Abstract. Nonoscillation problem is dealt for the second-order linear difference equation
\[ c_n x_{n+1} + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} = b_n x_n, \]
where \( \{b_n\} \) and \( \{c_n\} \) are positive sequences. For all sufficiently large \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the ratios \( c_n/c_{n-1} \) and \( c_{n-1}/b_n \) play an important role in the results obtained. To be precise, our nonoscillation criteria are described in terms of the sequence
\[ q_n = c_{n-1} c_n / b_n b_{n+1}, \]
These criteria are compared with those that have been reported in previous researches by using some specific examples. Figures are attached to facilitate understanding of the concrete examples.

1. Introduction

We consider the second-order linear difference equation
\[ c_n x_{n+1} + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} = b_n x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \]
where \( \{b_n\} \) and \( \{c_n\} \) are sequences satisfying \( b_n > 0 \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( c_n > 0 \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), respectively (as can be seen from the proof of our theorems below, we have only to assume that the sequences \( \{b_n\} \) and \( \{c_n\} \) are positive for \( n \) sufficiently large). Needless to say, equation (1) has the trivial solution \( \{x_n\} \); that is, \( x_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 0 \). Non-trivial solutions of (1) are divided into two groups. A non-trivial solution of (1) is said to be oscillatory if, for every \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists an \( n \geq N \) such that \( x_n x_{n+1} \leq 0 \). Otherwise, it is said to be nonoscillatory. Hence, if \( \{x_n\} \) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1), then there exists an \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( x_n > 0 \) for \( n \geq N \) or \( x_n < 0 \) for \( n \geq N \). It is clear that if \( \{x_n\} \) is a solution of (1), then \( \{-x_n\} \) is also a solution of (1). Hence, it is sufficient to consider that a nonoscillatory solution \( \{x_n\} \) of (1) continues being positive for all large \( n \).

The purpose of this paper is to give sufficient conditions for all non-trivial solutions of (1) to be nonoscillatory. Our conditions are expressed with the relation between the sequences \( \{b_n\} \) and \( \{c_n\} \). If there is a nonpositive subsequence \( \{b_{n_k}\} \subset \{b_n\} \), then
all non-trivial solutions of (1) are oscillatory. Hence, it is natural to assume that the sequence \( \{b_n\} \) is positive.

For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), let
\[
p_n = c_n + c_{n-1} - b_n \quad \text{and} \quad r_n = c_n.
\]
Then, equation (1) becomes the self-adjoint difference equation
\[
(2) \quad \Delta (r_{n-1} \Delta x_{n-1}) + p_n x_n = 0,
\]
where \( \Delta \) is the forward difference operator \( \Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n \). The continuous counterpart of (2) is a second-order differential equation of the form
\[
(3) \quad (r(t)x')' + p(t)x = 0,
\]
where \( r, p : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) are continuous functions, \( r(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq a \).

Oscillation theory for equation (3) has been studied by a number of researchers from a long time ago (for example, see the books [2, 5, 22, 23]). Since the 1980s, oscillation and nonoscillation criteria came to be reported flourishingly for a generalized equation
\[
(4) \quad (r(t)\phi(x'))' + p(t)\phi(x) = 0
\]
of (3). Here, \( \phi(z) \) is a real-valued nonlinear function defined by
\[
\phi(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^{p-2}z & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}
\]
for \( z \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( p > 1 \) a fixed real number. Equation (4) is often called a half-linear differential equation of self-adjoint type. For example, we can refer the reader to the books [2, 6, 8] and the references cited therein. From the end of the last century, many authors were motivated by the results about equations (3) and (4), and they developed oscillation theory for equation (2) and the discrete counterpart of (4). For example, we can refer to [7, 10, 11, 19, 21, 24].

On the other hand, we can find some conditions which guarantee that all non-trivial solutions of (1) are oscillatory (or nonoscillatory) in a series of papers of Hooker et al. [14, 15, 18] (see also the books [1, Chap. 6], [9, Chap. 7], [16, Chap. 6]). For the sake of simplicity, they denoted \( c_n^2/(b_nb_{n+1}) \) by \( q_n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Their typical and fundamental results are as follows.

