

## Remarks on Potential Theoretic Kernels

Takasi KAYANO

Faculty of Literature and Science, Shimane University  
Matsue, Japan.

and

Shirô OGAWA

Department of Engineering, Kobe University  
Kobe, Japan

(Received Oct. 15, 1970)

### Introduction.

In the preceding paper [4], we treated the characterization of the family of potential theoretic measures  $G^+(\phi)$ . Generally considering the characterization of the family  $G^+(\phi)$ , we defined a concept "T-kernel" and proved that Newtonian kernel  $\Phi_N$  and the kernel  $\Phi_W$  associated with the heat equation are T-kernels. The present paper deals with two remarks on potential theoretic kernels which have continuous potentials; the first is concerned with T-kernel and the second is done with the domination principles for  $\Phi_W$ -potential. In the first section we shall remark that  $\alpha$ -kernel and Green kernel are also T-kernels. In the second section we shall introduce a definition of C-domination principle and we shall prove that the kernel  $\Phi_W$  does not satisfy the ordinary domination principle but it satisfies the C-domination principle.

### 1. Preliminary.

Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\phi(x, y)$  a measurable function in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . A kernel  $\check{\phi}(x, y)$  defined by  $\check{\phi}(x, y) = \phi(y, x)$  is called the adjoint kernel to  $\phi(x, y)$ . We denote  $\phi^+(x, y) = \sup(\phi(x, y), 0)$  and  $\phi^-(x, y) = -\inf(\phi(x, y), 0)$ . Then  $\phi(x, y)$  is equal to  $\phi^+(x, y) - \phi^-(x, y)$ . The  $\phi$ -potential of a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  in  $\Omega$  is defined by  $\phi\mu(x) = \int^* \phi(x, y) d\mu(y)$ , provided that  $\phi^+\mu(x)$  and  $\phi^-\mu(x)$  are not infinity at the same time. A kernel

$\phi(x, y)$  is called  $S$ -kernel if there exists at least such a positive measure  $\lambda$  that the support  $S_\lambda$  is compact and the potentials  $\phi^+\lambda(x)$  and  $\phi^-\lambda(x)$  are continuous in  $\Omega$ . In case that  $\phi(x, y)$  is  $S$ -kernel, we define the following classes of measures,

$$F^+(\phi) = \{\lambda : \lambda \geq 0, S_\lambda \text{ compact, } \phi^+\lambda \text{ and } \phi^-\lambda \text{ continuous in } \Omega\},$$

$$G^+(\phi) = \{\mu : \mu \geq 0, \int^* \phi^+ \mu d\lambda \text{ and } \int^* \phi^- \mu d\lambda < +\infty \text{ for any } \lambda \in F^+(\phi)\}.$$

A kernel  $\phi(x, y)$  is called  $T$ -kernel if  $\phi(x, y)$  is a non-negative  $S$ -kernel and for any compact set  $K$  there exist such a point  $x_K$  in  $\Omega$ , a relatively compact open set  $U_K$  containing  $K$ , and a positive constant  $M_K$  depending on  $x_K$  and  $U_K$  that  $\check{\phi}(x, y) \leq M_K \check{\phi}(x_K, y)$  for any  $x$  of  $K$  and any  $y$  of  $\Omega \setminus U_K$ , where  $\Omega \setminus U_K$  denotes the complementary set of  $U_K$ . For a  $T$ -kernel  $\phi$  and a compact set  $K$ , we shall denote by  $E_K$  the set of all points  $x_K$  with the above properties. And in [4], we obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\phi(x, y)$  is a  $T$ -kernel in  $\Omega$ .*

*If a non-negative measure  $\mu$  is such a measure that, for any compact set  $K$  is  $\Omega$ , there exists a point  $x_K$  in  $E_K$  that  $\check{\phi}\mu(x_K) < +\infty$ , then  $\mu$  is an element of  $G_+(\phi)$ . If for any compact set  $K$ ,  $E_K$  contains some open set, and there exists a positive measure  $\lambda$  of  $F^+(\phi)$ , of which the support  $S_\lambda$  is contained by  $E_K$ , then the converse holds.*

## 2. $\alpha$ -kernel and Green kernel are $T$ -kernels

$\alpha$ -kernel  $\Phi^\alpha(x, y)$  in  $R^n$  is defined by  $\Phi^\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}$  ( $0 < \alpha < n$ ).

