

On Fundamental Regular * Semigroups

Teruo IMAOKA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan

(Received September 6, 1980)

A semigroup S is called to be *fundamental* if its only one congruence contained in the Green's relation \mathcal{R} on S is the trivial one. Let S be a regular * semigroup, and let E and P be the sets of idempotents and projections of S , respectively. In his paper [3], T. E. Hall gives us the construction of a fundamental regular semigroup $T_{\langle E \rangle}$ which is a generalization of [2] and [4]. In this paper, we shall show that the set of projections plays an important role in the theory of regular * semigroups, and construct a fundamental regular * semigroup T_P , say, by using P instead of $\langle E \rangle$.

A semigroup S with a unary operation $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ is called a * semigroup if it satisfies

(i) $(x^*)^* = x$,

(ii) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$.

Let S and T be * semigroups. A mapping $\phi: S \rightarrow T$ is called a * homomorphism if ϕ is a (semigroup) homomorphism and $x^*\phi = (x\phi)^*$ for all x in S . A relation ν on S is called a * relation on S if $(x, y) \in \nu$ implies $(x^*, y^*) \in \nu$. A * semigroup S is called a regular * semigroup if it satisfies

(iii) $xx^*x = x$.

An idempotent e in S such that $e^* = e$ is called a projection.

The following result due to Nordahl and Scheiblich is a very basic property of regular * semigroups.

RESULT 1 ([5]). *Let S be a regular * semigroup. Then each \mathcal{L} -class and each \mathcal{R} -class in S contain one and only one projection. Let e and f be projections in S . Then ef is an idempotent in S .*

Hereafter, a regular * semigroup $S(P)$ means that S is a regular * semigroup with the set of projections P . The notations and terminologies are those of [1] and [3], unless otherwise stated.

LEMMA 2. *Let $S(P)$ be a regular * semigroup with the set of idempotents E . Then we have the followings:*

(i) $E = P^2$. More precisely, for any idempotent e , there exist projections f and g such that $e \mathcal{R} f$, $e \mathcal{L} g$ and $e = fg$.

(ii) For e, f in P , $ef \in P$ if and only if $ef = fe$.

PROOF. (i) It follows immediately from Result 1 that $P^2 \subset E$. Let e be any idempotent in S . Then we have that $e \mathcal{R} ee^* = f$, say, $e \mathcal{L} e^*e = g$, say, and $e = ee^*e = ee^*e^*e = fg$.

(ii) Clear.

LEMMA 3. Let $S(P)$ be a regular $*$ semigroup. For any a in S and any e in P , a^*ea is a projection.

PROOF. Since aa^* is a projection, eea^* is an idempotent. Then $(a^*ea)^2 = a^*eaa^*eaa^*a = a^*eaa^*a = a^*ea$, and $(a^*ea)^* = a^*e^*(a^*)^* = a^*ea$. Thus we have $a^*ea \in P$.

A $[*]$ congruence ν on a regular $[*]$ semigroup S is called an *idempotent-separating $[*]$ congruence* if $\nu \subset \mathcal{H}_S$. Compare the following with Cor. 4.6[5].

THEOREM 4. Let μ [μ'] be the maximum idempotent-separating $[*]$ congruence on a regular $*$ semigroup $S(P)$. Then we have $\mu = \mu' = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : a^*ea = b^*eb \text{ and } aea^* = beb^* \text{ for all } e \in P\}$.

PROOF. Let us denote the given relation by ν . It is clear that ν is a $*$ equivalence. Let $(a, b) \in \nu$. For any $e \in P$ and $c \in S$,

$$(ac)^*eac = c^*a^*eac = c^*b^*ebc = (bc)^*ebc,$$

$$(ca)^*eca = a^*c^*eca = b^*c^*ecb = (cb)^*ecb,$$

since c^*ec is a projection. Similarly we have $ace(ac)^* = bce(bc)^*$ and $cae(ca)^* = cbe(cb)^*$, and hence ν is a $*$ congruence.

Let $(x, y) \in \nu \cap E \times E$, where E denotes the set of idempotents of S . By Lemma 2, there exist projections e, f, g and h such that $x = ef$ and $y = gh$. Then

$$x = x^2 = efef = ef^*e^*eef = e(ef)^*eef = ex^*ex = ey^*ey \in Sy.$$

Similarly $y \in Sx$, and so $x \mathcal{L} y$. Similarly $x \mathcal{R} y$, and hence $x \mathcal{H} y$. Since x and y are both idempotents, we have $x = y$. Thus ν is an idempotent-separating $*$ congruence on S .

