Influence of Topics, Media, Subjects and Criteria on Persuasive Effectiveness of Fear Arousing Communications: An analysis of the Previous Studies

by

# Hiromi FUKADA\*

Abstract: This study was conducted to investigate the influence of four methodological variables—topics, media, subjects and criteria—on the inconsistency among the findings regarding relative persuasive effectiveness of high fear versus low fear in the fear appeal studies. Analysis showed that topics and media had some influence on main effects of fear on persuasion, but it was impossible to interprete those results. Additionally, it was showed that recipients' personality variable and recipients' relevance-to-threat variable facilitated interaction effects between fear and either of the variables on persuasion.

#### Introduction

A large number of studies on fear arousing communications have been done since Janis & Feshbach (1953) first reported a negative relationship between the level of fear and persuasive effectiveness. We can see a considerable degree of inconsistency among the findings regarding the relative effectiveness of high fear versus low fear in those studies; some indicate a negative relationship between fear and persuasion, some indicate a positive relationship, some indicate a mixed relationship (a positive relationship at one criterion and a negative relationship at other criterion), and some indicate a neutral relationship (no relationship).

Higbee (1969) has suggested four methodological considerations as possible sources of the inconsistency among the findings concerning main effects of fear on persuasion. It was suggested by Higbee (1969) that the diversity in topics, media, subjects or criteria used in the research on fear arousing communications might cause the inconsistency. But, he only listed up topics, media, subjects and criteria used in the fear appeal studies, not trying to analize the influence of these four factors on the inconsistency.

The purpose of the present study is to examine the influence of four methodological variables — topics, media, subjects and criteria—on the inconsistency among the findings regarding relative persuasive effectiveness of high versus low fear in the studies on fear arousing communications.

### Procedures of analysis

Table 1 indicates the studies of fear arousing communications in a broad sense, which involve experimental manipulation of the level of fear in a persuasive communication. Of these studies in Table 1, the following studies do not, strictly speaking, belong to the

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Early Childhood Education, Faculty of Education, Shimane University, Matsue, 690 JAPAN.

area of fear appeals and persuasion: (1-b) fear appeals and reactions, (2) positive-negative appeals and persuasion, (3) irrelevant fear arousal and persuasion, (4) physiological arousal and persuasion, (5) false physiological feedbach and persuasion, and (6) emotional role playing and persuasion.

Table 2 shows the level of fear arousal used in the research of fear appeals and persuasion. The studies which have only one level of fear are inappropriate to the present analysis. The following analysis, therefore, is carried out by using the research on fear appeals and persuasion with two or more levels of fear.

Table 3 shows main effects of fear on persuasion, which are classified into four types of relationship between fear level and persuasive effectiveness: (1) a positive, (2) a negative, (3) a mixed (positive and negative), and (4) a neutral relationship. The last type means no relationship between fear and persuasion.

Table 4 shows interaction effects between fear variable and some other independent variable on persuasion, which are classified into five patterns: (1) a positive-more positive, (2) a positive-neutral, (3) a positive-negative, (4) a negative-neutral, (5) a negative-more negative relationship patterns. Each pattern means a combination of a relationship at one level of the independent variable and a relationship at other level.

Topics, media, subjects, criteria and independent variables used in the research on fear appeals and persuasion are showed in Table 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, respectively. The relationships between each of the four methodological variables and types of main effects of fear on persuasion are showed in Table 10, 11, 12 and 13. The relationships between each of the four methodological variables and patterns of the interaction effects of fear by an independent variable other than the forementioned variables are showed in Table 14, 15, 16 and 17, respectively. Additionally, the relationships between different type of independent variable used in the research and patterns of the interaction effects are showed in Table 18.

### Results and discussion of analysis

We can read from Table 10 that topics have influence on main effects of fear. The topic of smoking produce a negative and a mixed main effects more frequently than the rest of the topics do ( $\chi^2=7.139$ , df=1, P<.01). From another view point, topics of traffic safety, drugs and fallout shelters produce a neutral main effect more frequently than the rest of topics do ( $\chi^2=6.229$ , df=1, P<.05).

Though differences of topics may be understood as those of dimensions such as familiarity, importance, ego-involvement, nearness (in time and space), and etc., as Higbee (1969) pointed out, none of these dimensions explain the results obtained in this study.

From Table 11, media also have influence on main effects of fear. Tape recorded plus slides and printed media produce a neutral main effect less frequently than the rest of the media ( $\chi^2=4.384$ , df=1, P<.05).

At present stage, we can not give any explanation for the result.

However we can not find that both subjects and criteria have influence on main effects of fear, from Table 12 and 13.

None of topics, media, subjects, criteria and types of independent variable have influence on interaction effects between fear and some other independent variable on persuasion, as seen in Table 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18.

