

Note on the Construction of Regular $*$ -Semigroups

Miyuki YAMADA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan

To Kentaro MURATA

On his 60th birthday on the 7th of November, 1981

(Received September 5, 1981)

In this paper, we shall show how a general regular $*$ -semigroup can be constructed from a fundamental regular $*$ -semigroup and a certain partial groupoid.

§1. Introduction

A regular $*$ -semigroup is a regular semigroup S equipped with a unary operation $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ satisfying the following three axioms:

- (1) $xx^*x = x$ for $x \in S$,
- (2) $(x^*)^* = x$ for $x \in S$,
- (3) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for $x, y \in S$,

(see [1]).

An element x of S is called a *projection* if $x^2 = x$ and $x^* = x$. Hereafter, we shall call a unary operation $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ satisfying (1)–(3) above a *$*$ -operation* in S . Let S be a regular semigroup, and E_S the set of idempotents of S . A subset F of E_S is called a *p -system* if

- (1) for any $a \in S$, there exists a unique inverse a^* of a such that both aa^* and a^*a are contained in F ,
- (2) $a^*Fa \subset F$ for any $a \in S$, where $*$ is a unary operation determined by (1),
- (3) $F^2 \subset E_S$.

In the previous paper [2], it has been shown that a regular semigroup becomes a regular $*$ -semigroup if and only if it has at least one p -system. In this paper, all the notations and terminology should be referred to [2] and [3], unless otherwise stated.

§2. $*$ -regular product

Let Γ be a fundamental regular $*$ -semigroup, and E_Γ the set of idempotents of Γ . Let $*$ be a $*$ -operation in Γ . Let F_Γ be the set of projections of Γ with respect to the

*-operation *. Of course, $F_\Gamma \subset E_\Gamma$ ¹⁾. Let $M = \Sigma\{S_\lambda: \lambda \in E_\Gamma\}$ be a disjoint sum of groups $\{S_\lambda: \lambda \in E_\Gamma\}$ such that

- (C.0) (1) M is a partial groupoid, and each S_λ is a subgroup of M ,
 (2) if $\alpha, \beta \in E_\Gamma$, $\alpha\beta \in E_\Gamma$, $x \in S_\alpha$ and $y \in S_\beta$, then xy is well defined in M and $xy \in S_{\alpha\beta}$; that is, $S_\alpha S_\beta \subset S_{\alpha\beta}$,
 (3) if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in E_\Gamma$, $\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n \in E_\Gamma$ and $x_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, then all the possible products of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n (associated with the binary operation in M) taken in this order take the same value (element) contained in $S_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n}$ ²⁾. We denote it by $x_1x_2\dots x_n$.
 (4) if $\lambda, \tau \in F_\Gamma$ (accordingly, of course $\lambda\tau \in E_\Gamma$), then $e_\lambda e_\tau = e_{\lambda\tau}$, where e_α is the identity of S_α .

Put $\cup\{S_\tau: \tau \in F_\Gamma\} = N$. Of course, N is a partial subgroupoid of M . A mapping $\sigma: N \rightarrow N$ is called a *local endomorphism* ($\not\sim$ -endomorphism) on N if it satisfies the following:

- (C.1) For any $\tau \in F_\Gamma$, $S_\tau\sigma \subset S_\xi$ for some $\xi \in F_\Gamma$; and $\sigma|S_\tau$ (the restriction of σ to S_τ) is a homomorphism.

The set of $\not\sim$ -endomorphisms on N forms a semigroup with respect to the resultant composition. We denote it by $\mathcal{LE}(N)$.

Now, let $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{LE}(N)$ and $\phi: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow N$ be mappings such that

- (C.2) (1) for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\tau \in F_\Gamma$, $\gamma\psi = \bar{\gamma}$ maps S_τ into $S_{\gamma\tau(\gamma\tau)^*}$, and in particular $\bar{\gamma}$ maps $S_{\gamma\tau^*}$ onto $S_{\gamma\gamma^*}$, where $*$ denotes the *-operation in Γ ,
 (2) $(\gamma, \delta)\phi = C(\gamma, \delta) \in S_{\gamma\delta(\gamma\delta)^*}$ for any $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$.