**Theorem A.** If \( q_n \geq 1/(4 - \varepsilon) \) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and for all sufficiently large \( n \), then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

**Theorem B.** If \( q_n \leq 1/4 \) for all sufficiently large \( n \), then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

**Theorem C.** If \( q_{n_k} \geq 1 \) for a sequence \( \{n_k\} \) tending to \( \infty \), then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

Theorems A and B have a good balance. These results are called “oscillation theorem” and “nonoscillation theorem”, respectively. The constant 1/4 often appears as a critical value that divides oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of second-order linear differential equations (for example, see [12, 17, 20, 23]). Also, several generalizations of Theorem A have been given by Hooker et al. [14, 15, 18]. Results which generalized Theorem B seem to be fewer compared with those of Theorem A though there are a lot of nonoscillation comparison theorems for difference equations including equation (1). We can find only a few nonoscillation theorems for equation (1) (or (2)) in [3, 4, 13].
In this paper, we will derive the following nonoscillation theorem about equation (1) by considering the behavior of \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k})\) or \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\) with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that there exists an \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) such that for any \(k \geq N\) there is a sequence \(\{\alpha_k\}\) with \(\alpha_k > 1\) and either

\[
\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} q_{2k-1} + \alpha_{k+1} q_{2k} \leq 1
\]

or

\[
\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} q_{2k} + \alpha_{k+1} q_{2k+1} \leq 1.
\]

Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

2. Basic knowledge for proving Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1, we need only to use two well-known fundamental facts; that is, Sturm’s separation theorem and the Riccati transformation method. For Sturm’s separation theorem, see [9, pp. 321–322] for example. From Sturm’s separation theorem it follows that if one non-trivial solution of (1) (or (2)) is nonoscillatory, then all non-trivial solutions are nonoscillatory. Suppose that \(\{x_n\}\) is a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Then we can define

\[
z_n = \frac{b_{n+1} x_{n+1}}{c_n x_n}
\]

with \(n \geq M\) for some \(M \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\). The sequence \(\{z_n\}\) satisfies the first-order nonlinear difference equation

\[
g_n z_n + \frac{1}{z_{n-1}} = 1, \quad n = M + 1, M + 2, \ldots,
\]

where \(\{g_n\}\) is the sequence given in Section 1. Equation (7) is called a difference equation of *Riccati* type. From this transformation it turns out that a nonoscillatory solution \(\{x_n\}\) of (1) corresponds to a positive solution \(\{z_n\}\) of (7) and the converse is also true. Hence, by virtue of Sturm’s separation theorem, we see that all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory if and only if there exists an integer \(N \geq M\) such that equation (7) has a solution \(\{z_n\}\) satisfying \(z_n > 0\) for all \(n \geq N\). We therefore have only to find a positive solution of (7) in order to prove Theorem 1.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that the inequality (5) holds. Then

\[
\alpha_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{q_{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} q_{2k-1}\right)
\]

for all \(k \geq N\). Consider a solution \(\{z_n\}\) of (7) satisfying \(z_{2N-2} \geq \alpha_N\). Since \(\alpha_N > 1\), we see that

\[
z_{2N-1} = \frac{1}{q_{2N-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{2N-2}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q_{2N-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_N}\right) = \frac{\alpha_N - 1}{\alpha_N q_{2N-1}} > 0.
\]

Hence, we have

\[
z_{2N} = \frac{1}{q_{2N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{2N-1}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q_{2N}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_N - 1} q_{2N-1}\right) \geq \alpha_{N+1} > 1.
\]
Similarly, we can check that

\[ z_n \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha_k - 1}{\alpha_k q_{2k-1}} & \text{if } n = 2k - 1 \\
\frac{\alpha_k+1}{\alpha_k+1} & \text{if } n = 2k
\end{cases} \]

with $k \geq N$. Hence, the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is a positive solution of (7). We therefore conclude that all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