In [4], applying the axiomatic theory of harmonic function, we proved that  $\Phi_N$  and  $\Phi_W$  are  $T$ -kernels, but for  $\Phi^\alpha$  we can not apply axiomatic method. Therefore we must consider directly behaviour of the kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$  in the neighborhood of the Alexandroff point  $\omega$  of the space  $R^n$ . Now, let  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be the compactification of  $\Omega$ , adding the Alexandroff point  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be a positive  $S$ -kernel in  $\Omega$ . If for any compact set  $K$ , there exists such a point  $x_K$  in  $\Omega$  that  $\limsup_{y \rightarrow \omega} \check{\phi}(x, y) / \check{\phi}(x_K, y)$  is uniformly bounded with respect to all points  $x$  of  $K$ , then the kernel  $\phi(x, y)$  is a  $T$ -kernel.*

**Proof.** According to the assumption, we have the finite supremum  $M = \sup_{x \in K} \limsup_{y \rightarrow \omega} \check{\phi}(x, y) / \check{\phi}(x_K, y)$ , and  $M$  is a finite positive constant. Therefore,

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists such a neighborhood  $V_\omega$  of the Alexandroff point  $\omega$ , that the inequality  $\check{\phi}(x, y) / \check{\phi}(x_K, y) \leq M + \varepsilon$  holds for any point  $x$  of the compact set  $K$  and for any point  $y$  of the neighborhood  $V_\omega$ . Since the complementary set of  $V_\omega$  is compact, there exists a relatively open set  $U_K$  which contains the compact set  $(\Omega \setminus V_\omega) \cup K$  and of which the closure is contained by  $\Omega$ . Then the complementary set  $\Omega \setminus U_K$  is contained by the neighborhood  $V_\omega$ . If we substitute  $M_K$  for  $M + \varepsilon$ , we have that  $\check{\phi}(x, y) / \check{\phi}(x_K, y) \leq M_K$  for any point  $y$  of  $\Omega \setminus U_K$  and for any point  $x$  of  $K$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $\alpha$ -kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < n$ ) satisfies the conditions of the above lemma. Therefore  $\alpha$ -kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < n$ ) is a T-kernel and  $E_K$  is identified with  $R^n$  for any compact set  $K$  contained in  $R^n$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that  $\alpha$ -kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < n$ ) is a positive symmetric S-kernel. The function  $\varphi^\alpha(r) = 1/r^{n-\alpha}$  ( $r > 0, 0 < \alpha < n$ ) is monotonously decreasing with respect to  $r$ . For any point  $x_K$  of  $R^n$ , we set

$$R_k = \sup_{x \in K} |x - x_K| \text{ for given compact set } K, \text{ and}$$

$$B_r, x_K = \{x : |x - x_K| < r \text{ for } r > R_k\}.$$

We have the following inequalities

$$0 < |x_K - y| - |x - x_K| \leq |x - y|.$$

for any point  $x$  of  $K$  and for any point  $y$  of  $R^n \setminus B_r, x_K$ .

According to the monotonous decrease of the function  $\varphi^\alpha$ , we have the following inequalities

$$\varphi^\alpha(|x - y|) \leq \varphi^\alpha(|x_K - y| - |x - x_K|)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \frac{\Phi^\alpha(x, y)}{\Phi^\alpha(x_K, y)} &= \frac{\varphi^\alpha(|x - y|)}{\varphi^\alpha(|x_K - y|)} \leq \frac{\varphi^\alpha(|x_K - y| - |x - x_K|)}{\varphi^\alpha(|x_K - y|)} \\ &= \left( \frac{|x_K - y| - |x - x_K|}{|x_K - y|} \right)^{\alpha-n} = \left( 1 - \frac{|x - x_K|}{|y - x_K|} \right)^{\alpha-n} \end{aligned}$$

for any point  $x$  of  $K$  and for any point  $y$  of  $R^n \setminus B_r, x_K$ .

