Analysis of *LOXL1* gene variants in Japanese patients with branch retinal vein occlusion

Katsunori Hara, Masakazu Akahori, Masaki Tanito, Sachiko Kaidzu, Akihiro Ohira, Takeshi Iwata

(The first two authors contributed equally to this work)

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Shimane University Faculty of Medicine, Izumo, Shimane, Japan; ²National Institute of Sensory Organs, National Hospital Organization Tokyo Medical Center, Tokyo, Japan

Purpose: Previous studies have described a possible association between exfoliation syndrome (EX) and various ocular and systemic vascular disorders; however, the association between EX and branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) remains unclear. Because slit-lamp examination may overlook latent deposits of exfoliation materials, an ocular biopsy is usually needed for a precise diagnosis. We evaluated a possible association between EX and BRVO using lysyl oxidase-like 1 (*LOXL1*) gene variants as alternative markers for EX.

Methods: Allelic and genotypic frequencies of three *LOXL1* variants (rs1048661, rs3825942, and rs2165241) were determined for 78 consecutive Japanese patients with BRVO (11 patients with exfoliation syndrome [EX+], 67 patients without exfoliation syndrome [EX-]), and 158 patients with cataract without EX (CT) as controls.

Results: The rs1048661 variant differed between the BRVO and CT groups in allelic and genotypic frequencies (p=0.0137 and p=0.0203, respectively). Subgroup analysis, compared to the CT group, showed that BRVO EX+ had significantly different allelic and genotypic frequencies of rs1048661 (p=0.00011 and p=0.000189, respectively), while BRVO EX-did not (p=0.175 and p=0.288, respectively). The frequencies of rs3825942 and rs2165241 did not differ between the BRVO and CT groups.

Conclusions: No association was found between BRVO and EX if *LOXL1* variants were used as disease markers for clinically undetectable EX. The results suggested that *LOXL1* variants, well established markers for EX, are not likely genetic markers for BRVO in Japanese subjects.

Retinal vein occlusions (RVOs), including central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO), an occlusion at the central trunk of the retinal vein, and branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO), an occlusion at an arteriovenous crossing where the retinal artery and vein are bound by a common adventitial sheath, are important causes of ocular morbidity [1,2]. Although CRVO and BRVO have several risk factors in common, including systemic hypertension, smoking, hyperlipidemia, and elevated plasma homocysteine [1,2], they do not fully explain the involvement of the central trunk or branch of the retinal vein circulation.

Exfoliation syndrome (EX), the most common identifiable cause of open-angle glaucoma worldwide, is an age-related, generalized disorder of the extracellular matrix characterized by the production and progressive accumulation of fibrillar extracellular material in many ocular tissues [3]. A recent genome-wide association study reported that one intronic single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP; rs2165241) and two exonic SNPs (rs1048661 [R141L], rs3825942 [G153D]) in the first exon of the lysyl oxidase-like 1

Correspondence to: Masaki Tanito, Department of Ophthalmology, Shimane University Faculty of Medicine, Enya 89-1, Izumo, Shimane, 693-8501, Japan; Phone: +81-853-20-2284; FAX: +81-853-20-2278; email: tanito-oph@umin.ac.jp

(LOXL1) gene on chromosome 15q24.1 are highly associated with EX in Icelandic and Swedish populations, and that none of these SNPs was associated with primary open-angle glaucoma in the two populations [4]. Several studies have confirmed the association of these SNPs with EX in other populations [5], including a Japanese population [6-11].