But recipients' personality variables produce interaction effects more frequently than the rest of the independent variables do ( $\chi^2=10.474$ , df=1, P<.001). In the case of recipients' relevance-to-threat variable added to recipients' personality variable, similar results are obtained ( $\chi^2=5.093$ , df=1, P<.05).

Table 1 Studies onf ear arousing communications in a broad sense

Table 1 Studies onf ear arousing co	ommunications in a broad sense
(1) Fear appeals	
(a) Fear appeals and persuasion	
Beck & Davis (1978)	Janis & Feshbach (1954)
Beck & Lund (1981)	Janis & Terwilliger (1962)
Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960)	Kraus, E1-Assal & DeFleu (1962)
Chu (1966)	Lehmann (1970)
Cope & Richardson (1972)	Leventhal & Niles (1964)
Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Leventhal & Niles (1965)
DeWolfe & Governale (1964)	Leventhal & Singer (1966)
Dziokonski & Weber (1977)	Leventhal & Trembly (1968)
Evans et al. (1970)	Leventhal & Watts (1966)
Frandsen (1963)	Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966)
Fritzen & Mazer (1975)	Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965)
Fukada (1973)	Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967)
Fukada (1975a)	Lewan & Stotland (1961)
Fukada (1975b)	Mewborn & Rogers (1979)
Fukada (1983a)*	Millman (1968)
Fukada (1983b)	Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955)
Goldstein (1959)	Powell (1965)
Gollob & Dittes (1965)	Powell & Miller (1967)**
Griffeth & Rogers (1976)	Ramirez & Lasater (1976)
Haefner (1965)	Ramirez & Lasater (1977)
Haraoka (1970)	Rogers & Mewborn (1976)
Hashimoto (1969)	Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)
Hass, Bagley & Rogers (1975)	Shelton & Rogers (1981)
Helmreich & Hamilton (1968)*	Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977)
Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Smart & Fejer (1974)
Horowitz (1969)	Stainback & Rogers (1983)
Horowitz & Gumenic (1970)	Stern, Lana & Pauling (1965)
Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965)	Cohen (1957)
Janis & Feshbach (1953)	
(b) Fear appeals and reactions	
Cecil, Weiss & Feinberg (1978)	Janis & Milholland (1954)
Duke (1967)	Robbins (1962a)
Fischer et al. (1967)	Robbins (1962b)
Hayakawa (1977)	Shirai & Takata (1977)
Higbee (1974)	2000
(2) Rositive-negative appeals and persuasion	on
Dabbs (1964)	Powell & Miller (1967)**
Leventhal & Perloe (1962)	10,,011 @ 1,11101 (1001)
(3) Irrelevant fear arousal and persuasion	
Fukada (1983a)*	Lundy, Simonson & Landers (1967)
Helmreich & Hamilton (1968)*	Sigall & Helmreich (1969)
Helmreich, Kuiken & Collins (1968)	Simonson & Lundy (1966)
Hendrick & Borden (1970)	
(4) Physiological arousal and persuasion	
Mintz & Mills (1971)	Rogers & Dickner (1975)
(5) False physiological feedback and pers	
Beck (1979)	Harris & Jellison (1971)
Evans et al. (1975)	Hendrick, Giesen & Borden (1975)
Giesen & Hendrick (1974)	Krisher, Darley & Darley (1973)
(6) Emotional role playing and persuasion	
Janis & Mann (1965)	
Mann (1967)	Mann & Janis (1968)
<ul> <li>Relevant and irrelevant fear</li> </ul>	

