1 Complex adaptive traits between mating behaviour and post-copulatory sperm behaviour in squids

2

3 Noritaka Hirohashi¹, Tomohiro Iida¹, Noriyosi Sato², Sauer H.H. Warwick³, Yoko Iwata⁴

4

⁵ ¹Oki Marine Biological Station, Shimane University, Oki, Japan

- ²Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University, Penglais,
 Aberystwyth, UK
- ³Department of Ichthyology and Fisheries Science, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa

⁹ ⁴Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Japan

```
10
```

Author for correspondence: Noritaka Hirohashi e-mail: <u>hiro@life.shimane-u.ac.jp</u>
 Tel:+81-8512-2-1814 Fax:+81-8512-2-4357

14 Abstract

15Emergence of male dimorphism within a species is the evolutionary process of disruptive selection. In 16squids, two types of male mating behaviour, known as alternative reproductive tactics (ARTs), are 17causally associated with adult body size. Males inseminate promiscuously with the same females; large 18 "consort" males internally, and small "sneaker" males externally. Previously we found that in 19Heterololigo bleekeri, sneaker (but not consort) spermatozoa are able to swarm by sensing self-emitted 20CO₂. This suggests that a swarming trait might have arisen in sneakers as a "sperm cooperation" strategy 21among sibling sperm in order to compete with consort males, or as a consequence of adaptation to 22external fertilization. To address these possibilities, we examined six species where three patterns of 23insemination are present, namely, only internal, only external, or both ARTs. In three species that employ 24both ARTs (H. bleekeri, Loligo reynaudii and Uroteuthis edulis), sneaker spermatozoa always exhibited 25self-swarming capacity. In Idiosepius paradoxus and Todarodes pacificus, which use only external 26insemination, spermatozoa formed a swarm. However, in Euprymna morsei, which use only internal 27insemination, sperm were unable to swarm. These results suggest that the self-swarming trait is likely to 28be linked to the mode of insemination rather than the alternative strategy used by sneaker males. Thus we 29propose a new hypothesis in which cooperative sperm behaviour has evolved not only through kin 30 selection against sperm competition risks, but also through adaptation to the insemination/fertilization 31 environment. 32

Keywords: Alternative reproductive tactics, sperm trait, sperm competition

- 33 34
- 35
- 36 Introduction

37 In sexually reproducing organisms, a diverse array of mating or post-mating strategies has evolved 38 through sexual selection or post-copulatory sexual selection, such as sperm competition and cryptic 39 female choice, Male dimorphism may be accounted for as evolution favouring two discontinuous niches 40 for mating opportunities arising from intra-sexual conflict (Danforth and Desjardins 1999). Male 41dimorphism is usually linked tightly to ARTs, in which animals with certain phenotypes use a mating 42strategy that differs from the predominant strategy in order to maximize reproductive success, or to 43overcome a reproductive disadvantage (Emlen 1997). In cephalopods alternative reproductive strategies 44by males have long been recognized (Drew 1911b). For example, two distinguishable insemination modes 45are present in Loligo pealei: in one, males transfer the sperm ampulla (spermatophore) to the inner side of 46 the outer buccal membrane, where the seminal receptacle is located (external insemination); in the other, 47the spermatophore is affixed near the end of the oviduct (internal insemination) (Drew 1911a). Consort 48males form temporary pairs with females on the spawning ground and spawning follows the pairing. 49Similar divergent behaviours, with males exhibiting two distinctly different modes of insemination within 50the same species, have been found in other Loliginidae species, including Heterololigo bleekeri (Iwata et 51al. 2005) and Loligo reynaudii (Hanlon et al. 2002b). In cuttlefish, body-size related ARTs are known in 52Sepia apama: large males fight with other males and guard females, and small males mimic females and 53attempt sneaking copulation (Hall and Hanlon 2002). This female-mimic tactic by small males increases 54the chance of mating (Hanlon et al. 2005). However, the mechanisms determining these different tactics 55and any fitness advantages remain largely unknown.

