P}(L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d)| = |H(\mathcal{L})| |\overline{F_\Phi(\mathcal{L})}|$.

Hereafter, we consider the case $|\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}| < \infty$ and $|T(\mathcal{L})| < \infty$. Decompose $T(\mathcal{L})$ into the systems of transitivity with respect to $\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}$: $T(\mathcal{L}) = \Delta_1 \dot{+} \Delta_2 \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} \Delta_r$, where each Δ_i is a system of transitivity and $\dot{+}$ denotes "disjoint sum". Hence, if $\Phi_i \in \Delta_i$, then $\Delta_i = \{\Phi_i^{\bar{\tau}} : \bar{\tau} \in \overline{G(\mathcal{L})}\}$. The length ι_i of Δ_i is given by $\iota_i = |\Delta_i| = |\overline{G(\mathcal{L})} : \overline{F_{\Phi_i}(\mathcal{L})}|$ (the index of $\overline{F_{\Phi_i}(\mathcal{L})}$ in $\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}$). Hence, $\iota_i || \overline{G(\mathcal{L})}|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If $\iota_i = |\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}|$ for all i , then $r|\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}| = |T(\mathcal{L})|$. Therefore, $|\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}| || |T(\mathcal{L})|$. Hence, we have the following:

THEOREM 10. If $|G(\mathcal{L})| \nmid |T(\mathcal{L})|$, then there exists $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$ such that $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has at least two p -systems.

PROOF. If $|H(\mathcal{L})| > 1$, then this theorem follows from Theorem 8. Suppose that $|H(\mathcal{L})| = 1$. Then, $\overline{G(\mathcal{L})} = G(\mathcal{L})$. Hence, $|F_{\Phi_i}(\mathcal{L})| > 1$ for some $\Phi_i \in \Delta_i$. Therefore, $L(\Phi_i) \otimes L(\Phi_i)^d$ has at least two p -systems.

In particular, let us consider the case $|T(\mathcal{L})| < |G(\mathcal{L})|$. In this case, for any $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$ there exist at least two different $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in G(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\Phi^{\tau_1} = \Phi^{\tau_2}$. Then, $\Phi^{\tau_1\tau_2^{-1}} = \Phi$ and $\tau_1\tau_2^{-1} \neq 1$ (the identity of $G(\mathcal{L})$). Therefore, $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has at least two p -systems. Thus, we have the following results:

COROLLARY 11. If $|T(\mathcal{L})| < |G(\mathcal{L})|$, then $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has at least two p -systems for any $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$.

COROLLARY 12. If $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has a unique p -system for every $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, then $|G(\mathcal{L})| || |T(\mathcal{L})|$.

COROLLARY 13. If $|G(\mathcal{L})| || |T(\mathcal{L})|$, $|H(\mathcal{L})| = 1$ and $G(\mathcal{L})$ is a transitive group, then $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has a unique p -system for every $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$.

Remark. It is obvious that $|G(\mathcal{L})|$ can be evaluated as follows: Let $|L_\alpha| = n_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in Y$. Then, $|G(\mathcal{L})| = \prod_{\alpha \in Y} (n_\alpha!)$.

Examples. Let $Y = \{\alpha, \beta, 0\}$ be a semilattice such that $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha = 0$ and 0 is the zero element.

1. Let $L_\alpha = \{a, b\}$, $L_\beta = \{c, d\}$ and $L_0 = \{e, f\}$ be left zero semigroups. Put $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\xi: \xi \in Y\}$, and $L = \Sigma\{L_\xi: \xi \in Y\}$. In this case, $|T(\mathcal{L})| = 16$ and $|G(\mathcal{L})| = 8$. Hence $|G(\mathcal{L})| \mid |T(\mathcal{L})|$. Now, consider the transitive system $\Phi = \{\phi_\alpha^a, \phi_\beta^b, \phi_0^e, \phi_0^f, \phi_0^g\}$ such that $\phi_0^g: \{a, b\} \rightarrow e$, $\phi_0^g: \{c, d\} \rightarrow e$. Then, for $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ such that $a\tau = b$, $b\tau = a$, $c\tau = d$, $d\tau = c$, $e\tau = e$ and $f\tau = f$, $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$. The bijection τ is clearly not an identity mapping. Hence, $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has at least two p-systems.