<sup>\*</sup> Relevant and irrelevant fear \*\* Fear and positive arousal

Table 2 Fear levels manipulated in the studies of fear appeals and persuasion

Studies	Fear levels			
Beck & Davis (1978)	High, moderate, low			
Beck & Lund (1981)	Seriousness (high, low) × susceptibility (high, low)			
Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1	Strong, minimal			
Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2	Strong, minimal			
Chu (1966)	Strong, moderate, mild			
Cope & Richardson (1972)	Fear			
Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	High, low			
DeWolfe & Governale (1964)	High			
Dziokonski & Weber (1977)	High, moderate, low			
Evans et al. (1970)	High, low			
Frandsen (1963)	Moderate, minimal			
Fritzen & Mazer (1975)	High, low			
Fukada (1973)	High, low			
Fukada (1975a)	High, low			
Fukada (1975b)	High, low			
Fukada (1983a)	High, low			
Fukada (1983b)	High, low			
Goldstein (1959)	Strong, minimal			
Gollob & Dittes (1965)	Threat			
Griffeth & Rogers (1976)	Noxiousness (high, low) × probability (high, low) × efficacy (high, low)			
Haefner (1965)	Strong, minimal			
Haraoka (1970)	High, middle, low			
Hashimoto (1969)	High, low			
Hass, Bagley & Rogers (1975)	Noxiousness (high, low) × probability (high, low)			
Helmreich & Hamilton (1968)	High, low			
Hewgill & Miller (1965)	High, low			
Horowitz (1969)	High, low			
Horowitz & Gumenic (1970)	High, low			
Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965)	High, low			
Janis & Feshbach (1953)	Strong, moderate, minimal			
Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Strong, minimal			
Janis & Terwilliger (1962)	High, low			
Kraus, El-Assal & DeFleu (1962)	Strong			
Lehmann (1970)	Threatening			
Leventhal & Niles (1964)	High, mediuum, low			
Leventhal & Niles (1965)	Duration (8, 16, 24 & 32 min.) of exposure			
Leventhal & Singer (1966)	High, low			
Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	High, low			
Leventhal & Watts (1966)	High, medium, low			
Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966)	High, low			
Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967)	High, low			
Lewan & Stotland (1961)	High, moderate			
Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Emotional			
Millman (1968)	High, low			
*	Anxiety-provoking			
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965)	Strong, weak High, mild			
Powell & Miller (1967)	Social disapproval			
Ramirez & Lasater (1976)	High, moderate			
Ramirez & Lasater (1970) Ramirez & Lasater (1977)	High, low			
Rogers & Mewborn (1976)	Noxiousness (high, low) × probability (high, low) × efficacy (high, low)			
Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	High, low			
Shelton & Rogers (1981)	Noxiousness (high, low) × efficacy (high, low)			
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 2	High, medium, Iow Fear			
Smort P. Esian (1074) E 1	High, medium, low			
Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1				
Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2	High, low			

Table 3 Main effects of fear on persuasion in the studies of fear appeals with two or more fear levels

appears with	n two or more fear levels
Studies	Types of main effects
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Neutral: neutral b) Neutral: neutral b) Positive: positive b) Positive: positive c) Positive: positive c), positive e)
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	Positive: neutral b), positive c) Neutral: neutral c), neutral d), neutral e) Neutral: neutral b) Positive: positive b), neutral d) Positive: positive c), positive e)
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	Positive: positive c), positive e) Neutral: neutral c) Positive: neutral b), positive c) Positive: positive c) Neutral: neutral d)
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Positive: neutral a), positive d), positive e) Positive: positive b), positive c) Positive: positive b) Positive: positive b) Neutral: neutral b)
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Neutral: neutral b) Neutral: neutral b) Positive: positive b) Negative: negative a), negative d) Neutral: neutral a), neutral d)
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Negative: negative b) Positive & negative: positive a), negative & neutral c), positive e) Neutral: neutral c) Positive: positive c) Neutral: neutral c)
Leventhal & Watts (1966)  Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966)	Positive & negative: positive a), neutral c), positive & negative d), neutral e) Positive: positive b), neutral c), neutral e)
Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Positive: positive b), positive c), neutral e) Neutral: neutral a), neutral c), neutral d) Neutral: neutral c)
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	Neutral: neutral d) Neutral: neutral b) Neutral: neutral c), neutral d), neutral e) Positive: neutral c), positive d), neutral e) Positive: neutral a), positive c)
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	Neutral: neutral e) Neutral: neutral c) Positive: positive b) Neutral: neutral c)

a) Beliefs, b) attitudes or opnions, c) intentions or desire, d) reported behavior, e) actual behavior

Table 4 Interaction effects between fear and some other independent variable on persuasion in the studies of fear appeals with two or more fear levels

Studies	Patterns of interaction effects
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Positive-negative b) Positive-neutral b) Positive-more positive c), positive-more positive c) Positive-neutral c)
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	——————————————————————————————————————
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Positive-neutral b)
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Positive-negative b) Positive-neutral b)  — *  — Negative-more negative a), negative-neutral d)
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Negative-neutral c)  Positive-negative c)
Leventhal & Watts (1966) Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966) Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pgano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Positive-neutra1 e)
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	Positive-neutral b) Positive-neutral d), positive-neutral e) Negative-neutral a), positive-neutral c)
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	

a) Beliefs, b) attitudes or opinions, c) intentions or desire, d) reported behavior,

e) actual behavior

<sup>\*</sup> Interaction between fear and time since erposure was omitted from this table.