Suppose that the inequality (6) holds. Consider a solution $\{z_n\}$ of (7) satisfying $z_{2N-1} \geq \alpha_N$. Then, as in the proof of the case that (5) holds, we see that $z_n$ is positive for $n \geq 2N - 1$. Hence, all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory. \qed

Let $\alpha_k = 2$ with $k \geq N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following corollary of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either

(8) \[ q_{2k-1} + q_{2k} \leq \frac{1}{2} \]

or

(9) \[ q_{2k} + q_{2k+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \]

with $k \geq N$. Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

**Remark 1.** If $q_n \leq 1/4$ for all sufficiently large $n$, then it is clear that the inequalities (8) and (9) are satisfied. Hence, Corollary 2 contains Theorem B completely.

**Remark 2.** From the fact that the arithmetic mean of two positive numbers is not less than their geometric mean, if the inequality (8) holds, then

(10) \[ \sqrt{q_{2k-1}q_{2k}} \leq \frac{1}{4} \]

is satisfied for $k \geq N$. However, we cannot change (8) to (10) in Corollary 2. In fact, let $b_n = 1$ and

\[ c_n = \begin{cases} 
1/4 & \text{if } n = 2k - 1 \\
1 & \text{if } n = 2k
\end{cases} \]

with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

\[ q_n = \begin{cases} 
1/16 & \text{if } n = 2k - 1 \\
1 & \text{if } n = 2k.
\end{cases} \]

Hence, by Theorem C, all non-trivial solutions are oscillatory.

**Corollary 3.** Suppose that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either

(11) \[ q_{2k-1} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{2k} \leq (1 - \sqrt{q_{2k-1}})(1 - \sqrt{q_{2k+1}}) \]

or

(12) \[ q_{2k} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{2k+1} \leq (1 - \sqrt{q_{2k}})(1 - \sqrt{q_{2k+2}}) \]

with $k \geq N$. Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.
Proof. Suppose that the inequality (11) holds. Let
\[ \alpha_k = \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{q_{2k-1}}} \]
for any \( k \geq N \). Then it is clear that \( \alpha_k > 1 \). Since
\[ \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{2k-1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{k+1} = \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{q_{2k+1}}}, \]
the inequality (5) coincides with
\[ \sqrt{q_{2k-1}} + \frac{q_{2k}}{1 - \sqrt{q_{2k+1}}} \leq 1; \]
namely, the inequality (11). Hence, all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory by Theorem 1.

Suppose that the inequality (12) holds. Let
\[ \alpha_k = \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{q_{2k}}} > 1 \]
for any \( k \geq N \). Then the inequality (6) coincides with the inequality (12). Hence, all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory by Theorem 1. \( \square \)

3. Comparison with previous studies

To illustrate our results, we give some examples in this section. But, before that, we introduce an interesting related research which was proved by Abu-Risha [3].

**Theorem D.** All non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory if and only if there is an eventually positive sequence \( \{\xi_n\} \) such that
\[
(q_{n+1}\xi_{n+1} + \frac{1}{\xi_n})(q_n\xi_n + \frac{1}{\xi_{n-1}}) \leq 1. \tag{13}
\]

Although the inequality (13) is a necessary and sufficient condition for nonoscillation of (1), it is expressed implicitly. For this reason, Abu-Risha also presented an explicit condition concerning \( (q_n, q_{n+1}) \) as follows.

**Corollary E.** All non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory if there is an \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that
\[
(\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n})(\sqrt{q_n} + \sqrt{q_{n-1}}) \leq 1 \tag{14}
\]
holds for \( n \geq N \).

**Remark 3.** Let \( \xi_n = 1/\sqrt{q_n} \). Then Corollary E follows from Theorem D.

We first give an example of Corollary 2.

