## On the Quotient Topological Ordered Spaces (II)

Takuo Miwa

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan (Received November 5, 1974)

In § 1, for a topological ordered space X and an equivalence relation R on X, we shall study some sufficient conditions for X/R to be  $T_2$ -ordered. In § 2, we shall investigate the necessary and sufficient condition of R for X/R to be  $T_2$ -ordered, which is an analogue of a known fact in general topology. ([1] § 8 Proposition 8 or [2] Chapter 3 Theorem 11)

§1. We use the terms and notations in our previous paper [3]. Consider the following condition.

(C)  $i_X(F)$  and  $d_X(F)$  are closed for each closed set F of X. (In [3], we wrote this condition by (C. II).) We proposed a question in [3] which states

(Q) If X is a Hausdorff space satisfying (C), then is it  $T_2$ -ordered? If X is regular, the next result was obtained in [3] (Proposition 2).

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let X be a regular space satisfying (C). Then X is  $T_2$ -ordered. If X satisfies the first countability axiom, then the answer to (Q) is affirmative.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let X be a Hausdorff space satisfying (C) and the first countability axiom. Then X is  $T_2$ -ordered.

PROOF. Since X satisfies the first countability axiom, we may replace "net" by "sequence" for convergence. ([2] Chapter 2 Theorem 8) Let  $G(\leq)$  be the graph of order  $\leq$  in X. X is  $T_2$ -ordered if and only if  $G(\leq)$  is closed in  $X^2$ . ([4] Chapter 1, Proposition 1 and the definition of  $T_2$ -ordered in [3]) Therefore we shall show that  $G(\leq)$  is closed in  $X^2$ . Let  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  be a sequence in  $G(\leq)$  such that it converges to (x, y). Then, since  $A = \{z : z = x_i \text{ or } z = x\}$  is closed in X, so  $i_X(A)$  is closed in X by (C). On the other hand, since  $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots\} \subseteq i_X(A)$  and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to y, therefore  $y \in i_X(A)$ . Hence  $x \leq y$  or there exists n such that  $x_n \leq y$ . Let B = $\{(x_n, y_n) : x_n \leq y\}$ . First, if  $B = \phi$  or if B is a finite set, without loss of generality, we may assume  $B = \phi$  from the beginning. Thus  $x \leq y$ , hence  $(x, y) \in G(\leq)$ . Next, if B is an infinite set, the sequence  $\{x_n : (x_n, y_n) \in B\}$  converges to x. Moreover since  $\{x_n : (x_n, y_n) \in B\} \subseteq d_X(y)$  from the construction of B and  $d_X(y)$  is closed by (C), we see that  $x \in d_X(y)$ , i.e.  $(x, y) \in G(\leq)$ . Thus,  $G(\leq)$  is closed and the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

## Takuo Mrwa

Hereafter, we show main theorems of this section. First, the following theorem was obtained in [3] (Theorem 2) by making use of Proposition 1 in the above.

**THEOREM 1.** Let X be a regular space satisfying (C). Assume that p is a proper mapping. If X/R is a topological ordered space, then X/R is a regular space satisfying (C). Therefore, X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered by Proposition 1.

Using the Proposition 2 in the above, we obtain the following theorems.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a Hausdorff space satisfying (C) and the second countability axiom. Assume that p is a proper mapping. If X/R is a topological ordered space, then X/R is a Hausdorff space satisfying (C) and the second countability axiom. Therefore, X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered by Proposition 2.

We use the following topological lemma in the proof of this theorem.

LEMMA. Let X be a topological space satisfying the second countability axiom. If the natural projection from X to X/R is a proper mapping, then X/R also satisfies the second countability axiom.

This lemma is clear by [2] Chapter 5 Theorem 20, [2] Chapter 3 Theorem 12 and [1] §10 Theorem 1.

PROOF (of Theorem 2). First, X/R is a Hausdorff space by [1] § 10 Corollary 2 of Proposition 5, and by the lemma above X/R satisfies the second countability axiom. Next, X/R satisfies (C) by the fact that for any closed set F of X/R  $i_{X/R}(F) = p(i_X(p^{-1}(F)))$ ,  $d_{X/R}(F) = p(d_X(p^{-1}(F)))$ , hence these sets are closed in X/R. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a Hausdorff space satisfying (C) and the first countability axiom. Assume that p is a proper and open mapping. If X/R is a topological ordered space, then X/R is a Hausdorff space satisfying (C) and the first countability axiom. Therefore, X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered by Proposition 2.

