

## On Images of Topological Ordered Spaces under Some Quotient Mappings II

Takuo MIWA

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, Matsue, Japan  
(Received September 6, 1976)

In section one, we deal with a problem which arises from the theorem in the previous paper [3]. In section two, some examples are established as supplement to [3].

§1. In this paper, we use the terms and notations in our previous paper [3]. In [3, Theorem (3)], we proved that if  $f$  is a continuous closed mapping of a normally ordered space  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  onto a topological ordered space  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  where  $\tau$  is the quotient order of  $\rho$  induced by  $f$ , then  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  is also a normally ordered space. In connection with this theorem, it naturally arises a question whether "normally ordered" can be replaced by " $T_4$ -ordered". First, we show that the answer to this question is negative in the following example.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $X$  be a set  $\{(a, x, y): a=0 \text{ or } 1, x, y \in [0, \infty)\}$ . The topology  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  is the usual topology. Next, we define a partial order  $\rho$  in  $X$  as follows:  $(a, x, y)\rho(b, u, v)$  if and only if  $a=0, b=1, x=u \neq 0, y=1/x$ ; or  $(a, x, y)=(b, u, v)$ . Let  $A$  be a set  $\{(1, q/p, p): p \text{ and } q \text{ are positive integers}\}$ , then  $A$  is closed in  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be the quotient space  $X/A$  equipped with the quotient topology  $\mathcal{V}$ , and  $f$  the projection of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . If we introduce the order  $\tau$  on  $Y$  by the quotient order of  $\rho$  induced by  $f$ , then  $f$  is a closed mapping and  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is  $T_4$ -ordered. However,  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  is not  $T_1$ -ordered. Because for  $a^*=f(A) \in Y, b^*=\{(0, b, 1/b)\} \in Y$  where  $b$  is a positive irrational number,  $a^* \parallel b^*$  holds and each increasing neighborhood of  $b^*$  necessarily contains  $a^*$ .

This example shows that the closed image of  $T_1$ -ordered (resp.  $T_4$ -ordered) space is not necessarily  $T_1$ -ordered (resp.  $T_4$ -ordered). So the questions naturally arise: under what conditions hold the generalizations of the well-known facts that the closed image of  $T_1$  (resp.  $T_4$ ) space is also  $T_1$  (resp.  $T_4$ ) space? For these questions, we obtain the next theorem.

We call  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  a  $C$ -space if, whenever a subset  $F$  of  $X$  is closed,  $i_X(F)$  and  $d_X(F)$  are closed (see [4]).

THEOREM. Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is a  $C$ -space and  $f$  a continuous mapping of  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  onto  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  where  $\tau$  is the quotient order of  $\rho$  induced by  $f$ .

(1) If  $f$  is a closed mapping and  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is a  $T_1$ -ordered space, then  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -ordered space.

(2) If  $f$  is a closed mapping and  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is a  $T_4$ -ordered space, then  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  is a  $T_4$ -ordered space.

This theorem is immediately proved by the following two lemmas and [3, Theorem (3)].

LEMMA 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem, if  $f$  is a closed mapping and  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is a  $C$ -space, then  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  is a  $C$ -space.

PROOF. Let  $F$  be a closed set of  $Y$ . Then  $i_Y(F) = f(i_X(f^{-1}(F)))$ ,  $d_Y(F) = f(d_X(f^{-1}(F)))$ . Therefore  $i_Y(F)$  and  $d_Y(F)$  are closed in  $Y$ . Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2. If  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is a  $T_1$  space and  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is a  $C$ -space, then  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is  $T_1$ -ordered.

PROOF. Since  $\{x\}$  is closed for each  $x \in X$ ,  $i_X(x) = [x, \rightarrow]$  and  $d_X(x) = [\leftarrow, x]$  are closed in  $X$ . Therefore by [2, Theorem 1],  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is  $T_1$ -ordered. Q. E. D.

§2. Suppose  $f$  is an open mapping of a  $T_2$ -ordered space  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  onto  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  are  $T_2$  spaces. As was shown in [1, Proposition 5], if  $f$  is isotonic and dually isotonic, then  $(Y, \mathcal{V}, \tau)$  is a  $T_2$ -ordered space. In connection with this proposition, in [3, Example 1] it is shown the hypothesis that  $f$  is dually isotonic is essential. The next example shows that the assumption “ $f$  is isotonic” is essential.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $X$  be the real numbers, the topology  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  the usual one and the order  $\rho$  in  $X$  the natural order. We define a partial order  $\tau$  in  $X$  as follows:  $x\tau y$  if and only if  $x \leq y$  for rational numbers  $x, y$ ; or  $x = y$  where  $\leq$  is the natural order of  $X$ . If  $f$  is the identity mapping of  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  onto  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \tau)$ , then all assumptions except that  $f$  is isotonic are satisfied. However  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \tau)$  is not  $T_2$ -ordered.

In Theorem of the previous paper [3], the hypothesis that  $\tau$  is the quotient order of  $\rho$  induced by  $f$  is essential. Indeed this hypothesis cannot be replaced with one that either  $f$  is dually isotonic or  $f$  is isotonic. In case  $f$  is dually isotonic, see Example 2. In the other case, see the next example.

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $X$  be the real numbers the topology on  $X$  the usual one, the order  $\rho$  the discrete order on  $X$  (i. e.  $a\rho b$  if and only if  $a = b$ ) and the order  $\tau$  in  $X$  the same one with Example 2. If  $f$  is the identity mapping of  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  onto  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \tau)$ , then  $f$  is isotonic. However  $\tau$  is not the quotient order of  $\rho$  induced by  $f$ .  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \rho)$  is  $T_2$ -ordered,  $T_3$ -ordered and  $T_4$ -ordered, but  $(X, \mathcal{U}, \tau)$  is neither  $T_2$ -ordered nor  $T_3$ -ordered nor normally ordered.

**References**

- [1] D. ADNAĐEVIĆ: Topology and order, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **206** (1972), 1273–1276; Soviet Math. Dokl. **13** (1972), 1384–1387.
- [2] S. D. McCARTAN: Separation axioms for topological ordered spaces, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **64** (1968), 965–973.
- [3] T. MIWA: On images of topological ordered spaces under some quotient mappings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **18** No.2 (1976), 99–104.
- [4] H. A. PRIESTLEY: Ordered topological spaces and the representation of distributive lattices, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **24** (1972), 507–530.