From the symmetricity of the  $\alpha$ -kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$ , the inequality

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow \omega} \frac{\check{\Phi}^\alpha(x, y)}{\check{\Phi}^\alpha(x_K, y)} \leq 1 \text{ holds for any point } x \text{ of } K.$$

Consequently, by the lemma the kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$  is a T-kernel. Since we can take an arbitrary point of  $R^n$  as  $x_K$ , then  $E_K$  is identified with  $R^n$  for any compact set  $K$ .

In succession, we shall prove that Green kernel is a T-kernel.

Let  $\Omega$  be a harmonic space satisfying BRELOT-BAUER's axiom, and let function 1 be harmonic in  $\Omega$ . Now we define Green kernel in domain  $D \subset \Omega$  by the function  $G(x, y)$  with the following properties ;

- (1)  $G(x, y)$  is positive in  $D \times D$ ,
- (2)  $G(x, y)$  is continuous in  $D \times D$  for  $x \neq y$ ,
- (3)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \omega} G(x, y) = 0$  for any  $y$  of  $D$ , where  $\omega$  is Alexandroff point of  $D$ ,
- (4)  $G(x, y)$  is superharmonic in  $D$  with respect to  $x$ , and  $G(x, y)$  is harmonic in any subdomain  $V$  of  $D$  with respect to  $x$ , when  $V$  does not contain  $y$ .

**Theorem 3.** *The Green kernel  $G(x, y)$  is a T-kernel and  $E_K$  is identified with the domain  $D$  for any compact subset  $K$  of  $D$ .*

**Proof.** For any compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  and for any point  $x_K$  of  $D$ , there exists such a relatively compact open set  $U_K$ , which contains the compact set  $K$  and the point  $x_K$ , and of which the closure is contained in  $D$ .

We set  $\alpha = \sup_{x \in K, y \in \partial U_K} \check{G}(x, y)$ , and  $\beta = \inf_{y \in \partial U_K} \check{G}(x_K, y)$ . Since  $\partial U_K$  and  $K$  are compact,  $\check{G}(x, y)$  and  $\check{G}(x_K, y)$  are positive by property (1) and  $\check{G}(x, y)$  is continuous for  $x \neq y$  in  $D$  by property (2), then both values  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are finite and positive. Therefore  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \check{G}(x_K, y) - \check{G}(x, y) \geq 0$  is valid for any point  $x$  of  $K$  and for any point  $y$  of the boundary  $\partial K$ .

By the property (3), the equality

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \omega} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \check{G}(x_K, y) - \check{G}(x, y) \right) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \omega} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} G(y, x_K) - \lim_{y \rightarrow \omega} G(y, x) = 0$$

holds for any point  $x$  of  $K$ .

It is well known that if the function 1 is harmonic, we have the following minimum principle.

**Minimum Principle.** *If  $u$  is a superharmonic function in the domain  $D$ ,  $D \subset \bar{D} \subset \Omega$ , and satisfies  $\liminf_{x \in D, x \rightarrow y} u(x) \geq 0$  for any  $y$  of  $\partial D$ , then  $u$  is non-negative in  $D$ .*

By the property (4)  $\check{G}(x, y)$  and  $\check{G}(x_k, y)$  are harmonic with respect to  $y$  in the domain  $D \setminus U_K$ , because  $D \setminus U_K$  does not contain the points  $x$  and  $x_k$ .

Then  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \check{G}(x_k, y) - \check{G}(x, y)$  is superharmonic with respect to  $y$  in  $D \setminus U_K$ .

Therefore by the minimum principle we obtain the following inequality

$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \check{G}(x_k, y) - \check{G}(x, y) \geq 0$  for any point  $x$  of  $K$  and for any point  $y$  of  $D \setminus U_K$ .

Setting  $M_K = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ , we have the following inequality

$\check{G}(x, y) \leq M_K \check{G}(x_k, y)$  for any point  $x$  of  $K$  and for any point  $y$  of  $D \setminus U_K$ .

Since  $x_k$  is an arbitrary point of  $D$ , then  $E_K$  is identified with  $D$  for any compact set  $K$ .