**Example 1.** Let \( c_0 = 1 \) and let
\[
c_n = \begin{cases} 
2\sqrt{6} & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
2\sqrt{2} & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
7 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
1 & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\quad \text{and} \quad
b_n = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
16 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
4 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
25 & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\]
with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.
Since
\[
q_n = \frac{c_n^2}{b_nb_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} 
0.375 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3, \\
0.125 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
0.49 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
0.01 & \text{if } n = 4k,
\end{cases}
\]
we obtain
\[
q_{2k-1} + q_{2k} = 0.5
\]
with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Hence, the inequality (8) holds. Thus, by Corollary 2, all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

Let us denote by \(\{x_n\}\) a solution of (1) with the sequences \(\{b_n\}\) and \(\{c_n\}\) that were given in Example 1 (see Figure 1). To make the motion of a solution of (1) more visible, we connect the dots \(x_{n-1}\) and \(x_n\) with a line segment and draw a line graph.

**Figure 1.** This line graph displays the motion of a solution \(\{x_n\}\) of (1) given in Example 1. The initial condition of the solution is \((x_0, x_1) = (1, 5)\).

Figure 1 shows that \(x_n > 0\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\). Hence, this solution \(\{x_n\}\) is non-oscillatory. Recall that if equation (1) has a non-trivial solution which is nonoscillatory, then all non-trivial solutions are nonoscillatory. To be specific, we also simulate a solution \(\{z_n\}\) of (7) (see Figure 2). This solution corresponds to the solution of (1) described in Figure 1.

**Figure 2.** Riccati’s equation (7) has a positive solution \(\{z_n\}\) when the sequence \(\{q_n\}\) satisfies (15). The initial condition of the solution is \(z_0 = 20\).

**Remark 4.** The inequality (9) does not hold in Example 1. In fact,
\[
q_{4k-2} + q_{4k-1} = 0.615 > 0.5
\]
for any \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). We can apply Corollary 2 to equation (1) when the pair \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k})\) (or \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\)) is in the triangular region
\[
R \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0, y > 0 \text{ and } x + y \leq 1/2\}.
\]
even if the pair \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\) (or \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k})\)) is outside the region \(R\) for \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) sufficiently large.

As can be seen from (15), the sequence \(\{q_n\}\) is periodic with period 4. Let
\[
P_1 = (q_{4k-3}, q_{4k-2}) = (0.375, 0.125), \quad P_2 = (q_{4k-2}, q_{4k-1}) = (0.125, 0.49),
P_3 = (q_{4k-1}, q_{4k}) = (0.49, 0.01), \quad P_4 = (q_{4k}, q_{4k+1}) = (0.01, 0.375).
\]
By plotting these points in the first quadrant of the plane \(\mathbb{R}^2\), the following figure is obtained.

![Plot of points](image)

**Figure 3.** The points \(P_1\) and \(P_3\) are on the straight line \(x + y = 1/2\). The point \(P_2\) is outside the region \(R\). The point \(P_4\) is within the region \(R\).

From (15) it follows that
\[
\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n} = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2}/4 + \sqrt{6}/4 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3, \\
0.7 + \sqrt{2}/4 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
0.1 + 0.7 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
\sqrt{6}/4 + 0.1 & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\]
with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Hence, we have
\[
(\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n})(\sqrt{q_n} + \sqrt{q_{n-1}}) = \begin{cases} 
1.017654429348457 \cdots & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
0.8428427124746188 \cdots & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
0.569897948566356 \cdots & \text{if } n = 4k, \\
0.6880989335750164 \cdots & \text{if } n = 4k + 1 
\end{cases}
\]
with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). There is no \(N \in \mathbb{N}\) where the inequality (14) is satisfied for all \(n \geq N\). Hence, Corollary E is not applicable to Example 1.

**Remark 5.** To apply Corollary E, both pairs \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k})\) and \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\) have to be in the region
\[
S \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2: x > 0, y > 0 \text{ and } \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} \leq 1\} \supset R
\]
(see Figure 3 again).