Finally, we shall show that ν is the maximum idempotent-separating $[*]$ congruence. Let ρ be any idempotent-separating $[*]$ congruence on S . If $(a, b) \in \rho$, then $(a, b) \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $aa^* \mathcal{R} a$ and $bb^* \mathcal{R} b$, projections aa^* and bb^* are contained in a same \mathcal{R} -class. Since each \mathcal{R} -class contains one and only one projection, we have $aa^* = bb^*$. Similarly $a^*a = b^*b$. Then $a^* = a^*aa^* = a^*bb^*$ and $b^* = b^*bb^* = a^*ab^*$. Since ρ is a congruence, $(a^*bb^*, a^*ab^*) \in \rho$ and we have $(a^*, b^*) \in \rho$. Using again the

fact that ρ is a congruence, $(a^*ea, b^*eb) \in \rho$ and $(aea^*, beb^*) \in \rho$ for all $e \in P$. But ρ is an idempotent-separating congruence, we have that $a^*ea = b^*eb$ and $aea^* = beb^*$ for all $e \in P$. Then $(a, b) \in \nu$, and hence $\rho \subset \nu$.

Let P be the set of projections of a regular * semigroup S . For any $a \in S$, let ρ_a and λ_a be mappings of P into P defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e\rho_a &= a^*ea, \\ e\lambda_a &= aea^*. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\rho_{ab} = \rho_a\rho_b$ and $\lambda_{ab} = \lambda_b\lambda_a$. Let \mathcal{T}_P^* be the dual semigroup of \mathcal{T}_P and denote its product by \cdot , that is, $\alpha \cdot \beta = \beta\alpha$ where the right side is the usual product of transformations β and α .

THEOREM 5. *Let $S(P)$ be a regular * semigroup, and let ξ be a mapping of S into $\mathcal{T}_P \times \mathcal{T}_P^*$ defined by $a\xi = (\rho_a, \lambda_a)$. Then ξ is a homomorphism whose kernel is the maximum idempotent-separating congruence on S .*

PROOF. It is obvious ξ is a homomorphism. Let E be the set of idempotents of S . Firstly, suppose that $(e, f) \in \ker \xi \cap (E \times E)$. Then $e = eee = e\rho_e = e\rho_f = fef$. Similarly $f = efe$, and so $e \mathcal{H} f$. Then $e = f$. Thus $\ker \xi$ is an idempotent-separating congruence on S , and hence $\ker \xi \subset \mu$.

Conversely, if $(a, b) \in \mu$, it follows from Theorem 4 that $a^*ea = b^*eb$ and $aea^* = beb^*$ for all $e \in P$. Then $(\rho_a, \lambda_a) = (\rho_b, \lambda_b)$, and hence $(a, b) \in \ker \xi$.

Let P be the set of projections of a regular * semigroup S . Let A, B be subsets of P . A mapping $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is called a *partial homomorphism* if for a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in A , $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n \in A$ implies that $(a_1\alpha)(a_2\alpha) \cdots (a_n\alpha) \in B$ and $(a_1a_2 \cdots a_n)\alpha = (a_1\alpha)(a_2\alpha) \cdots (a_n\alpha)$. If a partial homomorphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is bijective, we call α a *partial isomorphism*. In this case, we say A is partial isomorphic to B and denote it by $A \stackrel{p}{\cong} B$. For each $e \in P$, let $\langle e \rangle = \{f \in P: f \leq e\} = ePe$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{(e, f) \in P \times P: \langle e \rangle \stackrel{p}{\cong} \langle f \rangle\}$ and for each $(e, f) \in \mathcal{U}$ let $T_{e,f}$ be the set of all partial isomorphisms of $\langle e \rangle$ onto $\langle f \rangle$. Let $T_P = \{(\rho_e\alpha, \lambda_f\alpha^{-1}): \alpha \in T_{e,f}, (e, f) \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Notice that $\rho_e = \lambda_e$ for any $e \in P$. For convenience, we shall sometimes denote $(\rho_e\alpha, \lambda_f\alpha^{-1})$ simply by $\phi(\alpha)$. Let a be any element of S . Denote aa^* by e and a^*a by f . It is clear that mappings $\theta: x \mapsto a^*xa$ and $\theta': y \mapsto aya^*$ are mutually inverse partial isomorphisms of $\langle e \rangle$ onto $\langle f \rangle$ and of $\langle f \rangle$ onto $\langle e \rangle$, respectively. We have easily $(\rho_a, \lambda_a) = (\rho_e\theta, \lambda_f\theta^{-1})$ and hence $S\xi \subset T_P \subset \mathcal{T}_P \times \mathcal{T}_P^*$, where ξ is the homomorphism in Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. *Let P be the set of projections of a regular * semigroup. Define a unary operation $*$: $T_P \rightarrow T_P$ by $(\rho_e\alpha, \lambda_f\alpha^{-1})^* = (\rho_f\alpha^{-1}, \lambda_e\alpha)$. Then we have the followings:*

- (i) T_P is a regular * subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}_P \times \mathcal{T}_P^*$,
- (ii) the set of projections of T_P is $\{(\rho_e, \lambda_e): e \in P\}$ and it is partial isomorphic

to P ,

(iii) for $(e, f) \in \mathcal{U}$, $\alpha \in T_{e,f}$ and $g \in P$,

$$\phi(\alpha)^*(\rho_g, \lambda_g)\phi(\alpha) = (\rho_{(ege)\alpha}, \lambda_{(ege)\alpha}),$$

(iv) T_P is fundamental.