In addition to ocular tissues, production and progressive accumulation of exfoliation materials occur in skin and various visceral organs [3,12]. The association of EX with various systemic vascular and neurodegenerative disorders has been described in ischemic heart disease [13,14], carotid stiffness [15], cerebrovascular disease [16], Alzheimer disease [17], and hearing loss [18]. Regarding RVO, several studies have described a possible association between CRVO and EX diagnosed based on chart review [19], slit-lamp examination [20], histopathologic studies in enucleated eyes [21,22], and a combination of slit-lamp examination and conjunctival biopsy [23], while only a few studies have evaluated the association between BRVO and EX [19,20]. Recently, the role of the LOXL1 polymorphism has been tested in several ocular [24] and systemic [25,26] pathologies to explore the association between EX and these pathologies, suggesting the usefulness of analyzing LOXL1 variants as a disease marker for EX.

ADIE	SUMMARY OF STUDY POPULATIONS.	

		BRVO			
	Total	EX-	EX+	CT	p-value
No. of subjets	78	67	11	158	_
Men/Women					
No.	32/46	29/38	3/8	45/113	0.0568*
%	41/59	33/67	27/73	28/72	
Age (years)					
Mean±SD	73.2±9.6	72 ± 9.4	80.5 ± 6.8	76.9 ± 4.9	5.81×10 ⁻⁵ †
Range	47–88	47–87	69-88	70–90	

^{*}Fisher's exact probability test between BRVO (total) and CT groups. †Unpaired t-test between BRVO (total) and CT groups.

In the current study, we tested the association between *LOXL1* variants and BRVO in a Japanese population to explore a possible association between EX and BRVO.

METHODS

Subjects: Unrelated Japanese subjects with BRVO (n=78) were consecutively recruited at the Shimane University Hospital and Iinan Hospital in Shimane, Japan. The BRVO group was divided into two subgroups based on the presence (EX+, n=11) or absence (EX-, n=67) of clinically detectable ocular deposits of exfoliation material. The data set from patients with cataract without deposits of exfoliation material (CT, n=158) reported in our previous study [11] served as a control. The demographic data including age and gender for each group are summarized in Table 1.

Methods: The current study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The institutional review boards of both hospitals reviewed and approved the research. All subjects provided written informed consent. All subjects underwent a dilated pupil examination of the anterior segments, ocular media, and fundus using a slit-lamp (RO5000, Buchmann Deutschland, Düsseldorf, Germany) and a funduscope (BS-III, Neitz Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). BRVO was diagnosed if the fundus examination revealed venous dilation and tortuosity with flame-shaped and dot-blot hemorrhages in a wedge-shaped region. Patients with CRVO and hemi-CRVO were excluded. Deposits of exfoliation material were identified if the slit-lamp examination revealed a typical pattern of exfoliation material on the anterior lens surface and/or pupillary margin.

DNA genotyping: Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral white blood cells of each subject. A polymerase chain reaction was performed using primers designed to amplify the genomic region containing both rs1048661 and rs3825942 (forward primer: 5'-AGG TGT ACA GCT TGC TCA ACT C-3' and reverse primer: 5'-TAG TAC ACG AAA CCC TGG TCG T-3') or only rs2165241 (forward primer: 5'-AGA ATG CAA GAC CTC AGC ATG AG-3' and reverse primer: 5'-TAG TGG CCA GAG GTC TGC TAA G-3'). The sequence was determined based on the dideoxy terminator

method using an ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. We used SeqScape Software version 2.5 (Applied Biosystems) to analyze the sequence alignment. *Statistical analysis:* Statistical analysis was performed using R version 2.6.2. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the allele or genotype frequencies of each group with the controls.