**3. Domination Principles.** In this section we use the following definition concerning with domination principles

**Definition.** *We say that  $S$ -kernel  $\phi$  satisfies the domination principle (resp.  $C$ -domination principle), if for any positive measure  $\lambda$  (resp. of  $F^+(\phi)$ ) with compact support  $S_\lambda$  and for any positive measure  $\mu$ , the inequality  $\phi\lambda(x) \leq \phi\mu(x)$  in the whole space follows from the same inequality  $\phi\lambda(x) \leq \phi\mu(x)$  on the support  $S_\lambda$ .*

It is well known that  $\alpha$ -kernel  $\Phi^\alpha$  satisfies the domination principle, but we have the following theorem concerning with the kernel  $\Phi_w$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The kernel  $\Phi_w$  does not satisfy the domination principle, but it satisfies the  $C$ -domination principle.*

**Proof.** We use a compact subset  $K = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), x_n = c \text{ for constants } a_i, b_i, (a_i < b_i) \text{ and } c\}$  and a domain  $D = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_n < c\}$ . Let  $\lambda'$  be a positive measure placed on  $K$  and  $\mu$  a positive measure with compact support in  $D$ . Then  $\Phi_w\mu(x_0)$  and  $\Phi_w\lambda'(x_0)$  are finite for an arbitrary point  $x_0$  of  $R^n \setminus \bar{D}$ . The potential  $\Phi_w\lambda'(x)$  vanishes on  $K$  and  $\Phi_w\mu(x)$  is positive on  $K$ . Consequently, we have the inequality  $\Phi_w\lambda'(x) \leq \Phi_w\mu(x)$  on  $S_\lambda$ . Both values of  $\Phi_w\mu(x_0)$  and  $\Phi_w\lambda'(x_0)$  are positive and finite. Then we can take such a positive number  $M$  that  $M \Phi_w\lambda'(x_0) > \Phi_w\mu(x_0)$ . If we set  $\lambda = M\lambda'$ , we have the following inequalities,

$$\Phi_w\lambda(x) \leq \Phi_w\mu(x) \text{ on } S_\lambda \text{ and } \Phi_w\lambda(x_0) > \Phi_w\mu(x_0).$$

This shows that  $\Phi_w$  does not satisfy the domination principle.

Now, we show that  $\Phi_w$  satisfies the C-domination principle. Let  $\lambda$  be a measure of  $F^+(\Phi_w)$ ,  $\mu$  a positive measure and suppose that we have the inequality  $\Phi_w\lambda(x) \leq \Phi_w\mu(x)$  on  $S_\lambda$ . In order to show that  $\Phi_w$  satisfies the C-domination principle, it is sufficient to prove that the inequality  $\Phi_w\lambda(x) \leq \Phi_w\mu(x)$  holds in  $R^n \setminus S_\lambda$ . The function  $\Phi_w\mu(x) - \Phi_w\lambda(x)$  is lower semi-continuous and we have the following inequalities

$$\liminf_{y \rightarrow x} \{\Phi_w\mu(y) - \Phi_w\lambda(y)\} \geq \Phi_w\mu(x) - \Phi_w\lambda(x) \geq 0,$$

where  $x$  is a boundary point of  $S_\lambda$  and  $y$  is a point of  $R^n \setminus S_\lambda$ . On the other hand, by the property of the kernel  $\Phi_w$ ,  $\Phi_w\lambda(x)$  is an element of the class  $C_0$ , where  $C_0$  denotes the set of all continuous functions tending to zero at the Alexandroff point  $\omega$ . Since the function  $\Phi_w\mu(x) - \Phi_w\lambda(x)$  is a superharmonic function in  $R^n \setminus S_\lambda$ , by the minimum principle, we have immediately the desired inequality.

#### References

- [1] G. Anger : Funktionalanalytische Betrachtungen bei Differentialgleichungen unter Verwendung von Methoden der Potentialtheorie I, Deutsche Akad. d. Wiss., Berlin, (1965).
- [2] H. Bauer : Harmonische Räume und ihre Potentialtheorie, Springer-Verlag, (1966).
- [3] M. Brelot : Lectures on Potential Theory, Tata Inst. of F. R., Bombay, (1960).
- [4] T. Kayano and S. Ogawa : On a characterization of a Potential Theoretic Measure, Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 46, No. 9 (1970).