Next, we give an example of Corollary 3.
Example 2. Let \( c_0 = 4 \) and let
\[
c_n = \begin{cases} 
5\sqrt{5} & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
2 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
\sqrt{2} & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
4 & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\quad \text{and} \quad
b_n = \begin{cases} 
20 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
25 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
1 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
5 & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\]
with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

It is easy to check that
\[
(16) \quad q_n = \frac{c_n^2}{b_n b_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} 
0.25 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
0.16 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
0.4 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
0.16 & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\]
Hence, we obtain \( q_{2k-1} < 1 \) and
\[
g_{2k} = 0.16 < (1 - \sqrt{0.25})(1 - \sqrt{0.4}) = (1 - \sqrt{q_{2k-1}})(1 - \sqrt{q_{2k+1}})
\]
for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), and therefore, the inequality (11) holds. Thus, by Corollary 3, all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

We give two simulations to illustrate Example 2. One is the line graph of a solution \( \{x_n\} \) of (1) with the sequences \( \{b_n\} \) and \( \{c_n\} \) that were given in Example 2 (see Figure 4). The other is the line graph of a solution \( \{z_n\} \) of (7) (see Figure 5). This solution corresponds to the solution of (1) described in Figure 4.

\[\text{Figure 4. This line graph displays the motion of a solution \( \{x_n\} \) of (1) given in Example 2. The initial condition of the solution is } (x_0, x_1) = (1, 3).\]

\[\text{Figure 5. Riccati’s equation (7) has a positive solution \( \{z_n\} \) when the sequence \( \{q_n\} \) satisfies (16). The initial condition of the solution is } z_0 = 15.\]
Remark 6. The inequality (12) does not hold in Example 2, because
\[ q_{4k-1} = 0.4 > 0.36 = (1 - \sqrt{0.16})^2 = (1 - \sqrt{q_{4k-2}})(1 - \sqrt{q_{4k}}) \]
with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). We can apply Corollary 3 to equation (1) when the triple \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\) (or \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1}, q_{2k+2})\)) is in the domain
\[ V \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x < 1, y > 0, z > 0 \text{ and } y \leq (1 - \sqrt{x})(1 - \sqrt{z}) \} \]
even if the triple \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1}, q_{2k+2})\) (or \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\)) is outside the region \( V \) for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) sufficiently large (see Figure 6).

As can be seen from (16), the sequence \( \{q_n\} \) is periodic with period 4. Let
\[ \begin{align*}
P_1 &= (q_{4k-3}, q_{4k-2}, q_{4k-1}) = (0.25, 0.16, 0.4), \\
P_2 &= (q_{4k-2}, q_{4k-1}, q_{4k}) = (0.16, 0.4, 0.16), \\
P_3 &= (q_{4k-1}, q_{4k}, q_{4k+1}) = (0.4, 0.16, 0.25), \\
P_4 &= (q_{4k}, q_{4k+1}, q_{4k+2}) = (0.16, 0.25, 0.16). \end{align*} \]

By plotting these points in the first octant of three-dimensional space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), the following figure is obtained.
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**Figure 6.** The points \( P_1 \), \( P_3 \) and \( P_4 \) are in the domain \( V \). However, the point \( P_2 \) is outside the domain \( V \).

Remark 7. We cannot apply Corollary 2 to Example 2, because both inequalities (8) and (9) are not satisfied. In fact, from (16) it follows that
\[ q_{4k-1} + q_{4k} = 0.4 + 0.16 > 0.5 \]
and
\[ q_{4k-2} + q_{4k-1} = 0.16 + 0.4 > 0.5 \]
for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).
From (16) it follows that
\[
\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n} = \begin{cases} 
0.4 + 0.5 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3, \\
\sqrt{10}/5 + 0.4 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
0.4 + \sqrt{10}/5 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
0.5 + 0.4 & \text{if } n = 4k
\end{cases}
\]
with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence, we have
\[
(\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n})(\sqrt{q_n} + \sqrt{q_{n-1}}) = \begin{cases} 
0.9292099788303083\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
1.065964425626941\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
0.9292099788303083\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k, \\
0.81 & \text{if } n = 4k + 1
\end{cases}
\]
with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). There is no \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) where the inequality (14) is satisfied for all \( n \geq N \). Hence, Corollary E is not available for Example 2.

**Remark 8.** To apply Corollary E, both triples \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\) and \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1}, q_{2k+2})\) have to be in the domain
\[
W \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x > 0, \ y > 0, \ z > 0 \ \text{and} \ (\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})(\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z}) \leq 1\} \supset V
\]
(see Figure 7).