RESULTS

The allelic and genotypic counts and frequencies of SNPs rs1048661, rs3825942, and rs2165241 within *LOXL1* are shown in Table 2. Compared to the CT group, the T allele and TT genotype frequencies of rs1048661 were higher in patients with BRVO (p=0.0137 and p=0.0203, respectively). In subgroup analysis, compared to the CT group, the group with BRVO with exfoliation material deposits (EX+) had significantly different allelic and genotypic frequencies (p=0.00011 and p=0.000189, respectively), while the group with BRVO without exfoliation material deposits (EX-) had no difference in allelic and genotypic frequencies (p=0.175 and p=0.288, respectively). Compared to the CT group, the frequencies of the G allele of rs3825942 and the C allele of rs2165241 were higher in the BRVO EX+ groups with borderline significance (p=0.0933)and p=0.0908respectively), but the allelic and genotypic frequencies did not differ between any pairs of BRVO total or BRVO EX- and the CT group.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to identify a possible association between *LOXL1* variants and BRVO. The prevalence of clinical EX increases with age, especially after age 60 [3]. Accordingly, detection of exfoliation material deposits by slit-lamp examination may overlook latent EX. Indeed, previous studies have suggested that the prevalence of exfoliation material deposits found on histopathologic assessment of ocular specimens was roughly double compared with the slit-lamp examination [27,28]. A conjunctival biopsy can detect preclinical EX that is not evident on slit-lamp examination [23]; however, because the