Table 5 Topics used in the studies of fear appeals and persuaion with two or more fear levels

Studies	Topics
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Smoking Traffic safety (safety belts) Traffic safety (safety belts) Roundworms Tetanus
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	Dental hygiene (gum disease) Dental hygiene Population growth Alcohol Venereal disease (syphilis)
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	Venereal disease (syphilis) Venereal disease (syphilis) Venereal disease (syphilis) Venereal disease (syphilis) Dental hygiene
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Dental hygiene Dental hygiene Psychological experiments Human subjects Fallout shelters
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Drugs Drugs Smoking Dental hygiene Dental hygiene
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Smoking Smoking Traffic safety (safe driving) Dental hygiene Traffic safety (safe driving)
Leventhal & Watts (1966) Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966) Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Smoking Tetanus Tetanus Smoking Venereal disease
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwate (1970)	Dental hygiene Fallout shelters Dental hygiene Dental hygiene Smoking
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	Obesity Drugs (marijuna) Drugs (a non-existent drug) Alcohol

Table 6 Media used in the studies of fear appeals and persuasion with two or more fear levels

with two or more fear levels				
Studies	Media			
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Film Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded plus slides Oral Printed			
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	Tape recorded plus slides Oral Tape recorded, television, or live Tape recorded Tape recorded plus slides			
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	Printed Printed Printed plus slides Printed Tape recorded plus slides			
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded Printed plus oral plus properties Tape recorded			
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Printed plus film Printed plus film Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded plus slides			
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Printed Printed plus oral plus film Film Tape recorded plus slides Film			
Leventhal & Watts (1966) Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966) Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Film Printed Printed Printed Printed plus film Tape recorded plus film			
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded Tape recorded plus slides Tape recorded plus slides Printed plus film			
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	Oral Printed Printed Printed plus tape recorded			

Table 7 Subjects used in the studies of fear appeals and persuasion with two or more fear levels

Studies	Subjects
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	College students College students College students Elementary school students College students
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	College students (female) Junior high school students College students Junior high school students College students
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	College students (female) College students (female) College students (female) College students (female) High school students
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Junior high school students High school students (female) College students (female) College students (male) Adults
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	College students (male) College students Junior high school students High school students High school students
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Adults Population cross-section College students Population cross-section High school students
Leventhal & Watts (1966) Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966) Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Population cross-section Adults College students College students College students
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	Army recruits Adults Elementary & junior high school students Elementary & junior high school students College students
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	Adults (female) High school students College students Junior high school students

Table 8 Criteria used in the studies of fear appeals and persuasion with two or more fear levels

with two of more fear fevers				
Studies	Criteria			
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Attitudes Attitudes Attitudes Desire Intetions, actual behavior			
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	Attitudes, intentions Intentions, reported behavior, actual behavior Attitudes Attitudes, reported behavior Intentions, actual behavior			
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	Intentions, actual behavior Intentions Opinions, intentions Intentions Reported behavior			
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Beliefs, reported behavior, actual behavior Opinions, intentions Opinions Attitudes Attitudes			
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Attitudes Attitudes Opinions Beliefs, reported behavior Beliefs, reported behavior			
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Attitudes Beliefs, Intentions, Actual behavior Desire Intentions Desire			
Leventhal & Watts (1966) Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966) Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Beliefs, intentions, reported behavior, actual behavior Attitudes, intentions, actual behavior Attitudes, intentions, actual behavior Beliefs, desire, reported behavior Intentions			
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	Reported behavior Attitudes Intentions, reported behavior, actual behavior Intentions, reported behavior, actual behavior Beliefs, intentions			
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	Actual behavior Intentions Attitudes Intentions			

Table 9 Independent variables used in the studies of fear appeals and persuasion with two or more fear levels

Studies	Independent variables
Beck & Davis (1978) Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 1 Berkowitz & Cottingham (1960) Exp. 2 Chu (1966) Dabbs & Leventhal (1966)	Personal impotance f), personal interest f), usage e) Relevance e) Relevance e) Efficacy b), imminency b), counterpropaganda c) Effectiveness b), pain b)
Dziokonski & Weber (1977) Evans et al. (1970) Frandsen (1963) Fritzen & Mazer (1975) Fukada (1973)	Vulnerability d), repression-sensitization d) Recommendation b), (time) Media Alcoholic vs. non-alcoholiccommunicator a) Repression-sensitization d), sex f)
Fukada (1975a) Fukada (1975b) Fukada (1983a) Fukada (1983b) Goldstein (1959)	Efficacy b), credibility a) Desirability b), credibility a) (Time) TP-forewarning, PI-forewarning Coping d)
Haefner (1965) Haraoka (1970) Hashimoto (1969) Helmreich & Hamilton (1968) Hewgill & Miller (1965)	Original vs. revised message c) Recommendation b) Anxiety d) Birth order f) Credibility a)
Horowitz (1969) Horowitz & Gumenic (1970) Insko, Arkoff & Insko (1965) Janis & Feshbach (1953) Janis & Feshbach (1954)	Exposure type b), volunteering f) Choice of exposure, volunteering f) Aptitude f), sex f), (time)  — Anxiety d)
Janis & Terwilliger (1962) Leventhal & Niles (1964) Leventhal & Niles (1965) Leventhal & Singer (1966) Leventhal & Trembly (1968)	Usage e) (Time) Position of recommendations b), vulnerability d) Fear type, self-esteem d)
Leventhal & Watts (1966) Leventhal, Jones & Trembly (1966) Leventhal, Singer & Jones (1965) Leventhal, Watts & Pagano (1967) Mewborn & Rogers (1979)	Susceptibility d), usage e) Specificity b), availability b), eligibility e), sex f) Specificity b) Specificity b), smoking during communication, usage e) Reassurance b), sex f)
Moltz & Thistlethwaite (1955) Powell (1965) Ramirez & Lasater (1976) Ramirez & Lasater (1977) Rogers & Thistlethwaite (1970)	Assurance b) Target of threat (Time) Ethnicity of communicator a), self-esteem d), ethnicity of recipient f) Reassurance b), usage d)
Skilbeck, Tulips & Ley (1977) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 1 Smart & Fejer (1974) Exp. 2 Stainback & Rogers (1983)	Sidedness c), exposure type c), (time) Anxiety d), usage e) — Sidedness c), expertise a), (repeated)