Assume that the family $\Delta = \{\bar{\gamma}; C(\gamma, \delta)\}_{\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma}$ satisfies the following:

- (C.3) (1) $C(\lambda, \tau) = e_{\lambda\tau(\lambda\tau)^*}$ for all $\lambda, \tau \in F_\Gamma$, and $C(\gamma\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma, \gamma^*\gamma) = e_{\gamma\gamma^*}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
 (2) $C(\delta, \xi)\bar{\gamma}C(\gamma, \delta\xi) = C(\gamma, \delta)C(\gamma\delta, \xi)$, where $x\bar{\gamma} = x\bar{\gamma}$; further, $C(\lambda, \gamma)\bar{\gamma}^*C(\gamma^*, \lambda\gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \lambda)C(\gamma^*\lambda, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)e_{\gamma^*\lambda\gamma}$ for $\lambda \in F_\Gamma$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
 (3) $\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta} = \overline{\delta\gamma} \overline{C(\delta, \gamma)}$, where $\overline{C(\delta, \gamma)}$ is the mapping of N into N defined by $u\overline{C(\delta, \gamma)} = C(\delta, \gamma)uC(\delta, \gamma)^{-1}$ (where x^{-1} means the group inverse of x),
 (4) $e_\lambda b e_\lambda = b^{\bar{\lambda}}$ for $b \in S_\delta$, $\lambda, \delta \in F_\Gamma$ (especially, $e_\lambda b = b^{\bar{\lambda}}$ if $\lambda\delta \in F_\Gamma$),
 (5) $C(\lambda\tau, \lambda\tau) = e_{\lambda\tau(\lambda\tau)^*}$ for $\lambda, \tau \in F_\Gamma$.

1) F_Γ is the set $\{e \in E_\Gamma: e^* = e\}$.

2) For example, assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in E_\Gamma$, $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 \in E_\Gamma$ and $x_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$ ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$). Assume also that $(\alpha_1\alpha_2)\alpha_3, ((\alpha_1\alpha_2)\alpha_3)\alpha_4, \alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_3\alpha_4 \in E_\Gamma$ (hence $(\alpha_1\alpha_2)(\alpha_3\alpha_4) \in E_\Gamma$), then the corresponding $(x_1x_2)x_3, ((x_1x_2)x_3)x_4, x_1x_2, x_3x_4$ and $(x_1x_2)(x_3x_4)$ are all well defined in M by (2) of (C. 0) and $((x_1x_3)x_4)x_1 = (x_2x_2)(x_3x_4)$ follows from (3) of (C. 0).

In this case, $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma = \{(a, \gamma) : a \in S_{\gamma\gamma^*}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ becomes a regular *-semigroup under the multiplication and the *-operation defined as follows:

$$(a, \gamma) (b, \tau) = (ab^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \tau), \gamma\tau),$$

$$(a, \gamma)^* = (t, \gamma^*), \text{ where } t \text{ is the element of } S_{\gamma\gamma^*} \text{ such that } t^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} \\ \text{(such } t \text{ exists since } \bar{\gamma} \text{ is a mapping of } S_{\gamma\gamma^*} \text{ onto } S_{\gamma\gamma^*}; \text{ and} \\ \text{it is easy to see that } t \text{ is unique).}$$

In fact

THEOREM 2.1. (1) $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$ is a regular semigroup having $F = \{(e_\lambda, \lambda) : \lambda \in F_\Gamma\}$ as its p -system. Accordingly, $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$ is a regular *-semigroup (see [2]).

(2) Let # be the *-operation determined by F (see [2]). Then # = *. Hence, F is the set of projections of $(N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma, *)$.

(3) The set of idempotents of $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$ is $E = \{(e_{\lambda\lambda^*}, \lambda) : \lambda \in E_\Gamma\}$.