Total EX- EX+ CT Payalue* Payalue* Stout Frequency Count Frequency Count Frequency Count Frequency Total BRVO EX+ Allele 8 0.556 66 0.434 6.3 0.515 19 0.864 140 0.443 0.0137 EX+ versus CT versus EX+ versus CT versus EX+ CT versus EX+ versus CT versus EX+ versus CT versus EX+ versus CT versus EX+ versus CT CT versus CT CT versus CT			TABLE	. Paterio And	TABLE Z. TALLELIC AND GENOTHE COURT PRECEDENCES OF BIATS AND CASO OLD 772, AND ASELUCIONED BRVO	C C	orea or pint a karo	10001, NSJ 02.	20126N GNA ,2FC			
Count Frequency Total BRVO Wersus EX. Versus EX. CT CT <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Total</th><th></th><th>EX-</th><th> </th><th>-XX+</th><th></th><th>CT</th><th></th><th>p-value*</th><th></th></t<>			Total		EX-		-XX+		CT		p-value*	
86 0.566 67 0.515 19 0.864 140 0.443 0.0137 0.175 24 0.434 63 0.485 3 0.136 176 0.657 0.175 24 0.500 35 0.246 8 0.727 25 0.158 0.0203 0.288 38 0.500 35 0.538 3 0.273 90 0.570 0.288 131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.953 0.570 0.570 0.272 0.273 0.045 61 0.193 0.154 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.348 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.348 0.155 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.154 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.154 0.154 0.045 0.154 0.153 0.153 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154		Count	Frequency	Count	Frequency	Count	Frequency	Count	Frequency	Total versus CT	BRVO EX- versus	EX+ versus CT
86 0.566 67 0.515 19 0.864 140 0.443 0.0137 0.175 24 0.434 63 0.485 3 0.136 176 0.557 0.0137 0.0137 0.175 0.0184 0.0175 0.0203 0.288 0.0203 0.272 0.570 0.570 0.273 0.0272 0.570 0.272 0.272 0.0348 0.1044 0.0445 0.045	rs1048661 Allele									}	CT	
66 0.434 63 0.485 3 0.136 176 0.557 24 0.316 16 0.246 8 0.727 25 0.158 0.0203 0.288 38 0.500 35 0.538 3 0.273 90 0.570 0.288 14 0.184 14 0.215 0 43 0.272 0.348 21 0.182 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.348 17 0.224 16 0.046 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.335 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.046 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 13 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.212 0.424 13 0.154 0 0 39 0.123 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156	Г	98	0.566	29	0.515	19	0.864	140	0.443	0.0137	0.175	1.10×10^{-4}
24 0.316 16 0.246 8 0.727 25 0.158 0.0203 0.288 38 0.500 35 0.538 3 0.273 90 0.570 0.570 0.288 131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.955 255 0.807 0.155 0.348 21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 17 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.335 0.212 0.424 13 0.856 2 1.000 2 4 0.025 0.123 0.144 0 0 9 0.123 0.156 0.153 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156 0.156	Ð	99	0.434	63	0.485	3	0.136	176	0.557			
24 0.316 16 0.246 8 0.727 25 0.158 0.0203 0.288 38 0.500 35 0.538 3 0.273 90 0.570 0.288 14 0.184 14 0.215 0 0.955 255 0.807 0.155 0.348 21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.424 57 0.750 47 0.723 10 0.909 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.024 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 135 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 2 0.424 135 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.185 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 277 0.965 0.056 <	Genotype											
38 0.500 35 0.538 3 0.273 90 0.570 131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.955 255 0.807 0.155 0.348 21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.154 0.446 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.212 0.424 17 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 17 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.244 13 0.856 2 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.685 61 0.799 3 0.045 0 0 39 0.123 0.765 0.685	TT	24	0.316	16	0.246	∞	0.727	25	0.158	0.0203	0.288	1.89×10^{-4}
14 0.184 14 0.215 0 43 0.272 131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.955 255 0.807 0.155 0.348 21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.348 57 0.750 47 0.724 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 13 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.025 135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 39 0.123 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 3 0.095 31 0.096 0.096 0.096 0.096 0.096 0.096 <th>JL</th> <td>38</td> <td>0.500</td> <td>35</td> <td>0.538</td> <td>33</td> <td>0.273</td> <td>90</td> <td>0.570</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	JL	38	0.500	35	0.538	33	0.273	90	0.570			
131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.955 255 0.807 0.155 0.348 21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.348 57 0.750 47 0.723 10 0.909 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 13 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.025 13 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 1 0.541 61 0.765 13 0.197 0 0 39 0.123 0.765 0.685 13 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025 0.685	CC	14	0.184	14	0.215	0	0	43	0.272			
131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.955 255 0.807 0.155 0.348 51 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.155 0.348 57 0.750 47 0.724 1 0.099 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 135 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 1 0.454 0.255 0.444 0 0 39 0.123 1 0.541 0 0 0 0 0.123 1 0.156 0 0 0 0 0.156 0.158 0.156 0 0 0 0 0 0.156 0.156 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th>rs3825942</th> <td></td>	rs3825942											
131 0.862 110 0.846 21 0.953 253 0.807 0.133 0.548 21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 0.113 0.548 57 0.750 47 0.723 10 0.999 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.235 0.212 0.424 2 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.025 1 0.541 135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.045 0 0 4 0.025 0.685	Allele		000	-	7700	7	u 0	ų C	0000	ų 1	0.740	
21 0.138 20 0.154 1 0.045 61 0.193 57 0.750 47 0.723 10 0.909 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.325 0.424 2 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.025 0.025 135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 1 0.765 0.685 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025 0.765 0.685	כ	151	0.802	110	0.840	17	0.955	CC7	0.80/	0.155	0.348	0.0955
57 0.750 47 0.723 10 0.909 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 17 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 1 0.025 1 0.025 1 0.025 1 0.025 1 0.045 0	A	21	0.138	20	0.154	_	0.045	61	0.193			
57 0.750 47 0.723 10 0.909 101 0.639 0.212 0.424 17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 0.212 0.424 2 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 0.025 135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 1 0.765 0.685 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 3 0.045 0 4 0.025 0.085 0.685	Genotype											
17 0.224 16 0.246 1 0.091 53 0.335 2 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.123 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 4 0.025 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 4 0.025	99	57	0.750	47	0.723	10	0.909	101	0.639	0.212	0.424	0.209
2 0.026 2 0.031 0 0 4 0.025 135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.123 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 4 0.025 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 4 0.025	AG	17	0.224	16	0.246	-	0.091	53	0.335			
135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.123 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 31 0.196 0 0 4 0.025	AA	2	0.026	7	0.031	0	0	4	0.025			
135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 0.123 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 31 0.196 0 0 4 0.025 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025 0 0	rs2165241											
135 0.877 113 0.856 22 1.000 277 0.877 1 0.541 19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 1 0.541 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 31 0.196 0.025 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025	Allele											
19 0.123 19 0.144 0 0 39 0.123 61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 31 0.196 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025	C	135	0.877	113	0.856	22	1.000	277	0.877	-	0.541	0.0908
61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 31 0.196 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025	Т	19	0.123	19	0.144	0	0	39	0.123			
61 0.792 50 0.758 11 1.000 123 0.778 0.765 0.685 13 0.169 13 0.197 0 0 31 0.196 3 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4 0.025	Genotype											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SS	61	0.792	50	0.758	11	1.000	123	0.778	0.765	0.685	0.335
3 0.039 3 0.045 0 0 4	CT	13	0.169	13	0.197	0	0	31	0.196			
	LI	m	0.039	n	0.045	0	0	4	0.025			