56This alternative choice of male mating behaviour could depend on the individual condition of each 57male [for review see (Gross 1996)]. In the oval squid, Sepioteuthis lessoniana, males change mating 58tactics depending on the relative size-difference between sexes (Rocha et al. 2001; Wada et al. 2005). 59Such plasticity in mating tactics has been noted in Sepioteuthis lessoniana, where the same individuals 60 exhibit both parallel and upturned male mating behaviours in terms of orientation to the female (Wada et 61al. 2005). In H. bleekeri, there are ARTs where larger males attempt internal, and smaller males external 62 insemination, as seen in L. pealei. In H. bleekeri, however, the selected tactic is primarily determined 63 through ontogeny, as sperm traits are constrained by the mode of insemination, i.e. smaller males attempt 64 sneak copulation and deposit the spermatophore externally, and larger males attempt male-parallel 65 copulation and deposit the spermatophore internally (Iwata and Sakurai 2007; Iwata et al. 2011).

An extreme difference in ejaculation between sneakers and consorts is the self-swarming observed only in sneaker sperm of *H. bleekeri* (Hirohashi et al. 2013; Hirohashi and Iwata 2013). Self-swarming is triggered by chemotaxis stimulated by respiratory CO₂ emitted by sibling sperm. Self-swarming behavior by sneaker sperm could be regarded as a form of sperm cooperation because sibling sperm forming a 70 cluster has been well recognized in some species as an evolutionary consequence of kin selection under 71intense competitive conditions (Moore et al. 2002). In general, sneaker individuals have a higher sperm 72competition risk because co-existing large consort males have favorable mating opportunities of timing 73and position. Thus, the ARTs system itself could be a driving force in producing polymorphic sperm 74traits as a result of developmental plasticity. Alternatively, ARTs in loliginid squid may be a response to 75physical (and chemical) differences in the insemination/fertilization environment, and these differences 76 could drive adaptive evolution in sperm. Such ARTs are known only in the loliginid family. On the other 77 hand, having a seminal receptacle (SR) is common in various cephalopod species. Externally deposited 78sperm are thereafter transferred to and stored in the seminal receptacle (SR). Therefore, if the 79self-swarming behaviour of loliginid sneaker sperm has resulted from adaptation to an external sperm 80 storage environment, then the sperm of other cephalopod species may possibly exhibit similar behavior. 81 To examine this possibility we investigated whether the self-swarming trait found in the sneaker sperm of 82 H. bleekeri is also a characteristic of other squid species with ARTs, and of species that employ only 83 external insemination and do not have ARTs.

84

85 Materials and methods

86 Specimens of *Heterololigo bleekeri* were obtained from fisheries at three locations: Sagami Bay, the 87 Tsugaru Strait, and the Oki Islands (Fig. 1a). Spermatophore sacs with developed spermatophores were 88 removed from mature male squid immediately after dissection and kept in a moist chamber on ice. 89 Uroteuthis edulis forma kensaki (Takemoto and Yamashita 2012) were obtained from fisheries in the Oki 90 Islands. Idiosepius paradoxus and Todarodes pacificus were collected in Chita Bay and Sagami Bay, 91 respectively. Euprymna morsei was obtained from the fishery at Sakai Port. Loligo reynaudii was the only 92species collected outside Japan, off Port Elizabeth in South Africa. Sites of sperm transfer with different 93 species are illustrated in Fig. 1b. H. bleekeri and L. revnaudii are known to have ARTs (Hanlon et al. 94 2002a; Iwata and Sakurai 2007). Depending on the morphology of ejaculated spermatangium, each 95 individual was distinguished as consort or sneaker (Iwata et al. 2015). As ARTs for U. edulis were not 96 known, we carried out anatomical investigation of collected male and female individuals (Fig. 1c). Male 97 U. edulis exhibited clear dimorphism in spermatophore morphology and sperm flagellar length, and the 98 spermatozoa stored in the seminal receptacle were exclusively sneaker type. In I. paradoxus and T. 99 pacificus, males only inseminate externally, which is similar to sneaker male mating tactics (Ikeda et al. 100 1993; Sato et al. 2010). The anatomy of E. morsei suggested that males inseminate exclusively inside the 101 mantle cavity, as reported for *E. tasmanica* (Norman and Lu 1997).