2. Let $L_\alpha = \{a\}$, $L_\beta = \{b\}$ and $L_0 = \{e, f\}$ be left zero semigroups. Put $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha, L_\beta, L_0\}$, and $L = \Sigma\{L_\xi: \xi \in Y\}$. Then, $|T(\mathcal{L})| = 4$ and $|G(\mathcal{L})| = 2$. Hence, $|G(\mathcal{L})| \mid |T(\mathcal{L})|$. In this case, it is easy to see that $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ and $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$ for $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$ imply $\tau = 1$ (the identity of $G(\mathcal{L})$). Therefore, $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has a unique p-system for every $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$.

3. Let $L_\alpha = \{a, b\}$, $L_\beta = \{c\}$ and $L_0 = \{e, f\}$ be left zero semigroups. Put $\mathcal{L} = \{L_\alpha, L_\beta, L_0\}$, and $L = \Sigma\{L_\xi: \xi \in Y\}$. Then, $|T(\mathcal{L})| = 8$ and $|G(\mathcal{L})| = 4$. Hence, $|G(\mathcal{L})| \mid |T(\mathcal{L})|$. Now, consider the transitive system $\Phi_1 = \{\phi_\alpha^a, \phi_\beta^b, \phi_0^e, \phi_0^f, \phi_0^g\}$ such that $a\phi_0^g = e$, $b\phi_0^g = f$ and $c\phi_0^g = e$. Then, $L(\Phi_1) \otimes L(\Phi_1)^d$ has a unique p-system. On the other hand, consider the transitive system $\Phi_2 = \{\psi_\alpha^a, \psi_\beta^b, \psi_0^e, \psi_0^f, \psi_0^g\}$ such that $a\psi_0^g = e$, $b\psi_0^g = e$ and $c\psi_0^g = e$. Take the bijection $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ such that $a\tau = b$, $b\tau = a$, $e\tau = e$ and $f\tau = f$. Then, $\Phi_1^\tau = \Phi_2$ and τ is not the identity mapping on L . Therefore, $L(\Phi_1) \otimes L(\Phi_1)^d$ has at least two p-systems.

As was seen in the examples above, in case where $|G(\mathcal{L})| \mid |T(\mathcal{L})|$, there exist the following both cases:

1. For some $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$, there exists $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$ such that $\tau \neq 1$ and $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$.
2. $\Phi^\tau = \Phi$, $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$, $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$ imply $\tau = 1$.

Now, we easily obtain the following from the group theory:

THEOREM 14. Let $|G(\mathcal{L})| \mid |T(\mathcal{L})|$, and $T_\Phi(\mathcal{L})$ the system of transitivity (of $T(\mathcal{L})$ with respect to $\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}$) which contains Φ . Then, $H(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ and $|T_\Phi(\mathcal{L})| = |G(\mathcal{L})|$ for all $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $L(\Phi) \otimes L(\Phi)^d$ has a unique p-system for all $\Phi \in T(\mathcal{L})$.

Further,

THEOREM 15. If $\Phi_1^\tau = \Phi_2$ for $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in T(\mathcal{L})$ and for $\tau \in G(\mathcal{L})$, $L(\Phi_1) \otimes L(\Phi_1)^d$ and $L(\Phi_2) \otimes L(\Phi_2)^d$ have the same number of p-systems.

PROOF. Both Φ_1 and Φ_2 are contained in the same system of transitivity. Hence, $T_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{L}) = T_{\Phi_2}(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore, $|T_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{L})| = |\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}: \overline{F_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{L})}| = |\overline{G(\mathcal{L})}: \overline{F_{\Phi_2}(\mathcal{L})}| = |T_{\Phi_2}(\mathcal{L})|$. Thus, $|\overline{F_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{L})}| = |\overline{F_{\Phi_2}(\mathcal{L})}|$. Let \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 be the sets of p-systems in $L(\Phi_1) \otimes L(\Phi_1)^d$

and in $L(\Phi_2) \otimes L(\Phi_2)^d$ respectively. Then, it follows from Theorem 8 that $|\mathcal{P}_1| = |H(\mathcal{L})| |\overline{F_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{L})}| = |H(\mathcal{L})| |\overline{F_{\Phi_2}(\mathcal{L})}| = |\mathcal{P}_2|$.

References

- [1] Nordahl, T. E. and H. E. Scheiblich, Regular $*$ semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **16** (1978), 369–377.
- [2] Scheiblich, H. E., Generalized inverse semigroups with involution, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **12** (1982), 205–211.
- [3] Yamada, M., Regular semigroups whose idempotents satisfy permutation identities, *Pacific J. Math.* **21** (1967), 371–392.
- [4] ———, On a regular semigroup in which the idempotents form a band, *Pacific J. Math.* **33** (1970), 261–272.
- [5] ———, P -systems in regular semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **24** (1982), 173–187.