a) Source or communicator variables, b) recommendation variables, c) other message variables,

Variables in the parenthes were omitted in the present study.

d) recipients' personality variables, e) recipients' relevance-to-threat variables,

f) other recipients' variables

	•			
	Positive	Nagative	Mixed	Neutral
Dental hygiene	5	1	0	5
Smoking	2	1	2	2
Venereal disease	4	0	0	2
Traffic safety	1	0	0	3
Drugs	1	0	0	3
Tetanus	3	0	0	0
Alcohol	1	0	0	1
Fallout shelters	0	0	0	2
Other topics	3	0	0	2

Table 10 Relationship between topics and types of main effects of fear on persuasion

Table 11 Relationship between media and types of main effects of fear on persuasion

	Positive	Negative	Mixed	Neutral
Tape recorded plus slides	8	1	0	5
Printed	6	1	0	2
Tape recorded	2	0	0	2
Film	0	0	1	3
Printed plus film	1	0	0	3
Ora1	1	0	0	2
Other media	2	0	1	3

Table 12 Relationship between subjects and types of main effects of fear on persuasion

	Positive	Negative	Mixed	Neutra1
Elementary school students	1	0	0	0
Elementary and junior high school students	1	0	0	. 1
Junior high school students	3	0	0	2
High school students	1	1	0	4
College students	12	0	0	9
Adults	1	1	0 .	3
Other subjects	1	0	2	1

Table 13 Relationship between criteria and types of main effects of fear on persuasion

	Positive	Negative	Neutra1
Beliefs	2	1	4
Attitudes or opinions	9	1	9
Intentions or desire	11	1	13
Reported behavior	3	2	7
Actual behavior	5	0	8

Table 14	Relationship between topics and patterns of interaction effects
	of fear by some other independent variable

	Positive- more positive	Positive- neutral	Positive- negative	Negative- neutral	Mixed
Dental hygiene	0	1	0	1	1*
Smoking	0	1	0	1	1**
Venereal disease	0	1	0	0	0
Traffic safety	0	1	2	0	0
Drugs	0	1	1	0	0
Tetanus	0	1	0	0	0
Fallout shelters	0	2	0	0	0
Other topics	1	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> Negative-more negative, negative-neutral

Table 15 Relationship between media and patterns of interaction effects of fear by some other independent variable

	Positive- more positive	Positive- neutral	Positive- negative	Negative- neutral	Mixed
Tape recorded plus slides	0	3	1	1	1*
Printed	0	1	0	0	0
Tape recoded	0	2	0	0	0
Film	0	1	1	0	0
Printed plus film	0	1	1	0	1**
Oral	1	0	0	0	0
Other media	0	0	0	1	0

<sup>\*</sup> Negative-more negative, negative-neutral

Table 16 Relationship between subjects and patterns of interaction effects of fear by some other independent variable

	Positive- more positive	Positive- neutral	Positive- negative	Negative- neutral	Mixed
Elementary school students	1	0	0	0	0
Elementary and junior high school students	0	1	0	0	0
Junior high school students	0	0	0	0	0
High school students	0	0	1	1	1*
College students	0	4	2	0	1**
Adults	0	2	0	0	0
Other subjects	0	1	0	1	0

<sup>\*</sup> Negative-more negative, negative-neutral

<sup>\*\*</sup> Negative-neutral, positive-neutral

<sup>\*\*</sup> Negative-neutral, positive-neutral

<sup>\*\*</sup> Negative-neutral, positive-neutral

	Positive- more positive	Positive- neutral	Positive- negative	Negative- neutral	Negative- more negative		
Beliefs	0	0	0	1	1		
Attitudes or opinions	0	4	2	0	0		
Intentions or desire	2	3	1	1	0		
Roported behavior	0	1	0	2	0		
Actual behavior	0	3	0	0	0		