102 Three different assays were tested to verify the sperm-swarming trait as Hirohashi et al. (2013)

103 described previously. First, we stimulated a spermatophoric reaction in a seawater-filled petri dish. The 104 manner of sperm emission was observed under a microscope. Second, ejaculated spermatozoa were 105suspended in seawater and taken up into a 5 µl capillary tube. I. paradoxus and E. morsei were not tested 106 in this assay because very few spermatozoa were obtained. Third, if specimens were available in the 107 laboratory, a bubble of CO₂ gas was introduced into the sperm suspension using a micromanipulator. 108Carbonated seawater was used only if specimens were obtained during the fieldwork (L. reynaudii and E. 109 morsei). The first assay reproduced a more physiological condition than would occur during copulation. 110 The second and the third assays were experimental and tested for chemotaxis, particularly toward CO_2 in 111 the third assay. For each species, assays were performed at least three times using different male 112individuals.

113

114 **Results**

We first tested whether the previously reported sperm behaviour phenotype—self-swarming of spermatozoa in *H. bleekeri*—would be exhibited consistently and globally in sneaker male individuals. Evaluation using three assays (see Materials and Methods) (Hirohashi et al. 2013) showed that sneaker spermatozoa from all three locations formed a swarm (Table 1), supporting our previous hypothesis that this ejaculate trait is tightly coupled with the ontogeny of sneaker males.

120 Next we investigated whether sneaker males of other squid species, *L. reynaudii* (Hanlon et al. 121 2002a) and *Uroteuthis edulis*, both of which show male dimorphism in terms of relative length of their 122 mantle, exhibit a similar result. In *L. reynaudii*, male dimorphism is correlated with alternative 123 insemination sites; however, evidence for ARTs in *U. edulis* has not been established but is presumed, 124 based on the anatomical observations described above. As with *H. bleekeri*, self-swarming occurred only 125 in the sperm of sneaker males (Iwata et al. 2015) of these species.

126We then tested T. pacificus and I. paradoxus where only external insemination is present (Sato et 127al. 2010). T. pacificus sperm exhibited self-swarming in the vicinity of the ejaculation duct when 128 ejaculated from the spermatophore. Unexpectedly, they failed to re-swarm after being suspended and 129loaded into a capillary tube. However, self-swarming was restored when a CO₂ bubble was introduced 130 into the tube. I. paradoxus sperm also exhibited self-swarming immediately after release from the 131 spermatophore, but once sperm were diluted, re-swarming did not occur, regardless of attempts to 132stimulate re-swarming. These results suggest that the mechanism underlying self-swarming in I. 133 paradoxus differs from the other species.

Finally, we tested *E. morsei*. In this species, spermatangia are placed exclusively on the right side of the inner wall of the female's mantle (but not in the buccal cavity) (Fig. 1d), suggesting only internal insemination. Sperm ejaculated from the spermatophore were motile and no self-swarming was observed in the vicinity of the duct. When a CO₂ bubble was introduced, neither self-swarming nor directional movement to the bubble was observed. These results suggest that it is unlikely that the self-swarming trait is present in *E. morsei* sperm.

- 100 is present in *D. morset* sp
- 140

141 Discussion

142We found that H. bleekeri sneaker males produce sperm that exhibit self-swarming in response to 143 respiratory CO₂ (Hirohashi et al. 2013). Theoretically, sneaker males should produce better quality sperm 144 than that of consort males because sneaker males are usually disadvantaged by the presence of the 145dominant sperm of consort males that have superior access to eggs (Parker 1990). Empirical evidence of 146 better quality sneaker sperm was found in the fish Xiphophorus nigrensis: sperm from sneaker males 147swim faster (Smith and Ryan 2010). In the common wood mouse (Apodemus sylvaticu), sperm form 148sibling aggregates to swim faster than those that do not form aggregates (Moore et al. 2002). Such 149aggregation is regarded as cooperative behaviour. Taking into account these examples of ejaculate 150investment by parasitic males, self-swarming by sneaker sperm observed in squid could be a consequence 151of sperm competition, particularly against consort males. However, in H. bleekeri, swimming speed of the 152two types of sperm was similar, and sperm in the swarm did not show facilitated movement. The rationale 153for this behaviour is still unclear; a logical next step would entail direct observation to determine whether 154sneaker and consort sperm compete for fertilization of the same ova.