*Fisher's exact probability test.

biopsy is invasive, it cannot be used for all patients. The role of the *LOXL1* polymorphism has been tested in several pathologies including wet and dry age-related macular degeneration and polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy in a Japanese population [24], Alzheimer disease in a Swedish population [25], and cardiovascular disease in a Hungarian population [26]. Fuse et al. found a significant association between the rs1048661 polymorphism and wet age-related macular degeneration in a Japanese population [24]. These studies encouraged us to use the *LOXL1* polymorphism as an alternative marker of clinically undetectable EX other than invasive biopsy/histopathology.

Among the three SNPs reported [4], rs1048661 has been consistently suggested as the most significant indicator of EX/glaucoma in Icelandic, Swedish, and Japanese populations [6-11]. Accordingly, our results of a significant difference in allelic and genotypic frequencies of rs1048661 between all subjects with BRVO and CT or BRVO EX+ and CT groups confirmed previous observations of the strong role of this SNP in EX. The results also suggested that using this SNP, we can detect a case—control association for EX even with such a small number of subjects (n=11) in a case group. In the same context, the other two SNPs, which showed only a borderline difference between BRVO EX+ and CT groups, may not have enough discriminatory power with this small number of subjects.

Since both the BRVO EX- and CT groups, which were classified based on slit-lamp examination as not having EX, were identical except for the presence or absence of BRVO. comparison between these two groups should provide the most reliable information about the possible role of the LOXL1 variants in BRVO. As a result, the significant difference observed in rs1048661 between the case and control groups was canceled in the analyses between the BRVO EX- and CT groups, suggesting that the percentage of the population at risk of EX is not significantly higher in the BRVO group. A retrospective chart review reported exfoliation material deposits in 6.0% of eyes with BRVO and 6.9% of eyes with CRVO [19], suggesting a lesser extent of BRVO than CRVO in these subjects, since the BRVO/CRVO ratio was 3.2 in the general population [29]. By clinical observation of consecutive cases, the prevalence rates of EX were 8.2% in eyes with BRVO and 20.8% in eyes with CRVO compared with 5.2% in control eyes; thus the authors concluded that EX is likely a risk factor for CRVO [20]. A retrospective chart review showed that RVO occurs more frequently in eyes more affected by EX, and that the most frequent type of RVO that occurred in EX was CRVO (50%) followed by about half that prevalence of BRVO (28%) [23]. In this study, the prevalence rate of EX was 14% in eyes with BRVO from consecutive cases, which may be higher than the rate of EX in BRVO cases and normal control subjects in previous reports [19,20]. Differences in the race or age of subjects may explain the discrepancy, but this needs to be

clarified. Taken together with previous studies, our results suggest that there is no direct role of *LOXL1* variants or EX in the development of BRVO in our Japanese subjects.

In summary, we tested the possible association of *LOXL1* variants with BRVO. We did not find an association between BRVO and EX if the *LOXL1* variants were used as disease markers for clinically undetectable EX.