Table 17 Relationship between criteria and patterns of interaction effects of fear by some other independent variable

Table 18 Relationship between types of independent variables and patterns of interaction effects of fear by each variable

	Positive- more positive	Positive- neutral		Negative- neutral		None
Source or communicator variables	0	1	0	0	0	5
Recommendation variables	2	0	0	1	0	13
Other message variables	0	0	0	0	0	6
Recipients' personality variables	0	4	1	2	1	3
Recipients' relevance-to- threat variables	0	3	1	1	0	4
Other variables	0	3	1	0	0	15

## References

- Beck, K. H. 1979 The effects of positive and negative arousal upon attitudes, belief acceptance, behavioral intention, and behavior. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 107, 239-251.
- Beck, K. H., & Davis, C. M. 1978 Effects of fear-arousing communications and topic importance on attitude change. *Journal of Social Psychology*, **104**, 81-95.
- Beck, K. H., & Lund, A. K. 1981 The effects of health threat seriousness and personal efficacy upon intentions and behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 11, 401-415.
- Berkowitz, L., & Cottingham, D. R. 1960 The interest value and relevance of fear arousing communications. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, **60**, 37-43.
- Cecil, J. S., Weiss, R. F., & Feinberg, R. A. 1978 The reinforcing effects of the recommendation in threatening communication. *Journal of General Psychology*, 98, 65-77.
- Chu, G. C. 1966 Fear arousal, efficacy, and imminency. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 4, 517-524.
- Cohen, A. R. 1957 Need for cognition and order of communication as determinants of opinion change. In C. I. Hovland (Ed.), *The order of presentation in persuasion*. New Haven: Yale University Press. pp. 79-97.
- Cope, F., & Richardson, D. 1972 The effects of reassuring recommendations in a fear-arousing speech. *Speech Monographs*, **39**, 148-150.
- Dabbs, J. M. 1964 Self-esteem, communicator characteristics, and attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, **69**, 173-181.
- Dabbs, J. M., & Leventhal, H. 1966 Effects of varying the recommendations in a fear-arousing communication. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 4, 525-531.
- DeWolfe, A. S., & Governale, C. N. 1964 Fear and attitude change. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 69, 119-123.
- Duke, J. D. 1967 Critique of the Janis and Feshbach study. *Journal of Social Psychology*, **72**, 71-80.
- Dziokonski, W., & Weber, S. J. 1977 Repression-sensitization, perceived vulnerability, and the fear appeal communication. *Journal of Social Psychology*, **102**, 105-112.

- Evans, R. I., Rozelle, R. M., Noblitt, R., & Williams, D. L. 1975 Explicit and implicit persuasive communications over time to initiate and maintain behavior change: New perspective utilizing a real-life dental hygiene situation. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 5, 150-156.
- Evans, R. I., Rozelle, R. M., Lasater, T. M., Dembroski, T. M., & Allen, B. P. 1970 Fear arousal, persuasion, and actual versus implied behavioral change: New perspective utilizing a real-life dental hygiene program. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 16, 220-227.
- Fischer, E. H., Cohen, S. L., Schlesinger, L. E., & Bloomer, R. H. 1967 Some effects of relevant stories portraying danger on retention of information associated with the stories. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 73, 75-87.
- Frandsen, K. D. 1963 Effects of threat appeals and media of transmission. Speech Monographs, 30, 101-104.
- Fritzen, R. D., & Mazer, G. E. 1975 The effects of fear appeal and communication upon attitudes toward alcohol consumption. *Journal of Drug Education*, 5, 171-181.
- Fukada, H. 1973 Effects of fear arousal, sex and anxiety predisposition of subjects on attitude change. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, **13**, 40-54. (In Japanese with English summary)
- Fukada, H. 1975a Fear arousal and persuasion: A re-examination of the deffensive avoidance hypothesis. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, **15**, 12-24. (In Japanese with English summary)
- Fukada, H. 1975b Social desirability of recommended coping behavior and persuasive effects of fear-arousing communications. Collected Papers by Doctoral Cource of Students, Faculty of Education, Hiroshima University, 1, 51-58. (In Japanese with English summary)
- Fukada, H. 1983a Persuasion facilitating effects under irrelevant fear-arousing situations. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 23, 83-90. (In Japanese with English summary)
- Fukada, H. 1983b Forewarning effects in fear-arousing communications. *Japanese Journal of Psychology*, **54**, 286-292. (In Japanese with English summary)
- Giesen, M., & Hendrick, C. 1974 Effects of false positive and negative arousal feedback on persuasion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, **30**, 449-457.
- Goldstein, M. J. 1959 The relationship between coping and avoiding behavior and response to fear-arousing propaganda. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, **58**, 247-252.
- Gollob, H. F., & Dittes, J. E. 1965 Effects of manipulated self-esteem on persuasibility depending on threat and complexity of communication. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 2, 195-201.
- Griffeth, R. W., & Rogers, R. W. 1976 Effects of fear-arousing components of driver education on students' safety attitudes and simulator performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, **68**, 501 -506.
- Haefner, D. P. 1965 Arousing fear in dental health education. *Journal of Public Health Dentistry*, 25, 140-146.
- Haraoka, K. 1970 Social psychology of attitude change. Tokyo: Kaneko Syobo. (In Japanese)
- Harris, V. A., & Jellison, J. M. 1971 Fear-arousing communications, false physiological feedback, and the acceptance of recommendations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychlogy*, 7, 269-279.
- Hashimoto, H. 1969 Effects of fear-arousing communications on opinion change. *Proceedings of the 33th Annual Convention of the Japanese Psychological Association*, p. 443. (In Japanese)
- Hass, J. W., Bagley, G. S. & Rogers, R. W. 1975 Coping with the energy crisis: Effects of fear appeals upon attitudes toward energy consumption. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60, 754-756.
- Hayakawa, M. 1977 A study on fear-arousal and attitude change: Factor analysis of "fear" scales. Bulletin of the Faculty of Humanities of Aichigakuin University, 7, 44-49. (In Japanese)
- Helmreich, R., & Hamilton, J. 1968 Effects of stress, communication relevance, and birth order on opinion change. *Psychonomic Science*, 11, 297-298.
- Helmreich, R., Kuiken, D., & Collins, B. 1968 Effects of stress and birth order on attitude change. Journal of Personality, 36, 466-473.
- Hendrick, C., & Borden, R. 1970 Effects of extraneous fear arousal and birth order on attitude change. *Psychonomic Science*, **18**, 225-226.
- Hendrick, C., Giesen, M., & Borden, R. 1975 False Physiological feedback and persuasion: Effect of fear arousal vs. fear reduction on attitude chage. *Journal of Personality*, 43, 196-214.
- Hewgill, M. A., & Miller, G. R. 1965 Source credibility and response to fear-arousing communications. *Speech Monographs*, **32**, 95-101.