155Another point to consider in the evolution of ejaculate traits in squid is the post-copulation processes 156leading to successful fertilization. Squid ARTs offer a unique situation, because, despite a wide variety of 157ARTs recognized throughout animal phyla, the occurrence of more than one form of male mating 158behavior, with different targets for insemination, is rare. In loliginid squids, the spermatozoa of males 159using the internal insemination mode, encounter eggs immediately after ejaculation, presumably within 160 the female's mantle cavity, whereas with external insemination, the ejaculated sperm are translocated and 161 stored in the seminal receptacle (SR) until the eggs are deposited. Although the duration of sperm storage 162in the SR remains unknown in these species, females of many cephalopod species store sperm, sometimes 163for several months (Mangold 1987). In general, sperm storage in the SR is an integral component of the 164female's reproductive strategy because it secures fertilization, despite the possible absence of males at the 165time of egg-laying. In squids, sperm storage is also advantageous for sneaker males, enabling them to 166 avoid competition from other males in the vicinity of the spawning grounds. Notably, the female SR is 167 present not only in species with ARTs, but also in species employing external insemination only, such as 168Todarodes pacificus and Idiosepius paradoxus (Ikeda et al. 1993; Sato et al. 2010). Furthermore, there are squid species (e.g. *Euprymna tasmanica*) that store sperm internally in the female for months. This location can exhibit differentiation in sepiolid squids (e.g. *Sepietta, Euprymna and Sepiola*) as a complex enlargement of the distal oviduct (Hoving et al. 2008). Future studies should carefully address whether the swarming trait of sperm is associated with the mode of sperm storage or of the insemination environment.

174In loliginid squid, we speculate that, because females have a well-developed SR specific to sneaker 175sperm, sneaker male tactics could be an ancient form of insemination, and consort males acquired internal 176 insemination and lost the sperm-swarming trait, which is unlikely to be the case for other species with 177ART (Fig.1c). Self-swarming may be an adaptive trait in response to the very different environments, i.e. 178within the mantle cavity or around the buccal cavity, resulting in a co-evolution of sperm traits, possibly 179by cryptic female choice, i.e. the female SRs preferentially store sperm with higher swarming capacity 180 (Naud et al. 2005). In contrast, no differentiation of tissue is apparent at the site of sperm placement by 181 dominant consort males.

182Our current study clearly showed that the self-swarming trait does not differ within a species, 183 samples of which were collected from three distinctly different geographical spawning areas, or between 184 closely related species that employ ARTs. However, the study found a relationship between the male's 185insemination mode and the ejaculate's swimming behaviour. To understand more fully the physiological 186 role(s) of the observed swarming behaviour by sneaker sperm, we need to understand the post-copulation 187 processes involved in successful fertilization of the eggs, which could be achieved by measuring the 188 step-by-step success in copulation, sperm storage in the SR, and fertilization (paternity) under 189 experimental conditions. In species with ARTs, the choice of ART by males is often more responsive to 190 mating conditions, whereas sperm traits are endowed during gametogenesis. In this context, males of H. 191 bleekeri may express behavioral plasticity independent of intrasexual competition.

192 The current study offers new insight into ARTs research, particularly regarding complex adaptive 193 traits between mating behaviour and post-copulation sperm behaviour. The study confirms the very 194 complex reproductive strategy in squid, and the importance of understanding this complexity, particularly 195 when fishing practices may target mating and spawning animals, often selectively.

196

Authors' contributions: N.H. and Y.I. conceived and designed the study. N.H., Y.I. and T.I. carried out
the experiments. N.S. and W.H.H.S managed collection and preparation of sperm samples. N.H. and
W.H.H.S wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript writing and approved of the final
version for submission.

201 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the fisheries for animal collection, Frikkie van der Vyver for
 technical assistance, T. Kasugai for collection of *I. paradoxus*. N.H. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI
 (#25440169) and the Yamada Science Foundation.