**PROOF.** In general, if a topological space T satisfies the first countability axiom, then f(T) satisfies the same axiom for any open continuous mapping f from T to the other space. Hence, we can prove this theorem in the same way of Theorem 2. *Q.E.D.* 

REMARK 1. In connection with the above propositions and theorems, the topological assumptions of Proposition 1 (Theorem 1) and Proposition 2 (Theorem 2 or 3) are independent, respectively. In fact, [5] Example 103 shows the fact that there is a regular space not satisfying the first countability axiom, and [5] Example 74 shows the fact that there is a Hausdorff space, but not a regular space, satisfying the second countability axiom. Moreover, [5] Example 72 shows the existence of a Hausdorff, but not regular, space satisfying the first countability axiom which does not satisfy the second countability axiom. REMARK 2. In Theorem 3, the condition that p is open is essential. In fact, an example of [2] Chapter 5 Problem N (a) shows that if p is not open, X/R does not satisfy the first countability axiom even if X satisfies the second countability axiom. Moreover, this example shows that in the above lemma the assumption that p is proper cannot be replaced by the one that p is closed.

§ 2. In this section, we shall study an analogue of the following well known theorem in the theory of general topology. For a topological space X, and an equivalence relation R on X, if the quotient space X/R is Hausdorff, then R is closed in the product space  $X^2$ . If the projection p of a space X onto the quotient space X/Ris open and R is closed in  $X^2$ , then X/R is a Hausdorff space. We begin with the following definitions.

DEFINITION 1. Let X be a topological (ordered) space, and R be an equivalence relation on X. Then a subset A of X is a *saturated* set (with respect to R) if and only if a saturation of A by R is also equal to A.

DEFINITION 2. Let X be a topological ordered space and G(R) be the graph of an equivalence relation R on X. Then G(R) is said to be *saturated order closed* (or s. o. closed) in  $X^2$  if for  $(x, y) \notin G(R)$  such that  $p(x) \leq p(y)$ , there exist a saturated increasing neighbourhood U of x and a saturated decreasing neighbourhood V of y such that  $U \times V \cap G(R) = \phi$ . (For an increasing or a decreasing set, see [3] Definition 1.)

Now, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let X be a topological ordered space. Assume that X/R is a topological ordered space. If X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered, then G(R) is s. o. closed in  $X^2$ . If the natural projection p of X onto X/R is open and G(R) is s. o. closed in  $X^2$ , then X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered.

**PROOF.** The first part is shown in the following manner. We assume  $p(x) \leq p(y)$  for  $(x, y) \in G(R)$ . Since X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered, there exist an increasing neighbourhood U of p(x) and a decreasing neighbourhood V of p(y) such that  $U \cap V = \phi$ . Then, we easily see that  $p^{-1}(U)$  is a saturated increasing neighbourhood of x and  $p^{-1}(V)$  is a saturated decreasing neighbourhood of y such that  $p^{-1}(U) \times p^{-1}(V) \cap G(R) = \phi$ . Hence G(R) is s. o. closed in  $X^2$ .

Next, we shall show the second part. We assume that  $p(x) \leq p(y)$  for  $p(x), p(y) \in X/R$ . Then since  $(x, y) \in G(R)$ ,  $p(x) \leq p(y)$ , using the assumption that G(R) is s. o. closed in  $X^2$ , there exist a saturated increasing neighbourhood U of x and a saturated decreasing neighbourhood V of y such that  $U \times V \cap G(R) = \phi$ . It now is easy to show that p(U) is an increasing neighbourhood of p(x), p(V) is a decreasing neighbourhood of p(y) and  $p(U) \cap p(V) = \phi$ . Therefore, X/R is  $T_2$ -ordered. Q.E.D.

**REMARK** 3. In the second part of this theorem, the assumption that p is open is essential. For this, see next example.

EXAMPLE. Let X be a closed interval [0, 1] in real line. We define the topology on X as follows: the neighbourhood system of 0 is  $\{V_m: m=1, 2, ...\}$  where  $V_m = \{x: 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{m}, x \ne \frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{1}{m+2}, ...\}$  and the neighbourhoods of other points as usual. Next, we introduce the discrete order as the partial order in X, and we define an equivalence relation R on X as follows: xRy if and only if  $x, y \in \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, ...\}$  or x = y. Then, by this topology and order X is a Hausdorff space but is not a regular space, and X/R is not Hausdorff ( $T_2$ -ordered). In this example, it is easily seen that G(R) is s. o. closed in  $X^2$  and the natural projection p is not open.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. It is a pleasure to acknowledge the advice and encouragement of Professor Osamu Takenouchi.

## References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI: Topologie générale. Chap. 1, Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [2] J. L. KELLY: General topology. Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [3] Takuo MIWA: On the quotient topological ordered spaces. Mem. Fac. Lit. & Sci., Shimane Univ., Nat. Sci., 7 (1974) 37-42.
- [4] L. NACHBIN: Topology and order. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1965.
- [5] L. A. STEEN and J. A. SEEBACH, Jr.: Counterexamples in topology. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc. 1970.