**Figure 7.** Let \( P_1, P_2, P_3 \) and \( P_4 \) be the points given in (17). The points \( P_1, P_3 \) and \( P_4 \) are in the domain \( W \). However, the point \( P_2 \) is outside the domain \( W \).

**4. Further nonoscillation criteria**

In Section 3, we have focused on the behavior of the pair \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k})\) (or \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\)) and the triple \((q_{2k}, q_{2k+1}, q_{2k+2})\) (or \((q_{2k-1}, q_{2k}, q_{2k+1})\)) with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Let us examine the influence which a set of more many elements gives to nonoscillation of (1) in this section. The following result is a generalization of Theorem 1.
**Theorem 4.** Suppose that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \geq N$ there are two sequences $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{\beta_k\}$ with $\alpha_k > 1$ and $\beta_k > 1$. If

\[
\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} q_{4k-3} + \beta_k q_{4k-2} \leq 1
\]

and

\[
\frac{\beta_k}{\beta_k - 1} q_{4k-1} + \alpha_{k+1} q_{4k} \leq 1,
\]

then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

**Proof.** From (18) and (19) it follows that

\[
\beta_k \leq \frac{1}{q_{4k-2}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} q_{4k-3}\right)
\]

and

\[
\alpha_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{q_{4k}} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_k}{\beta_k - 1} q_{4k-1}\right)
\]

for all $k \geq N$. Consider a solution $\{z_n\}$ of (7) satisfying $z_{4N-4} \geq \alpha_N > 1$. Then we can check that

\[
z_{4N-3} = \frac{1}{q_{4N-3}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{4N-4}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q_{4N-3}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_N}\right) = \frac{\alpha_N - 1}{\alpha_N q_{4N-3}} > 0,
\]

\[
z_{4N-2} = \frac{1}{q_{4N-2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{4N-3}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q_{4N-2}} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_N - 1} q_{4N-3}\right) \geq \beta_N > 1,
\]

\[
z_{4N-1} = \frac{1}{q_{4N-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{4N-2}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q_{4N-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta_N}\right) = \frac{\beta_N - 1}{\beta_N q_{4N-1}} > 0,
\]

\[
z_{4N} = \frac{1}{q_{4N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z_{4N-1}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q_{4N}} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_N}{\beta_N - 1} q_{4N-1}\right) \geq \alpha_{N+1} > 1.
\]

We inductively obtain

\[
z_n \geq \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha_k - 1}{\alpha_k q_{4k-3}} & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
\beta_k & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
\frac{\beta_k - 1}{\beta_k q_{4k-1}} & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
\alpha_{k+1} & \text{if } n = 4k 
\end{cases}
\]

with $k \geq N$. Hence, the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is a positive solution of (7). We therefore conclude that all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory. \(\square\)

By the same way, we have the following result (we omit the proof).

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \geq N$ there are two sequences $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{\beta_k\}$ with $\alpha_k > 1$ and $\beta_k > 1$. If

\[
\frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_k - 1} q_{4k-2} + \beta_k q_{4k-1} \leq 1
\]

and

\[
\frac{\beta_k}{\beta_k - 1} q_{4k} + \alpha_{k+1} q_{4k+1} \leq 1,
\]

then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.
then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

Remark 9. If the inequalities (18) and (19) are satisfied for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) sufficiently large, then the inequality (5) also holds. In fact, let

\[
\gamma_k = \begin{cases}
\alpha_\ell & \text{if } k = 2\ell - 1 \\
\beta_\ell & \text{if } k = 2\ell
\end{cases}
\]

with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then, by (18) and (19), we obtain

\[
\frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_k - 1} q_{2k-1} + \gamma_{k+1} q_{2k} \leq 1;
\]

namely, the inequality (5). Similarly, if the inequalities (20) and (21) are satisfied for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) sufficiently large, then the inequality (6) also holds. Hence, Theorems 4 and 5 also extend Theorem 1.