PROOF. (i) Let $(\rho_e\alpha, \lambda_f\alpha^{-1})$, $(\rho_g\beta, \lambda_h\beta^{-1})$ be any elements of T_P . Then the range of $\rho_e\alpha = fPf$ and the range of $\rho_e\alpha\rho_g\beta = \{(gfgfg)\beta : x \in P\}$. Now,

$$gfPfg = gfg(fPf)gfg \subset gfgPfg = gf(gPg)fg \subset gfPfg,$$

and hence the range of $\rho_e\alpha\rho_g\beta = \{jxj : x \in P\}$, where $j = (gfg)\beta$. We remark that $\beta| \langle gfg \rangle$ is a partial isomorphism of $\langle gfg \rangle$ onto $\langle j \rangle$, with inverse $\beta^{-1}| \langle j \rangle$. Define mappings $\theta_{gfg} : \langle gfg \rangle \rightarrow \langle gfg \rangle$ and $\theta_{fgf} : \langle gfg \rangle \rightarrow \langle fgf \rangle$ by $x\theta_{gfg} = gfgxgfg$ and $y\theta_{fgf} = fgfyfgf$, respectively. It is clear that θ_{gfg} and θ_{fgf} are mutually inverse partial isomorphisms. Let us denote $(fgf)\alpha^{-1}$ by i . It is obvious that $\alpha| \langle i \rangle$ is a partial isomorphism of $\langle i \rangle$ onto $\langle fgf \rangle$, with inverse $\alpha^{-1}| \langle fgf \rangle$. Let $\gamma = (\alpha| \langle i \rangle)(\theta_{gfg}| \langle fgf \rangle)$. $(\beta| \langle gfg \rangle)$ be a partial isomorphism of $\langle i \rangle$ onto $\langle j \rangle$. We shall show that $\rho_e\alpha\rho_g\beta = \rho_i\gamma$. For any x in P ,

$$\begin{aligned} x\rho_i\gamma &= (ixei)\alpha(\theta_{gfg}| \langle fgf \rangle)(\beta| \langle gfg \rangle) \quad \text{since } i \leq e, \\ &= (ix)((exe)\alpha)(ix)(\theta_{gfg}| \langle fgf \rangle)(\beta| \langle gfg \rangle) \\ &= (gfgfgf((exe)\alpha)fgfgfg)\beta \\ &= (g((exe)\alpha)g)\beta \quad \text{since } (exe)\alpha \in fPf, \\ &= x\rho_e\alpha\rho_g\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\rho_e\alpha\rho_g\beta = \rho_i\gamma$. Similarly $(\lambda_f\alpha^{-1}) \cdot (\lambda_h\beta^{-1}) = \lambda_h\beta^{-1}\lambda_f\alpha^{-1} = \lambda_j\gamma^{-1}$, and hence $(\rho_e\alpha, \lambda_f\alpha^{-1})(\rho_g\beta, \lambda_h\beta^{-1}) = (\rho_i\gamma, \lambda_j\gamma^{-1}) \in T_P$. Then we have T_P is a subsemigroup.

Next, we shall show that T_P is a regular $*$ semigroup. It is obvious $(\phi(\alpha)^*)^* = \phi(\alpha)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\beta)^*\phi(\alpha)^* &= (\rho_h\beta^{-1}, \lambda_g\beta)(\rho_f\alpha^{-1}, \lambda_e\alpha) \\ &= (\rho_k\delta, \lambda_m\delta^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $k = (gfg)\beta$, $m = (fgf)\alpha^{-1}$ and $\delta = (\beta^{-1}| \langle k \rangle)(\theta_{fgf}| \langle gfg \rangle)(\alpha^{-1}| \langle fgf \rangle)$. Then we have $k = j$, $m = i$ and $\delta = \alpha^{-1}$, and hence $\phi(\beta)^*\phi(\alpha)^* = (\rho_j\gamma^{-1}, \lambda_i\gamma) = (\rho_i\gamma, \lambda_j\gamma^{-1})^* = (\phi(\alpha)\phi(\beta))^*$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha)\phi(\alpha)^*\phi(\alpha) &= (\rho_{f\alpha^{-1}\alpha}\theta_f\alpha^{-1}, \lambda_{f\alpha^{-1}\alpha}\theta_f^{-1}\alpha^{-1})\phi(\alpha) \\ &= (\rho_{e'\langle e \rangle}, \lambda_{e'\langle e \rangle})(\rho_e\alpha, \lambda_f\alpha^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\rho_e \alpha, \lambda_f \alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \phi(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that T_P is a regular * subsemigroup of $\mathcal{T}_P \times \mathcal{T}_P^*$.