REFERENCES

- The Eye Disease Case-control Study Group. Risk factors for branch retinal vein occlusion. Am J Ophthalmol 1993; 116:286-96. [PMID: 8357052]
- Cahill MT, Stinnett SS, Fekrat S. Meta-analysis of plasma homocysteine, serum folate, serum vitamin B(12), and thermolabile MTHFR genotype as risk factors for retinal vascular occlusive disease. Am J Ophthalmol 2003; 136:1136-50. [PMID: 14644226]
- Ritch R, Schlotzer-Schrehardt U. Exfoliation syndrome. Surv Ophthalmol 2001; 45:265-315. [PMID: 11166342]
- Thorleifsson G, Magnusson KP, Sulem P, Walters GB, Gudbjartsson DF, Stefansson H, Jonsson T, Jonasdottir A, Stefansdottir G, Masson G, Hardarson GA, Petursson H, Arnarsson A, Motallebipour M, Wallerman O, Wadelius C, Gulcher JR, Thorsteinsdottir U, Kong A, Jonasson F, Stefansson K. Common sequence variants in the LOXL1 gene confer susceptibility to exfoliation glaucoma. Science 2007; 317:1397-400. [PMID: 17690259]
- Jonasson F. From epidemiology to lysyl oxidase like one (LOXL1) polymorphisms discovery: phenotyping and genotyping exfoliation syndrome and exfoliation glaucoma in Iceland. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh) 2009; 87:478-87.
 [PMID: 19664108]
- Hayashi H, Gotoh N, Ueda Y, Nakanishi H, Yoshimura N. Lysyl oxidase-like 1 polymorphisms and exfoliation syndrome in the Japanese population. Am J Ophthalmol 2008; 145:582-5. [PMID: 18201684]
- Ozaki M, Lee KY, Vithana EN, Yong VH, Thalamuthu A, Mizoguchi T, Venkatraman A, Aung T. Association of LOXL1 gene polymorphisms with pseudoexfoliation in the Japanese. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2008; 49:3976-80. [PMID: 18450598]
- 8. Mori K, Imai K, Matsuda A, Ikeda Y, Naruse S, Hitora-Takeshita H, Nakano M, Taniguchi T, Omi N, Tashiro K, Kinoshita S. LOXL1 genetic polymorphisms are associated with exfoliation glaucoma in the Japanese population. Mol Vis 2008; 14:1037-40. [PMID: 18552979]
- Fuse N, Miyazawa A, Nakazawa T, Mengkegale M, Otomo T, Nishida K. Evaluation of LOXL1 polymorphisms in eyes with exfoliation glaucoma in Japanese. Mol Vis 2008; 14:1338-43. [PMID: 18648524]
- Mabuchi F, Sakurada Y, Kashiwagi K, Yamagata Z, Iijima H, Tsukahara S. Lysyl oxidase-like 1 gene polymorphisms in Japanese patients with primary open angle glaucoma and exfoliation syndrome. Mol Vis 2008; 14:1303-8. [PMID: 18636115]
- 11. Tanito M, Minami M, Akahori M, Kaidzu S, Takai Y, Ohira A, Iwata T. LOXL1 variants in elderly Japanese patients with exfoliation syndrome/glaucoma, primary open-angle