- Higbee, K. L. 1969 Fifteen years of fear arousal: Research on threat appeals: 1953-1968. Psychological Bulletin, 72, 426-444.
- Higbee, K. L. 1974 What is the "fear" in a fear-arousing appeal? *Psychological Reports*, 35, 1161-1162.
- Horowitz, I. A. 1969 Effects of volunteering, fear arousal, and number of communications on attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 11, 34-37.
- Horowitz, I. A., & Gumenik, W. E. 1970 Effects of the volunteer subject, choice, and fear arousal on attitude change. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, **6**, 293-303.
- Insko, C. A., Arkoff, A., & Insko, V. M. 1965 Effects of high and low fear-arousing communications upon opinions toward smoking. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 1, 256-266.
- Janis, I. L., & Feshbach, S. 1953 Effects of fear-arousing communications. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 48, 78-92.
- Janis, I. L., & Feshbach, S. 1954 Personality differences associated with responsiveness to fear-arousing communications. *Journal of Personality*, 23, 154-166.
- Janis, I. L., & Mann, L. 1965 Effectiveness of emotional role-playing in modifying smoking habits and attitudes. *Journal of Experimental Research in Personality*, 1, 84-90.
- Janis, I. L., & Milholland, H. C. 1954 The influence of threat appeals on selective learning of the content of a persuasive communication. *Journal of Psychology*, 37, 75-80.
- Janis, I. L., & Terwilliger, R. F. 1962 An experimental study of psychological resistances to fear arousing communications. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 65, 403-410.
- Kraus, S., El-Assal, E., & DeFleur. M. L. 1966 Fear-threat appeals in mass communication: An apparent contradiction. *Speech Monographs*, **33**, 23-29.
- Krisher, H. P., Darley, S. A., & Darley, J. M. 1973 Fear-provoking recommendations, intentions to take preventive actions, and actual preventive actions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 26, 301-308.
- Lehmann, S. 1970 Personality and compliance: A study of anxiety and self-esteem in opinion and behavior change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, **15**, 76-86.
- Leventhal, H., & Niles, P. 1964 A field experiment on fear arousal with data on the validity of questionnaire measures. *Journal of Personality*, 32, 459-479.
- Leventhal, H., & Niles, P. 1965 Persistence of influence for varying durations of exposure to threat stimuli. *Psychological Reports*, **16**, 223-233.
- Leventhal, H., & Perloe, S. I. 1962 A relationship between self-esteem and persuasibility. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, **64**, 385-388.
- Leventhal, H., & Singer, R. P. 1966 Affect arousal and positioning of recommendations in persuasive communications. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 4, 137-146.
- Leventhal, H., & Trembly, G. 1968 Negative emotions and persuasion. *Journal of Personality*, **36**, 154-168.
- Leventhal, H., & Watts. J. C. 1966 Sources of resistance to fear-arousing communications on smoking and lung cancer. *Journal of Personality*, 34, 155-175.
- Leventhal, H., Jones, S., & Trembly, G. 1966 Sex differences in attitude and behavior change under conditions of fear and specific instructions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 2, 387-399.
- Leventhal, H., Singer, R., & Jones, S. 1965 Effects of fear and specificity of recommendation upon attitudes and behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, **2**. 20-29.
- Leventhal, H., Watts, J. C., & Pagano, F. 1967 Effects of fear and instructions on how to cope with danger. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 6, 313-321.
- Lewan, P. C., & Stotland, E. 1961 The effects of prior information on susceptibility to an emotional appeal. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, **62**, 450-453.
- Lundy, R. M., Simonson, N. R., & Landers, A. D. 1967 Conformity, persuasibility, and irrelevant fear. *Journal of Communication*, 17, 39-54.
- Mann, L. 1967 The effects of emotional role playing on desire to modify smoking habits. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 3, 334-348.
- Mann, L., & Janis, I. L. 1968 A follow-up study on the long-term effects of emotional role playing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 8, 339-342.
- Mewborn, C. R. & Rogers, R. W. 1979 Effects of threatening and reassuring components of fear appeals on physiological and verbal measures of emotion and attitudes. *Journal of Experimental* Social Psychology, 15, 242-253.