PROOF. (1) Let $(a, \gamma), (b, \tau), (c, \delta) \in N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$. Then, $((a, \gamma) (b, \tau)) (c, \delta) = (ab^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \tau), \gamma\tau) (c, \delta) = (ab^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \tau) c^{\bar{\gamma\tau}} C(\gamma\tau, \delta), \gamma\tau\delta) \dots \dots \dots (A)$

On the other hand, $(a, \gamma) ((b, \tau) (c, \delta)) = (a, \gamma) (bc^{\bar{\tau}} C(\tau, \delta), \tau\delta) = (a(bc^{\bar{\tau}} C(\tau, \delta))^{\bar{\gamma}} \cdot C(\gamma, \tau\delta), \gamma\tau\delta) = (ab^{\bar{\gamma}} c^{\bar{\tau}\bar{\gamma}} C(\tau, \delta)^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \tau\delta), \gamma\tau\delta) \dots \dots \dots (B)$. Now, $c^{\bar{\tau}\bar{\gamma}} = c^{\bar{\gamma\tau}} C(\gamma, \tau) = C(\gamma, \tau) c^{\bar{\gamma\tau}}$. Hence, $c^{\bar{\tau}\bar{\gamma}} C(\tau, \delta)^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \tau\delta) = C(\gamma, \tau) c^{\bar{\gamma\tau}} C(\gamma, \tau)^{-1} C(\gamma, \tau) C(\gamma\tau, \delta) = C(\gamma, \tau) c^{\bar{\gamma\tau}} C(\gamma\tau, \delta)$. Thus, (A) = (B). That is, $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$ is a semigroup.

Next, $(a, \gamma) (a, \gamma)^* (a, \gamma) = (at^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \gamma^*), \gamma\gamma^*) (a, \gamma)$ (where $t^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} = (at^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \gamma^*) a^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*} C(\gamma\gamma^*, \gamma)) = (at^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \gamma^*) a^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*}, \gamma) = (aa^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*) a, \gamma) = (a, \gamma)$). Hence, (a, γ) has an inverse in $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$. That is, $N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$ is regular. Consider $F = \{(e_\lambda, \lambda) : \lambda \in F_\Gamma\}$. For any $(a, \gamma) \in N \underset{A}{\otimes} \Gamma$, $(a, \gamma) (a, \gamma)^* = (at^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma, \gamma^*), \gamma\gamma^*)$ (where $t^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} = (aa^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)) = (e_{\gamma\gamma^*}, \gamma\gamma^*) \in F$). On the other hand, $(a, \gamma)^* (a, \gamma) = (ta^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma^*, \gamma), \gamma^*\gamma)$, where $t^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1}$. Now, $t^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*} = t^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*} C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma) t^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*} C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} = C(\gamma^*, \gamma) t C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1}$. Hence, $t = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} (a^{-1})^{\bar{\gamma}^*} (C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1})^{\bar{\gamma}^*} \cdot C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} a^{\bar{\gamma}^* - 1} C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} C(\gamma^*, \gamma)$ (since $C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{\bar{\gamma}^*} = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)$; in fact, $C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{\bar{\gamma}^*} \cdot C(\gamma^*, \gamma\gamma^*) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma) C(\gamma^*\gamma, \gamma)$ (by (2) of (C.3)) implies $C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{\bar{\gamma}^*} = C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma) a^{\bar{\gamma}^* - 1}$). Accordingly, $ta^{\bar{\gamma}} C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} a^{\bar{\gamma}^* - 1} a^{\bar{\gamma}^*} C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} e_{\gamma^*\gamma} \cdot C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = e_{\gamma^*\gamma}$. Thus, $(a, \gamma)^* (a, \gamma) = (e_{\gamma^*\gamma}, \gamma^*\gamma) \in F$. Next, $((a, \gamma)^*)^* = (t, \gamma^*)^*$ (where $t^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} = (d, \gamma)$ (where $d^{\bar{\gamma}^*} = t^{-1} C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1}$). We obtain $t = C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} a^{\bar{\gamma}^* - 1}$ as was shown above. Therefore, $d^{\bar{\gamma}^*} = a^{\bar{\gamma}^*} C(\gamma^*, \gamma) C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} = a^{\bar{\gamma}^*}$. Hence, $d^{\bar{\gamma}^*\bar{\gamma}} = a^{\bar{\gamma}^*\bar{\gamma}}$ implies $C(\gamma, \gamma^*) d^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} = C(\gamma, \gamma^*) a^{\bar{\gamma}\gamma^*} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1}$, whence $a = d$. Consequently, $((a, \gamma)^*)^* = (a, \gamma)$.