(ii) It is clear that (ρ_e, λ_e) is a projection for each $e \in P$. Conversely, suppose that $(\rho_e \alpha, \lambda_f \alpha^{-1})$ is a projection of T_P . Since $(\rho_e \alpha, \lambda_f \alpha^{-1}) = \phi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha)^* = (\rho_f \alpha^{-1}, \lambda_e \alpha)$, $fPf =$ the range of $\rho_e \alpha =$ the range of $\rho_f \alpha^{-1} = ePe$. Then we have $e=f$, and so $\alpha \in T_{e,e}$. Since $\phi(\alpha)$ is an idempotent, $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and so $\alpha = \iota_{\langle e \rangle}$. Therefore $\phi(\alpha) = (\rho_e, \lambda_e)$ and the set of projections of T_P is $\{(\rho_e, \lambda_e) : e \in P\}$. It is clear that $\{(\rho_e, \lambda_e) : e \in P\}$ is partially isomorphic to P .

(iii) Let $(e, f) \in \mathcal{U}$, $\alpha \in T_{e,f}$ and $g \in P$. Setting $\gamma = (\alpha^{-1} | \langle (ege)\alpha \rangle) (\theta_{geg} | \langle ege \rangle)$, we have easily that $(geg)\gamma^{-1} = (ege)\alpha$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha)^* (\rho_g, \lambda_g) \phi(\alpha) &= (\rho_{(ege)\alpha} \gamma, \lambda_{geg} \gamma^{-1}) (\rho_e \alpha, \lambda_f \alpha^{-1}) \\ &= (\rho_{(ege)\alpha} \psi, \lambda_{(ege)\alpha} \psi^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \psi &= (\gamma | \langle (ege)\alpha \rangle) (\theta_{geg} | \langle geg \rangle) (\alpha | \langle ege \rangle) = \iota_{\langle (ege)\alpha \rangle} \\ &= (\rho_{(ege)\alpha}, \lambda_{(ege)\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let μ be the maximum idempotent-separating congruence on T_P , and suppose that $(\rho_e \alpha, \lambda_f \alpha^{-1}) \mu (\rho_g \beta, \lambda_h \beta^{-1})$. By Theorem 4, $\phi(\alpha)^* (\rho_i, \lambda_i) \phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)^* (\rho_i, \lambda_i) \phi(\beta)$ and $\phi(\alpha) (\rho_i, \lambda_i) \phi(\alpha)^* = \phi(\beta) (\rho_i, \lambda_i) \phi(\beta)^*$ for all $i \in P$. It follows from (iii) above that $(\rho_{(eie)\alpha}, \lambda_{(eie)\alpha}) = (\rho_{(gig)\beta}, \lambda_{(gig)\beta})$ and $(\rho_{(fif)\alpha^{-1}}, \lambda_{(fif)\alpha^{-1}}) = (\rho_{(hjh)\beta^{-1}}, \lambda_{(hjh)\beta^{-1}})$. Since $\xi: S \rightarrow T_P$ is a homomorphism whose kernel is the maximum idempotent-separating congruence on S , we have that $i\rho_e \alpha = (eie)\alpha = (gig)\beta = i\rho_g \beta$ and $i\lambda_f \alpha^{-1} = (fif)\alpha^{-1} = (hjh)\beta^{-1} = i\lambda_h \beta^{-1}$. Then $\rho_e \alpha = \rho_g \beta$ and $\lambda_f \alpha^{-1} = \lambda_h \beta^{-1}$, and hence $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta)$. Thus T_P is fundamental.

References

- [1] Clifford, A. H. and G. B. Preston, The algebraic theory of semigroups, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, Vol. I, 1961.
- [2] Hall, T. E., On orthodox semigroups and uniform and antiuniform bands, J. Algebra, **16** (1970), 204–217.
- [3] Hall, T. E., On regular semigroups, J. Algebra, **24** (1973), 1–24.
- [4] Munn, W. D., Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), **17** (1966), 151–159.
- [5] Nordahl, T. E. and H. E. Scheiblich, Regular * semigroups, Semigroup Forum, **16** (1978), 369–377.