- Mintz, P. M., & Mills, J. 1971 Effects of arousal and information about its source upon attitude change. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 7, 561-570.
- Millman, S. 1968 Anxiety, comprehension, and susceptibility to social influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 9, 251-256.
- Moltz, H., & Thistlethwaite, D. L. 1955 Attitude modification and anxiety reduction. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, **50**, 231-237.
- Powell, F. A. 1965 The effect of anxiety-arousing messages when related to personal, familial, and impersonal referents. *Speech Monographs*, **32**, 102-106.
- Powell, F. A., & Miller, G. R. 1967 Social approval and disapproval cues in anxiety-arousing communications. Speech Monographs, 34, 152-159.
- Ramirez, A., & Lasater, T. L. 1976 Attitudinal and behavioral reactions to fear-arousing communi cations. *Psychological Reports*, 38, 811-818.
- Ramirez, A., & Lasater, T. M. 1977 Ethnicity of communicator, self-esteem, and reactions to fear-arousing communications. *Journal of Social Psychology*, **102**, 79-91.
- Robbins, P. R. 1962a An application of the method of successive intervals to the study of fear-arousing information. *Psychological Reports*, 11, 757-760.
- Robbins, P. R. 1962b Self-reports of reactions to fear-arousing information. *Psychological Reports*, 11, 761-764.
- Rogers, R. W., & Deckner, C. W. 1975 Effects of fear appeals and physiological arousal upon emotion, attitudes, and cigarette smoking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, **32**, 222-230.
- Rogers, R. W., & Mewborn, C. R. 1976 Fear appeals and attitude change: Effects of a threat's noxiousness, probability of occurrence, and the efficacy of coping responses. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 34, 54-61.
- Rogers, R. W., & Thistlethwaite, D. L. 1970 Effects of fear arousal and reassurance on attitude change. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, **15**, 227-233,
- Shelton, M. L., & Rogers, R. W. 1981 Fear-arousing and empathy-arousing appeals to help: The pathos of persuasion. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 11, 366-378.
- Shirai, Y., & Takata, T. 1977 The study of fear communication (I): Effects of fear and distraction upon comprehension of communication message. *Japanese Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 17, 39-49. (In Japanese with English summary)
- Sigall, H., & Helmreich, R. 1969 Opinion change as a function of stress and communicator credibility. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 5, 70-78.
- Simonson, N. R., & Lundy, R. M. 1966 The effectiveness of persuasive communication presented under conditions of irrelevant fear. *Journal of Communication*, 16, 32-37.
- Skilbeck, C., Tulips, J., & Ley, P. 1977 The effects of fear arousal, fear position, fear exposure, and sidedness on compliance with dietary instructions. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 7, 221-239.
- Smart, R. G., & Fejer, D. 1974 The effects of high and low fear messages about drugs. Journal of Drug Education, 4, 225-235.
- Stainback, R. D., & Rogers, R. W. 1983 Identifying effective components of alcohol abuse prevention programs: Effects of fear appeals, message styls, and source expertise. *International Journal of the Addictions*, 18, 393-405.
- Stern, G. S., Lana, R. E., & Pauling, F. J. 1965 Fear arousal and order of presentation of persuasive communications. *Psychological Reports*, **16**, 789-795.