Suppose that (a, λ) is an idempotent. Since $(a, \lambda)^2 = (a, \lambda)$, λ is an idempotent. Hence, there exist $\eta, \delta \in F_\Gamma$ such that $\eta\delta = \lambda$. $(a, \lambda)^2 = (aa^{\bar{\lambda}} C(\lambda, \lambda), \lambda) = (aa^{\bar{\eta}\delta} e_{\lambda\lambda^*}, \lambda) \dots (C)$. On the other hand, $a^{\delta\bar{\eta}} = a^{\bar{\eta}\delta} C(\eta, \delta) = C(\eta, \delta) a^{\bar{\eta}\delta} C(\eta, \delta) = e_{\lambda\lambda^*} a^{\bar{\lambda}} e_{\lambda\lambda^*} = a^{\bar{\lambda}}$.

Therefore, $a^{\bar{\lambda}} = e_{\eta} e_{\delta} a e_{\delta} e_{\eta} = e_{\eta} e_{\delta} e_{\eta} e_{\delta} a e_{\eta} e_{\delta} e_{\eta} = e_{\eta} e_{\delta} e_{\eta} a e_{\eta} e_{\delta} e_{\eta} = a$. Thus, $(C) = (a^2 e_{\lambda \lambda^*}, \lambda)$. Since $(a, \lambda)^2 = (a, \lambda)$, $a^2 e_{\lambda \lambda^*} = a$, whence $a = e_{\lambda \lambda^*}$. Therefore, the set of idempotents of $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$ is $E = \{(e_{\lambda \lambda^*}, \lambda) : \lambda \in E_{\Gamma}\}$, (it is easy to see that each $(e_{\lambda \lambda^*}, \lambda)$ is an idempotent). Let $(e_{\lambda}, \lambda), (e_{\tau}, \tau) \in F$. Then, $(e_{\lambda}, \lambda)(e_{\tau}, \tau) = (e_{\lambda} e_{\tau}^{\bar{\lambda}} C(\lambda, \tau), \lambda \tau) = (e_{\lambda} e_{\lambda \tau \lambda}, \lambda \tau) = (e_{\lambda \tau(\lambda \tau)^*}, \lambda \tau) \in E$. Hence, $F^2 \subset E$. To prove that F is a p-system of $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$, we shall next show that $(a, \gamma)^*(e_{\lambda}, \lambda)(a, \gamma) \in F$ for $(e_{\lambda}, \lambda) \in F$ and $(a, \gamma) \in N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$. Now, $(a, \gamma)^*(e_{\lambda}, \lambda)(a, \gamma) = (t, \gamma^*)(e_{\lambda} a C(\lambda, \gamma), \lambda \gamma)$ (where $t^{\bar{\gamma}} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1} = (t e_{\lambda}^{\bar{\gamma}^*} a^{\bar{\gamma}^*} C(\lambda, \gamma)^{\bar{\gamma}^*} C(\gamma^*, \lambda \gamma), \gamma^* \lambda \gamma) \dots$) (D).

Since $(t, \gamma^*)^* = (a, \gamma)$ (as was shown above), we have $a^{\bar{\gamma}^*} = t^{-1} C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1}$. Hence, (D) $= (t e_{\gamma^* \lambda \gamma} t^{-1} C(\gamma^*, \gamma)^{-1} C(\lambda, \gamma)^{\bar{\gamma}^*} C(\gamma^*, \lambda \gamma), \gamma^* \lambda \gamma) = (t e_{\gamma^* \lambda \gamma} t^{-1} e_{\gamma^* \lambda \gamma}, \gamma^* \lambda \gamma)$ (by (2) of (C.3)) $= (t t^{-1} e_{\gamma^* \lambda \gamma}, \gamma^* \lambda \gamma) = (e_{\gamma^* \lambda \gamma}, \gamma^* \lambda \gamma) = (e_{\gamma^* \lambda \gamma}, \gamma^* \lambda \gamma) \in F$. Thus, F is a p-system of $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$. It is easy to see that $\# = *$.