205 References

- Danforth B, Desjardins C (1999) Male dimorphism in Perdita portalis (Hymenoptera, Andrenidae) has
 arisen from preexisting allometric patterns. Insectes Soc 46:18–28. doi:10.1007/s000400050107.
- 208Drew G (1911a) Sexual activities of the squid Loligo pealii (Les). I. Copulation. egg-laying and209fertilization. J Morphol 22:327–359. doi:10.1002/jmor.1050220207.
- 210Drew GA (1911b) Sexual activities of the squid Loligo pealii (Les). I. Copulation. egg-laying and211fertilization. Journal of Morphology 22:327–359.
- Emlen D (1997) Alternative reproductive tactics and male-dimorphism in the horned beetle *Onthophagus acuminatus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 41:335–341.
 doi:10.1007/s002650050393.
- Gross MR (1996) Alternative reproductive strategies and tactics: diversity within sexes Trends Ecol Evol
 11:92-98 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/01695347(96)81050-0.
- Hall K, Hanlon R (2002) Principal features of the mating system of a large spawning aggregation of the
 giant Australian cuttlefish *Sepia apama* (Mollusca: Cephalopoda).

219 Mar Biol 140:533–545.

- Hanlon R, Smale M, Sauer W (2002a) The mating system of the squid *Loligo vulgaris reynaudii*(Cephalopoda, Mollusca) off South Africa: Fighting, guarding, sneaking, mating and egg laying
 behaviour. Bull Mar Sci 71:331–345.
- Hanlon RT, Naud MJ, Shaw PW, Havenhand JN (2005) Behavioural ecology: transient sexual mimicry
 leads to fertilization Nature 433:212 doi:10.1038/433212a.
- Hanlon RT, Smale MJ, Sauer WHH (2002b) The mating system of the squid *Loligo vulgaris reynaudii*(Cephalopoda, Mollusca) off South Africa: Fighting, guarding, sneaking, mating and egg laying
 behaviour. Bull Mar Sci 71:331–345.
- Hirohashi N et al. (2013) Sperm from sneaker male squids exhibit chemotactic swarming to CO₂ Curr
 Biol 23:775–781.doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.03.040.
- Hirohashi N, Iwata Y (2013) The different types of sperm morphology and behavior within a single
 species: Why do sperm of squid sneaker males form a cluster? Commun Integr Biol 6:e26729

232

doi:10.4161/cib.267292013CIB0103R.

- Hoving HJT, Laptikhovsky V, Piatkowski U, Onsoy B (2008) Reproduction in *Heteroteuthis dispar*(Ruppell, 1844) (Mollusca: Cephalopoda): a sepiolid reproductive adaptation to an oceanic
 lifestyle. Mar Biol 154:219–230. doi:10.1007/s00227-008-0916-0.
- Ikeda Y, Sakurai Y, Shimazaki K (1993) Fertilizing capacity of squid (*Todarodes pacificus*) spermatozoa
 collected from various sperm storage sites, with special reference to the role of gelatinous
 substance from oviducal gland in fertilization and embryonic development. Inv Reprod Develop
 239 23:39–44. doi:10.1080/07924259.1993.9672291.
- Iwata Y, Munehara H, Sakurai Y (2005) Dependence of paternity rates on alternative reproductive
 behaviors in the squid *Loligo bleekeri*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 298:219–228.
 doi:10.3354/meps298219.
- Iwata Y, Sakurai Y (2007) Threshold dimorphism in ejaculate characteristics in the squid *Loligo bleekeri*.
 Mar Ecol Progr Ser 345:141–146. doi:10.3354/meps06971.
- Iwata Y, Sakurai Y, Shaw P (2015) Dimorphic sperm-transfer strategies and alternative mating tactics in
 loliginid squid. J Mollus Stud 81:147–151. doi:10.1093/mollus/eyu072.
- Iwata Y, Shaw P, Fujiwara E, Shiba K, Kakiuchi Y, Hirohashi N (2011) Why small males have big sperm:
 dimorphic squid sperm linked to alternative mating behaviours BMC Evol Biol 11:236
 doi:10.1186/1471-2148-11-236.
- 250 Mangold K (1987) in Cephalopod Life Cycles Vol. 2 (ed. Boyle, P.) Academic, London:157–200.
- Moore H, Dvorakova K, Jenkins N, Breed W (2002) Exceptional sperm cooperation in the wood mouse
 Nature 418:174–177. doi:10.1038/nature00832.
- Naud MJ, Shaw PW, Hanlon RT, Havenhand JN (2005) Evidence for biased use of sperm sources in wild
 female giant cuttlefish (*Sepia apama*) Proc Biol Sci 272:1047-1051.
 doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.3031.
- Norman M, Lu C (1997) Redescription of the southern dumpling squid Euprymna tasmanica and a
 revision of the genus Euprymna (Cephalopoda: Sepiolidae). J Mar Biol Ass UK 77:1109–1137.
 doi:10.1017/S0025315400038662.
- Parker G (1990) Sperm competition games sneaks and extra-pair copulations. Proc Biol Sci 242:127–
 133. doi:10.1098/rspb.1990.0115.
- Rocha F, Guerra A, Gonzalez AF (2001) A review of reproductive strategies in cephalopods Biol Rev
 Camb Philos Soc 76:291–304.
- Sato N, Kasugai T, Ikeda Y, Munehara H (2010) Structure of the seminal receptacle and sperm storage in
 the Japanese pygmy squid. J Zool 282:151–156. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2010.00733.x.