- glaucoma, normal tension glaucoma, and cataract. Mol Vis 2008; 14:1898-905. [PMID: 18958304]
- 12. Streeten BW, Li ZY, Wallace RN, Eagle RC Jr, Keshgegian AA. Pseudoexfoliative fibrillopathy in visceral organs of a patient with pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Arch Ophthalmol 1992; 110:1757-62. [PMID: 1463419]
- Bojić L, Ermacora R, Polic S, Ivanisevic M, Mandic Z, Rogosic V, Lesin M. Pseudoexfoliation syndrome and asymptomatic myocardial dysfunction. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2005; 243:446-9. [PMID: 15599584]
- 14. Andrikopoulos GK, Mela EK, Georgakopoulos CD, Papadopoulos GE, Damelou AN, Alexopoulos DK, Gartaganis SP. Pseudoexfoliation syndrome prevalence in Greek patients with cataract and its association to glaucoma and coronary artery disease. Eye (Lond) 2009; 23:442-7. [PMID: 17932505]
- 15. Irkec M. Exfoliation and carotid stiffness. Br J Ophthalmol 2006; 90:529-30. [PMID: 16556616]
- Linnér E, Popovic V, Gottfries CG, Jonsson M, Sjogren M, Wallin A. The exfoliation syndrome in cognitive impairment of cerebrovascular or Alzheimer's type. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 2001; 79:283-5. [PMID: 11401639]
- Janciauskiene S, Krakau T. Alzheimer's peptide: a possible link between glaucoma, exfoliation syndrome and Alzheimer's disease. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 2001; 79:328-9. [PMID: 11401652]
- Yazdani S, Tousi A, Pakravan M, Faghihi AR. Sensorineural hearing loss in pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Ophthalmology 2008; 115:425-9. [PMID: 18187196]
- Cursiefen C, Händel A, Schönherr U, Naumann GO. Pseudoexfoliation syndrome in patients with retinal vein branch and central vein thrombosis. Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd 1997; 211:17-21. [PMID: 9340400]
- Saatci OA, Ferliel ST, Ferliel M, Kaynak S, Ergin MH. Pseudoexfoliation and glaucoma in eyes with retinal vein occlusion. Int Ophthalmol 1999; 23:75-8. [PMID: 11196123]
- 21. Karjalainen K, Tarkkanen A, Merenmies L. Exfoliation syndrome in enucleated haemorrhagic and absolute

- glaucoma. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh) 1987; 65:320-2. [PMID: 3618156]
- Cursiefen C, Hammer T, Kuchle M, Naumann GO, Schlotzer-Schrehardt U. Pseudoexfoliation syndrome in eyes with ischemic central retinal vein occlusion. A histopathologic and electron microscopic study. Acta Ophthalmol Scand 2001; 79:476-8. [PMID: 11594982]
- Ritch R, Prata TS, de Moraes CG, Vessani RM, Costa VP, Konstas AG, Liebmann JM, Schlotzer-Schrehardt U. Association of exfoliation syndrome and central retinal vein occlusion: an ultrastructural analysis. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh) 2010; 88:91-5. [PMID: 19725816]
- Fuse N, Mengkegale M, Miyazawa A, Abe T, Nakazawa T, Wakusawa R, Nishida K. Polymorphisms in ARMS2 (LOC387715) and LOXL1 genes in the Japanese with agerelated macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol 2011; 151:550-6. [PMID: 21236409]
- Abramsson A, Landgren S, Zetterberg M, Seibt Palmer M, Minthon L, Gustafson DR, Skoog I, Blennow K, Zetterberg H. No association of LOXL1 gene polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease. Neuromolecular Med 2011; 13:160-6. [PMID: 21559813]
- Holló G, Gal A, Kothy P, Molnar JM. LOXL1 gene sequence variants and vascular disease in exfoliation syndrome and exfoliative glaucoma. J Glaucoma 2011; 20:143-7. [PMID: 20436359]
- Prince AM, Streeten BW, Ritch R, Dark AJ, Sperling M. Preclinical diagnosis of pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Arch Ophthalmol 1987; 105:1076-82. [PMID: 3632416]
- Konstas AG, Jay JL, Marshall GE, Lee WR. Prevalence, diagnostic features, and response to trabeculectomy in exfoliation glaucoma. Ophthalmology 1993; 100:619-27. [PMID: 8493003]
- David R, Zangwill L, Badarna M, Yassur Y. Epidemiology of retinal vein occlusion and its association with glaucoma and increased intraocular pressure. Ophthalmologica 1988; 197:69-74. [PMID: 3186211]