LEMMA 2.2. *The partial subgroupoid $\bar{N} = \{(a, \lambda) : \lambda \in F_{\Gamma}, a \in S_{\lambda}\}$ of $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$ is isomorphic to the partial groupoid N .*

PROOF. Define $\psi : N \rightarrow \bar{N}$ by $a\psi = (a, \lambda)$ if $a \in S_{\lambda}$. It is obvious that ψ is bijective. Suppose that $a \in S_{\lambda}$, $b \in S_{\delta}$, $ab \in S_{\lambda \delta}$ and $\lambda, \delta, \lambda \delta \in F_{\Gamma}$. Then, $(ab)\psi = (ab, \lambda \delta)$. On the other hand, $(a\psi)(b\psi) = (a, \lambda)(b, \delta) = (ab^{\bar{\lambda}} C(\lambda, \delta), \lambda \delta) = (a e_{\lambda} b e_{\lambda \delta}, \lambda \delta) = (ab, \lambda \delta) \in \bar{N}$. Hence, $(a\psi)(b\psi)$ is well defined in \bar{N} , and $(ab)\psi = (a\psi)(b\psi)$. Conversely, suppose that $(a, \lambda)(b, \delta)$ is well defined in \bar{N} . Then, $(a, \lambda)(b, \delta) = (ab, \lambda \delta)$ implies that ab is well defined in N and $((a, \lambda)(b, \delta))\psi^{-1} = ab = (a, \lambda)\psi^{-1}(b, \delta)\psi^{-1}$. Hence, N is isomorphic to \bar{N} .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let μ be the maximum idempotent separating congruence on $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$. Then, $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma / \mu$ is isomorphic to Γ .*

PROOF. Since Γ is a fundamental regular *-semigroup, it is obvious that $\mu = \{((a, \gamma), (b, \gamma)) : a, b \in S_{\gamma^*}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Hence, of course $N \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma / \mu \cong \Gamma$.

Hereafter, we shall denote N above by $N_M(F_{\Gamma})$, and call $N_M(F_{\Gamma}) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$ the *-regular product of $N_M(F_{\Gamma})$ and Γ determined by the factor set $\Delta = \{\bar{\gamma}, C(\gamma, \delta)\}_{\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma}$ belonging to $\{N_M(F_{\Gamma}), \Gamma\}$.

§3. A structure theorem

Next, let S be a regular *-semigroup and μ the maximum idempotent separating congruence. Then, $S/\mu = \Gamma$ is a fundamental regular *-semigroup, and the natural homomorphism $\xi : S \rightarrow S/\mu$ gives a *-homomorphism (see [3]) (hence, a *-operation $*$ in Γ can be defined by $(a\xi)^* = a^*\xi$, where $\#$ is a *-operation in S). Further, it is obvious that $\lambda\xi^{-1} = S_{\lambda}$ is a subgroup of S for each $\lambda \in E_{\Gamma}$. Hence, $M = \cup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in E_{\Gamma}\}$ (where E_{Γ} is the set of idempotents of Γ) is a partial subgroupoid of S and satisfies (C.0).

Let $N_M(F_\Gamma) = \cup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in F_\Gamma\}$, where F_Γ is the set of projections of Γ , that is, $F_\Gamma = \{\tau \in E_\Gamma : \tau^* = \tau\}$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $\gamma \zeta^{-1} = S_\gamma$. Since $\gamma \gamma^* \in F_\Gamma$, $S_{\gamma \gamma^*} \subset N_M(F_\Gamma)$. For $\lambda \in E_\Gamma$, let e_λ be the identity of S_λ . Let x_γ be a representative of S_γ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, especially $x_\lambda = e_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in E_\Gamma$. Then, clearly $S_{\gamma \gamma^*} x_\gamma \subset S_\gamma$. Conversely, for any $y \in S_\gamma$, we have $y x_\gamma^* \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}$, whence $y x_\gamma^* x_\gamma \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*} x_\gamma$. Thus, $S_{\gamma \gamma^*} x_\gamma = S_\gamma$. Now, for any $y \in S_\gamma$, there exists a unique $u \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}$ such that $u x_\gamma = y$ (the uniqueness of u is obvious).

For any $u x_\gamma \in S_\gamma$ (where $u \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}$) and $v x_\delta \in S_\delta$ (where $v \in S_{\delta \delta^*}$), $u x_\gamma v x_\delta = w x_\gamma x_\delta$ for some $w \in S_{\gamma \delta (\gamma \delta)^*}$. Since $u x_\gamma v x_\delta x_\delta^* x_\gamma^* = w x_\gamma x_\delta (x_\gamma x_\delta)^*$, it follows that $w = u x_\gamma v x_\gamma^*$. Hence, $u x_\gamma v x_\delta = u v \bar{v} x_\gamma x_\delta$, where $\bar{v} = x_\gamma v x_\gamma^*$. Put $x_\gamma x_\delta = C(\gamma, \delta) x_{\gamma \delta}$, where $C(\gamma, \delta) \in S_{\gamma \delta (\gamma \delta)^*}$.