- Smith CC, Ryan MJ (2010) Evolution of sperm quality but not quantity in the internally fertilized fish
 Xiphophorus nigrensis. J Evol Biol 23:1759–1771. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02041.x.
- Takemoto K, Yamashita M (2012) Complete nucleotide sequences of mitochondrial DNA of long-finned
 squid *Loligo edulis*. Fisher Sci 78:1031–1039. doi:10.1007/s12562-012-0541-1.
- Wada T, Takegaki T, Mori T, Natsukari Y (2005) Alternative male mating behaviors dependent on relative
 body size in captive oval squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* (Cephalopoda, Loliginidae) Zoolog Sci
 22:645–651. doi:10.2108/zsj.22.645.
- 272

273 Figure legend

Fig. 1 (a) Locations and time period (month) for each squid species collected off the coasts of Japan. *Hb*, *H. bleekeri*; *Em*, *E. morsei*; *Ue*, *U. edulis*; *Tp*, *T. pacificus*; *Ip*, *I. paradoxus*. (b) Arrows indicate two
distinct insemination sites used by different squid species; female reproductive organs (ovary and
oviduct) illustrated in red. (c, d) Discharged spermatophores (spermatangia, indicated by *cyan arrows*)
anchored on the inner buccal membrane located externally on the body of the female squid, *U. edulis* (c)
and inside the mantle cavity, *E. morsei* (d). Bar, 30 mm; SR, seminal receptacle; *yellow arrow*, buccal
membrane.

281

282 **Table 1** Sperm-swarming trait and male insemination modes in squids

species	Location collected	Male size dimorphism	Insemination sites	Upon spermatophoric reaction	Inside a capillary tube	Toward a CO_2 bubble
Heterololigo breekeri	(Tsugaru Strait,	yes (cs/sn)	int (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)
	JPN)		ext (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)
	(Sagami Bay,	yes (cs/sn)	int (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)
	JPN)		ext (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)
	(off Oki Islands,	yes (cs/sn)	int (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)
	JPN)		ext (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)
Loligo reynaudii	(off Pt.	yes (cs/sn)	int (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)
	Elizabeth, SA)		ext (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)
Uroteuthis edulis	(off Oki Islands,	yes (cs/sn)	ND (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)	no (cs)
	JPN)		ext (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)	yes (sn)
Idiosepius paradoxus	(Chita Bay, JPN)	no	ext	yes	ND	no
Todarodes pacificus	(Sagami Bay, JPN)	no	ext	yes	no	yes
Euprymna morsei	(off Sakai-Pt., JPN)	no	int	no	ND	no

283 cs: consort males, sn: sneaker males, int: internal site, ext: external site, ND: not determined

285

286

287