Let \( p \) be a real number that is larger than 1 and let \( p^* \) be the conjugate number of \( p \); namely,

\[
\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1.
\]

Then \( p^* \) is also greater than 1. We choose constants \( \alpha > 1 \) and \( \beta > 1 \) as the two sequences \( \{\alpha_k\} \) and \( \{\beta_k\} \) in Theorems 4 and 5, respectively. Then the inequalities (18)–(21) become

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha^* q_{4k-3} + \beta q_{4k-2} & \leq 1, \\
\beta^* q_{4k-1} + \alpha q_{4k} & \leq 1, \\
\alpha^* q_{4k-2} + \beta q_{4k-1} & \leq 1, \\
\beta^* q_{4k} + \alpha q_{4k+1} & \leq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. Hence, we have the following corollaries of Theorems 4 and 5.

**Corollary 6.** Suppose that there exists an \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that both (22) and (23) hold for \( k \geq N \). Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

**Corollary 7.** Suppose that there exists an \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that both (24) and (25) hold for \( k \geq N \). Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

We here give an example of Corollary 6.

**Example 3.** Let \( c_0 = \sqrt{6} \) and let

\[
c_n = \begin{cases}
3 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
\sqrt{3} & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
2 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
\sqrt{6} & \text{if } n = 4k
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
b_n = \begin{cases}
9 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
3 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
9 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
2 & \text{if } n = 4k
\end{cases}
\]

with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.
In Example 3, the sequence \( \{q_n\} \) satisfies

\[
q_n = \frac{c_n^2}{b_n b_{n+1}} = \begin{cases} 
1/3 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3 \\
1/9 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2 \\
2/9 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1 \\
1/3 & \text{if } n = 4k.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( \beta = 3 \). Then we obtain

\[
\alpha^* q_{4k-3} + \beta q_{4k-2} = 2 \times \frac{1}{3} + 3 \times \frac{1}{9} = 1
\]

and

\[
\beta^* q_{4k-1} + \alpha q_{4k} = 3 \times \frac{2}{9} + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} = 1;
\]

namely, the inequalities (22) and (23) are satisfied for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence, by Corollary 6, all non-trivial solutions of (1) are nonoscillatory.

From (26) it follows that

\[
\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n} = \begin{cases} 
(1 + \sqrt{3})/3 & \text{if } n = 4k - 3, \\
(\sqrt{2} + 1)/3 & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
(\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{2})/3 & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
2\sqrt{3}/3 & \text{if } n = 4k
\end{cases}
\]

with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence, we have

\[
(\sqrt{q_{n+1}} + \sqrt{q_n})(\sqrt{q_n} + \sqrt{q_{n-1}}) = \begin{cases} 
0.7328615680805721\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k - 2, \\
0.8439726791916834\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k - 1, \\
1.210997720618484\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k, \\
1.051566846126417\ldots & \text{if } n = 4k + 1
\end{cases}
\]

with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). There is no \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) where the inequality (14) is satisfied for all \( n \geq N \). Corollary E is inapplicable to Example 3.

**Remark 10.** We cannot apply Corollary 2 to Example 3, because both inequalities (8) and (9) are not satisfied. In fact, from (26) we see that

\[
q_{4k-1} + q_{4k} = 2/9 + 1/3 > 1/2
\]

and

\[
q_{4k} + q_{4k+1} = 1/3 + 1/3 > 1/2
\]

for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Remark 11.** For any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
q_{4k} = 1/3 > 0.2234107369952512\ldots
\]

\[
= (3 - \sqrt{2})(3 - \sqrt{3})/9 = (1 - \sqrt{q_{4k-1}})(1 - \sqrt{q_{4k+1}})
\]

and

\[
q_{4k+1} = 1/3 > 0.2817664872069162\ldots
\]

\[
= 2(3 - \sqrt{3})/9 = (1 - \sqrt{q_{4k}})(1 - \sqrt{q_{4k+2}}).
\]

Hence, both inequalities (11) and (12) are not satisfied, and therefore, Corollary 3 cannot be applied to Example 3.
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