Then,

$$(C.4) \quad u x_\gamma v x_\delta = u v \bar{v} C(\gamma, \delta) x_{\gamma \delta} \quad \text{for } u \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}, v \in S_{\delta \delta^*}.$$

Now, it is easy to verify that $\Delta = \{\bar{v}, C(\gamma, \delta)\}_{\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma}$ satisfies the condition (C.3). Therefore, we can consider the *-regular product $N_M(F_\Gamma) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$ determined by Δ . That is,

$$(C.5) \quad \begin{aligned} N_M(F_\Gamma) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma &= \{(a, \gamma) : a \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}, \\ (a, \gamma)(b, \delta) &= (a \bar{v} C(\gamma, \delta), \gamma \delta), \\ (a, \gamma)^* &= (t, \gamma^*), \text{ where } t \bar{v} = a^{-1} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

LEMMA 3.1. *S is *-isomorphic to $N_M(F_\Gamma) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$.*

PROOF. Define $\psi : S \rightarrow N_M(F_\Gamma) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$ by $x \psi = (u, \eta)$ if $x = u x_\eta$, $u \in S_{\eta \eta^*}$. It is obvious that ψ is bijective. For any $x = u x_\gamma$, $y = v x_\delta$, where $u \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}$, $v \in S_{\delta \delta^*}$, $u x_\gamma v x_\delta = u v \bar{v} C(\gamma, \delta) x_{\gamma \delta}$. Hence, $(x y) \psi = (u v \bar{v} C(\gamma, \delta), \gamma \delta) = (u, \gamma)(v, \delta) = (x \psi)(y \psi)$. This implies that ψ is an isomorphism. Let $a = u x_\gamma$, $u \in S_{\gamma \gamma^*}$. Then, $a^* = x_\gamma^* u^* = v x_{\gamma^*}$, $v \in S_{\gamma^* \gamma}$. Now, $v x_{\gamma^*} x_\gamma = x_\gamma^* u^* x_\gamma$, implies $v C(\gamma^*, \gamma) = x_\gamma^* u^{-1} x_\gamma$. By (2) of (C.3), $u^{-1} = x_\gamma v C(\gamma^*, \gamma) x_\gamma^*$, that is, $u^{-1} = v \bar{v} C(\gamma^*, \gamma) \bar{v} = v \bar{v} C(\gamma, \gamma^*)$. Since $(v, \gamma^*) = (u, \gamma)^*$, it follows that $a^* \psi = (u, \gamma)^* = (a \psi)^*$. Hence, ψ is a *-isomorphism.

Summerizing the results above, the following is obtained:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let S be a regular *-semigroup. Then, there exist a fundamental regular *-semigroup Γ , a partial groupoid $M = \Sigma \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in E_\Gamma\}$ (where each S_λ is a subgroup of M) satisfying (C.0) and a factor set $\Delta = \{\bar{v}, C(\gamma, \delta)\}_{\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma}$ belonging to $\{N_M(F_\Gamma), \Gamma\}$, where $N_M(F_\Gamma) = \cup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in F_\Gamma\}$, such that S is *-isomorphic to $N_M(F_\Gamma) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$.*

*Conversely, let Γ be a fundamental regular *-semigroup, and $M = \Sigma \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in E_\Gamma\}$ (where each S_λ is a subgroup of M) a partial groupoid satisfying (C.0). Put $N_M(F_\Gamma)$*

$= \cup \{S_\lambda: \lambda \in F_\Gamma\}$, and let $\Delta = \{\bar{\gamma}, C(\gamma, \delta)\}_{\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma}$ be a factor set belonging to $\{N_M(F_\Gamma), \Gamma\}$. Then, the $*$ -regular product $N_M(F_\Gamma) \otimes_{\Delta} \Gamma$ is a regular $*$ -semigroup.

References

- [1] Nordahl, T.E. and H.E. Scheiblich, Regular $*$ -semigroups, Semi-group Forum 16 (1978), 36 9-377.
- [2] Yamada, M., P -systems in regular semigroups, to appear.
- [3] —————, On the structure of fundamental regular $*$ -semigroups, to appear.